Preoperative Risk Factors for Fibrosarcomatous Transformation in Dermatofibrosarcoma Protuberans

KATHERINE E. MALLETT¹, SARAH ALMUBARAK², RYAN M. CLAXTON³, PETER C. FERGUSON^{4,5}, ANTHONY M. GRIFFIN^{4,5}, PETER S. ROSE¹, JAY S. WUNDER^{4,5}, KIM TSOI^{4,5} and MATTHEW T. HOUDEK¹

¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, U.S.A.;

²School of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada;

³Alix School of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, U.S.A.;

⁴Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada;

⁵University Musculoskeletal Oncology Unit, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada

Abstract. Background/Aim: Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans (DFSP) is a soft-tissue sarcoma with a high risk of local recurrence, though typically never metastasizes. DFSP can transform into high-grade fibrosarcoma (DFSP-FS), which has a risk of metastasis. Currently, treatment for DFSP includes Moh's micrographic surgery (MMS); however, this is not recommended for DFSP-FS. Often, the transformation to DFSP-FS is not recognized until the final histological diagnosis. At that point, wide local excision (WLE) of a previous MMS site can be morbid. As such, we analyzed patient risk factors to allow identification of DFSP-FS transformation at presentation. Patients and Methods: We reviewed 368 (174 female, 194 male) patients with a mean age of 42 years from two sarcoma centers. A total of 319 (87%) patients had a history of DFSP and 49 (13%) had DFSP-FS. Results: When comparing patients with a DFSP to those with a DFSP-FS, patients with a DFSP-FS were more likely (p<0.05) to be older, female and with larger tumors. A painful mass and rapidly enlarging mass were associated with DFSP-FS. Conclusion: Patients who presented with DFSP-FS were found to typically have a larger, painful, and growing mass. Patients with these features should be referred for WLE over MMS at presentation.

Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans (DFSP) is the most common dermal sarcoma, characterized by slow-growing, nodular lesions with a classically infiltrative growth pattern

Correspondence to: Matthew Houdek, MD, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW, Rochester MN 55905, U.S.A. Tel: +1 5072848431, Fax: +1 5072664234, e-mail: houdek.matthew@mayo.edu

Key Words: Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans, fibrosarcoma, presentation.

(1-3). DFSP is known to be locally aggressive with a risk of local recurrence ranging from 2-21%; however, patients typically do not develop metastatic disease (4). Rarely, DFSP can transform into a higher-grade malignancy with fibrosarcomatous changes (DFSP-FS), which has a higher risk of local recurrence and also a risk of metastatic spread of up to 15% (4-6).

Historically, the mainstay of treatment for DFSP has been wide local excision (WLE), with a goal to obtain a 3 cm radial margin, including the deep fascia (7). Moh's micrographic surgery (MMS) has shown promise in reducing the risk of local recurrence by allowing complete assessment of all surgical margins at the time of resection, thereby reducing the amount of tissue resected and improving margin control (8, 9). Although MMS appears favorable for the treatment of DFSP (7), the use of MMS is not indicated for patients with DFSP-FS (10). One challenging feature of DFSP-FS is its similarity to DFSP, as it is clinically indistinguishable from DFSP and often not diagnosed until after resection of the entire tumor specimen when the final pathology opinion is rendered (10). As such, DFSP-FS tumors are at high risk for inadvertent excision. Although previous series have shown no difference in recurrence risk following re-excision of soft-tissue sarcoma tumor beds (11, 12), in order to close primary MMS excision beds, Moh's surgeons often use undermining or local advancement flaps (9), potentially increasing the size of the tumor bed, which would need to be re-excised following DFSP-FS diagnosis, and also increases the size of the radiotherapy field if required as part of definitive management. To reduce the surgical morbidity that could be imparted with re-excision of DFSP-FS, identifying preoperative tumor and patientrelated factors associated with DFSP versus DFSP-FS could allow for appropriate risk-stratification of patients

Table I. Comparison of patient characteristics.

Preoperative characteristic	All patients (n=368)	DFSP (n=319)	DFSP-FS (n=49)	<i>p</i> -Value
Patient age	41±16 years	41±15 years	49±18 years	<0.01
Male gender	174 (44%)	159 (50%)	15 (33%)	0.01
Female gender	194 (56%)	160 (50%)	34 (67%)	
Truncal tumor	136 (37%)	117 (37%)	19 (39%)	0.94
Upper extremity tumor	126 (34%)	109 (34%)	17 (35%)	
Lower extremity tumor	106 (29%)	93 (29%)	13 (27%)	
Tumor size	4±3 cm	4±2 cm	6±5 cm	< 0.01
Painful mass	57 (15%)	41 (13%)	16 (40%)	< 0.01
Rapidly enlarging mass	75 (20%)	39 (12%)	36 (73%)	< 0.01

DFSP: Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans.

to more appropriately undergo an MMS *versus* a WLE at initial presentation. Therefore, the purpose of the current study was to evaluate clinical factors that are associated with DFSP-FS *versus* DFSP.

Patients and Methods

Following institutional ethics research board review from our respective institutions, we retrospectively analyzed 368 patients who presented to two tertiary North American sarcoma centers from 1991 to 2018 with either DFSP (n=319, 87%) or DFSP-FS (n=49, 13%). The group included 194 (53%) male and 174 (47%) female patients with a mean age of 43±16 years at the time of presentation. The tumors were located at the trunk (n=137, 37%), upper extremity (n=126, 34%), or lower extremity (n=106, 29%). In addition to a mass, common complaints at the time of presentation included a rapidly enlarging mass (n=75, 19%) and a painful mass (n=57, 15%). All patients were treated with surgical excision, with a mean tumor size at resection of 4 cm (range=5 mm - 27.5 cm). All pathologies were confirmed by musculoskeletal pathologists or dermatopathologists.

Statistical analysis. Student's *t*-tests were used to analyze continuous variables, which are reported as means±standard deviations. Categorical variables were compared with the Fisher's Exact test and odds ratios (OR). All tests were two-sided. *p*-Values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

When comparing patients with a DFSP to those with a DFSP-FS, patients with a DