# Toxicities and Locoregional Control After External Beam Chest Wall and/or Regional Lymph Node Re-irradiation for Recurrent Breast Cancer

MUTLAY SAYAN<sup>1</sup>, IRINA VERGALASOVA<sup>2</sup>, IMRAAN JAN<sup>2</sup>, SHICHA KUMAR<sup>3</sup>, NANCY CHAN<sup>4</sup>, BRUCE G. HAFFTY<sup>2</sup> and NISHA OHRI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, U.S.A;

> <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, U.S.A.;
> <sup>3</sup>Department of Surgical Oncology, Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, U.S.A.;
> <sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, U.S.A.

Abstract. Background/Aim: To evaluate toxicities and clinical outcomes in breast cancer (BC) patients who underwent external beam chest wall (CW) and/or regional lymph node (LN) re-irradiation (re-RT) for locoregional recurrence (LRR). Patients and Methods: We performed a retrospective review of our institutional database to identify BC patients diagnosed with an isolated ipsilateral CW or nodal recurrence after prior whole breast/CW irradiation. Results: Fifteen patients met the study criteria. Median time between completion of RT courses was 68.3 months (range=7.8-245.4 months). Median CW re-RT dose was 45 Gy (range=42.3-50.4 Gy). The majority of patients (80%) received proton beam re-RT. Grade 2-3 dermatitis occurred in 87% patients. Grade 2-3 pain was reported by 33% of patients. At a median follow-up of 14 months (range=1.0-90.5 months), the rate of isolated LRR was 13%. Conclusion: Re-RT of the CW and/or regional LNs is feasible with acceptable rates of toxicity and low rates of isolated LRR.

The standard local therapy for early-stage breast cancer (BC) is breast conserving surgery (BCS) followed by adjuvant

Key Words: Breast, carcinoma, mastectomy, segmental, radiotherapy.

radiation treatment (RT) and mastectomy with or without RT for advanced stage BC (1-3). Although local-regional recurrence (LRR) rates have improved with therapeutic and technical advances in recent years, it remains as the most common form of relapse (4, 5). Standard therapy for LRR has historically been surgical resection with or without therapy. More recently, with systemic improved radiotherapeutic techniques and the increasingly early detection of small volume recurrences, there has been growing interest in salvage gross total resection with adjuvant re-irradiation (re-RT). However, selection of patients for re-RT is challenging due to concerns regarding normal tissue toxicity (6). More data are necessary to characterize outcomes with re-RT to guide the evolving management of recurrent BC.

Several strategies have been proposed for re-RT including partial breast irradiation, electrons, twice-daily treatment, proton-based RT, brachytherapy, concurrent systemic therapy, and hyperthermia (6-11). Recently published RTOG 1014 trial reported 5% recurrence rate in ipsilateral breast and 7% late grade 3 toxicity following partial breast re-RT after a second lumpectomy for recurrence of BC (7). Although result of this study suggests that this treatment approach is an effective alternative to mastectomy, many patients are not eligible to this protocol, including those with isolated axillary or chest wall (CW) recurrence. Therefore, the specific role for re-RT in LRR of BC remains a challenging and clinically relevant question. In this study, we report toxicities and clinical outcomes in patients who underwent external beam CW and/or regional lymph node re-RT for LRR.

*Correspondence to:* Mutlay Sayan, MD, Department of Radiation Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard University, 75 Francis Street, ASB1 - L2, Boston 02115, MA, U.S.A. Tel: +1 7816245700, e-mail: msayan@bwh.harvard.edu

Table I. Baseline characteristics.

Patient, n	15
Age, median, years (range)	
At initial diagnosis	46 (32-72)
At recurrent diagnosis	55 (38-75)
Breast laterality, n (%)	
Left	5 (33)
Right	10 (66)
Histology, n (%)	
Invasive ductal carcinoma	15 (100)
AJCC clinical T stage, n (%)	
Т0	1 (7)
T1	8 (52)
T2	1 (7)
T3	1 (7)
T4	4 (27)
AJCC clinical N stage, n (%)	
NO	10 (66)
N1	4 (27)
N2	1 (7)
Receptor status, n (%)	
Estrogen receptor-positive	6 (40)
Progesterone receptor-positive	4 (27)
HER2/neu-amplified	4 (27)
History of smoking, n (%)	8 (52)
Diabetes, n (%)	3 (20)
Median BMI, (range)	30 (18-44)
Follow-up	
Median, months (range)	14 (1-91)

BMI: Body mass index; AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer.

### **Patients and Methods**

We performed a retrospective review of our institutional database to identify breast cancer patients treated with re-RT from 2015 to 2020. Patients were eligible for this study if they had an isolated ipsilateral breast/CW or nodal recurrence after prior whole breast/CW irradiation. Locoregional management of recurrent disease included surgical resection and external beam re-RT.

Baseline clinical characteristics were collected and included patient age, race, body mass index (BMI), and history of breast cancer and treatment. Disease-related characteristics included histology, grade, hormone receptor status, American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) T stage, and AJCC N stage. Treatment-related factors included receipt of chemotherapy, receipt of hormonal therapy, and type of surgical resection.

Early toxicity outcomes were graded by the treating physician during the treatment course using the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), version 3.0. For patients with an intact breast or reconstruction, patient-reported cosmetic outcomes were graded as excellent, good, fair, or poor during their last follow-up visit. Follow-up was calculated from the completion of the second course of RT. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Table II. Treatment-related characteristics.

Initial radiation therapy parameters	
Median dose, Gy (range)	50 (33.5-50.4)
Boost, n (%)	11 (73)
Median dose, Gy (range)	10 (10-16)
Radiation Field Design	
3-4 fields <sup>a</sup>	5 (33)
Re-radiation therapy parameters	
Median dose, Gy (range)	45 (42.3-50.4)
Boost, n (%)	7 (47)
Median dose, Gy (range)	12.5 (6-20)
Radiation Field Design	
3-4 fields <sup>a</sup>	14 (93)
Systemic therapy (initial)	
Chemotherapy, n (%)	11 (73)
Systemic therapy (recurrent)	
Chemotherapy, n (%)	14 (93)
Concurrent chemoradiation, n (%)	4 (27)
Endocrine therapy, n (%)	7 (47)
Number of lymph node removed	
Median (range)	9 (1-31)

<sup>a</sup>Supraclavicular field with or without a posterior axillary boost.

### Results

We identified 15 patients who met the study criteria. Baseline patient characteristics are shown in Table I. Median age was 46 (range=32-72 years) at initial diagnosis and 55 (range=38-75 years) at recurrent diagnosis. Nine patients (60%) had T1-2 recurrent tumors, and 2 (13%) presented with an inflammatory recurrence. Median time between completion of RT courses was 68 months (range=7.8-245.4 months). Median body mass index was 26 (range=18-44). Three patients (20%) had a history of diabetes and eight patients (52%) had a history of smoking.

Median initial whole breast/CW dose was 50 Gy (range=33.5-50.4 Gy) (Table II). Boost was delivered in 11 (73%) patients, and 5 (33%) received nodal RT. Fourteen patients (93%) received chemotherapy for recurrence, including 4 (27%) who received concurrent chemoradiation. Thirteen patients (80%) underwent mastectomy, two patients (13%) wide local excision, and one patient (7%) with axillary lymph node dissection alone. Five patients (33%) underwent LN evaluation for recurrence. Median total number of LNs removed from all surgeries was 9 (range=1-31). Median CW re-RT dose was 45 Gy (range=42.3-50.4 Gy), and 7 patients (47%) received a boost. The axilla was targeted in 87% of patients and the supraclavicular fossa in 73%. The majority of patients (80%) received proton beam re-RT.

Grade 2-3 dermatitis occurred in 87% patients (Table III). Grade 2-3 pain was reported by 33% of patients and grade 3 fatigue by 40%. There were no acute grade 4 toxicities.

Table III. Treatment related toxicities and patient-reported cosmetic outcomes.

Dermatitis, n (%)	
Grade 2	12 (80)
Grade 3	1 (7)
Pain, n (%)	
Grade 2	3 (20)
Grade 3	2 (13)
Fatigue, n (%)	
Grade 2	6 (40)
Clinical lymphedema, n (%)	2 (13)
Cosmetic outcome <sup>a</sup>	
Poor	0
Fair	3 (38)
Good	5 (62)
Excellent	0

<sup>a</sup>Eight patients with an intact breast/reconstruction completed cosmetic evaluation.

Among 8 patients with an intact breast/reconstruction, 5 reported good and 3 reported fair cosmetic outcomes. Two patients (13%) developed clinical lymphedema. There were no reports of brachial plexopathy. At a median follow-up of 14 months (range=1.0-90.5 months), the rate of isolated LRR was 13%, overall LRR 27%, and distant metastases 33%.

### Discussion

Within a cohort of breast cancer patients with recurrence treated with re-RT of the CW and/or regional LNs, we noted acceptable rates of acute and long-term toxicity and low rates of isolated LRR.

Improvements in systemic therapy have led to increased importance of local control. Curative treatment of LRR may be beneficial both in terms of survival and in symptom control. RTOG 1014 is the only available prospective study on partial breast re-RT following re-BCS that included patients with unicentric tumors  $\leq 3$  cm, no skin involvement, and >1year following prior RT (7). Five-year cumulative incident of ipsilateral recurrence was 5% with grade 3 toxicity of 7%. Although the RTOG 1014 indicated that re-RT is an effective treatment option with acceptable normal tissue toxicity, the result of the trial is limited to patients with in-breast recurrence who underwent re-BCS. Based on limited retrospective data, re-RT of LRR provides a good local control in the setting of gross total resection of the recurrent disease. Fattahi et al. recently reported local-regional control of 93% with 13% grade 3 toxicity following re-RT for LRR (12). In this setting, the treatment was directed to recurred regional side alone, such as axilla and breasts were not treated. Our study demonstrates that 13% of patients experience isolated LRR; however, development of distant metastasis remains as a significant concern. Rate of distant metastases was 33% in this study, suggesting that continued effort to optimize systemic therapy for LRR is warranted.

The clinical application of proton-based RT has increased in recent years. The dosimetric benefits of proton-based RT, including a low to medium entrance dose, homogeneous dose distribution in the target area, and a steep fall-off to zero dose distally to the target, result in a significantly reduced wholebody integral dose (13). Re-RT with proton-based RT has been reported in multiple disease sites, including central nervous system, head and neck, gynecologic, gastrointestinal, and lung tumors (14-18). However, there are limited data in the setting of breast re-RT. In our study, the majority of patients (80%) were treated with proton beam re-RT, which may have caused the reduced normal tissue toxicity. LaRiviere et al. recently reported favorable outcomes in twenty-seven patients with BC treated with proton-based re-RT for LRR at a median follow-up of 17 months. Rate of local-regional control was >95%, with only 7% of patients experiencing grade 3 toxicity at any point (8). Thorpe et al. also reported 16% grade 3 toxicity at any point following proton beam re-RT for BC recurrence (19). We observed similarly low rates of grade 3 toxicity at any point (13%).

Limitations of our study include its small sample size, retrospective design, and inherent confounding factors that cannot be completely accounted for in a non-randomized study. In addition, longer follow-up is needed to further assess local control, late toxicity, and cosmetic outcome.

In conclusion, in a cohort of BC patients treated with re-RT of the CW and/or regional LNs, acute and late toxicity rates were acceptable and isolated LRR rate was low. The majority of patients were treated with proton beam re-RT, which may have contributed to low treatment toxicity.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The Authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose in relation to this study.

## **Authors' Contributions**

MS, BH, and NO designed the study. MS, IR, and IJ collected the data. IR performed data analysis. MS and NO drafted the manuscript. SK, NC, and BH edited the article. All Authors approved the final content for journal submission and publication.

### References

1 EBCTCG (Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group), McGale P, Taylor C, Correa C, Cutter D, Duane F, Ewertz M, Gray R, Mannu G, Peto R, Whelan T, Wang Y, Wang Z and Darby S: Effect of radiotherapy after mastectomy and axillary surgery on 10-year recurrence and 20-year breast cancer mortality: meta-analysis of individual patient data for 8135 women in 22 randomised trials. Lancet 383(9935): 2127-2135, 2014. PMID: 24656685. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60488-8

- 2 Fisher B, Anderson S, Bryant J, Margolese RG, Deutsch M, Fisher ER, Jeong JH and Wolmark N: Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized trial comparing total mastectomy, lumpectomy, and lumpectomy plus irradiation for the treatment of invasive breast cancer. N Engl J Med 347(16): 1233-1241, 2002. PMID: 12393820. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa022152
- 3 Veronesi U, Cascinelli N, Mariani L, Greco M, Saccozzi R, Luini A, Aguilar M and Marubini E: Twenty-year follow-up of a randomized study comparing breast-conserving surgery with radical mastectomy for early breast cancer. N Engl J Med 347(16): 1227-1232, 2002. PMID: 12393819. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa020989
- 4 Whelan TJ, Olivotto IA, Parulekar WR, Ackerman I, Chua BH, Nabid A, Vallis KA, White JR, Rousseau P, Fortin A, Pierce LJ, Manchul L, Chafe S, Nolan MC, Craighead P, Bowen J, McCready DR, Pritchard KI, Gelmon K, Murray Y, Chapman JA, Chen BE, Levine MN and MA.20 Study Investigators: Regional nodal irradiation in early-stage breast cancer. N Engl J Med 373(4): 307-316, 2015. PMID: 26200977. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1415340
- 5 Haviland JS, Owen JR, Dewar JA, Agrawal RK, Barrett J, Barrett-Lee PJ, Dobbs HJ, Hopwood P, Lawton PA, Magee BJ, Mills J, Simmons S, Sydenham MA, Venables K, Bliss JM, Yarnold JR and START Trialists' Group: The UK Standardisation of Breast Radiotherapy (START) trials of radiotherapy hypofractionation for treatment of early breast cancer: 10-year follow-up results of two randomised controlled trials. Lancet Oncol 14(11): 1086-1094, 2013. PMID: 24055415. DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70386-3
- 6 Oldenborg S, Griesdoorn V, van Os R, Kusumanto YH, Oei BS, Venselaar JL, Zum Vörde Sive Vörding PJ, Heymans MW, Kolff MW, Rasch CR, Crezee H and van Tienhoven G: Reirradiation and hyperthermia for irresectable locoregional recurrent breast cancer in previously irradiated area: Size matters. Radiother Oncol 117(2): 223-228, 2015. PMID: 26542015. DOI: 10.1016/ j.radonc.2015.10.017
- 7 Arthur DW, Winter KA, Kuerer HM, Haffty B, Cuttino L, Todor DA, Anne PR, Anderson P, Woodward WA, McCormick B, Cheston S, Sahijdak WM, Canaday D, Brown DR, Currey A, Fisher CM, Jagsi R, Moughan J and White JR: Effectiveness of breast-conserving surgery and 3-dimensional conformal partial breast reirradiation for recurrence of breast cancer in the ipsilateral breast: The NRG Oncology/RTOG 1014 Phase 2 clinical trial. JAMA Oncol 6(1): 75-82, 2020. PMID: 31750868. DOI: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.4320
- 8 LaRiviere MJ, Dreyfuss A, Taunk NK and Freedman GM: Proton reirradiation for locoregionally recurrent breast cancer. Adv Radiat Oncol 6(4): 100710, 2021. PMID: 34409209. DOI: 10.1016/j.adro.2021.100710
- 9 Harms W, Krempien R, Hensley FW, Berns C, Wannenmacher M and Fritz P: Results of chest wall reirradiation using pulseddose-rate (PDR) brachytherapy molds for breast cancer local recurrences. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 49(1): 205-210, 2001. PMID: 11163516. DOI: 10.1016/s0360-3016(00)01360-2
- 10 Müller AC, Eckert F, Heinrich V, Bamberg M, Brucker S and Hehr T: Re-surgery and chest wall re-irradiation for recurrent breast cancer: a second curative approach. BMC Cancer *11*: 197, 2011. PMID: 21609498. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-11-197

- 11 Würschmidt F, Dahle J, Petersen C, Wenzel C, Kretschmer M and Bastian C: Reirradiation of recurrent breast cancer with and without concurrent chemotherapy. Radiat Oncol 3: 28, 2008. PMID: 18801165. DOI: 10.1186/1748-717X-3-28
- 12 Fattahi S, Ahmed SK, Park SS, Petersen IA, Shumway DA, Stish BJ, Yan ES, Remmes NB, Mutter RW and Corbin KS: Reirradiation for locoregional recurrent breast cancer. Adv Radiat Oncol *6*(*1*): 100640, 2020. PMID: 33506143. DOI: 10.1016/j.adro.2020.100640
- 13 Pearlstein KA and Chen RC: Comparing dosimetric, morbidity, quality of life, and cancer control outcomes after 3D conformal, intensity-modulated, and proton radiation therapy for prostate cancer. Semin Radiat Oncol 23(3): 182-190, 2013. PMID: 23763884. DOI: 10.1016/j.semradonc.2013.01.004
- 14 McDonald MW, Linton OR and Shah MV: Proton therapy for reirradiation of progressive or recurrent chordoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 87(5): 1107-1114, 2013. PMID: 24267972. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.09.038
- 15 McDonald MW, Zolali-Meybodi O, Lehnert SJ, Estabrook NC, Liu Y, Cohen-Gadol AA and Moore MG: Reirradiation of recurrent and second primary head and neck cancer with proton therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 96(4): 808-819, 2016. PMID: 27788954. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.07.037
- 16 Oshiro Y, Mizumoto M, Okumura T, Fukuda K, Fukumitsu N, Abei M, Ishikawa H, Takizawa D and Sakurai H: Analysis of repeated proton beam therapy for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Radiother Oncol *123*(2): 240-245, 2017. PMID: 28366501. DOI: 10.1016/j.radonc.2017.03.004
- 17 Li YR, Kirk M and Lin L: Proton therapy for vaginal reirradiation. Int J Part Ther *3*(*2*): 320-326, 2016. PMID: 28989947. DOI: 10.14338/IJPT-16-00013.1
- 18 Boimel PJ, Berman AT, Li J, Apisarnthanarax S, Both S, Lelionis K, Larson GL, Teitelbaum U, Lukens JN, Ben-Josef E, Metz JM and Plastaras JP: Proton beam reirradiation for locally recurrent pancreatic adenocarcinoma. J Gastrointest Oncol 8(4): 665-674, 2017. PMID: 28890817. DOI: 10.21037/jgo.2017.03.04
- 19 Thorpe CS, Niska JR, Girardo ME, Kosiorek HE, McGee LA, Hartsell WF, Larson GL, Tsai HK, Rossi CJ, Rosen LR and Vargas CE: Proton beam therapy reirradiation for breast cancer: Multiinstitutional prospective PCG registry analysis. Breast J 25(6): 1160-1170, 2019. PMID: 31338974. DOI: 10.1111/tbj.13423

Received November 2, 2021 Revised November 15, 2021 Accepted November 16, 2021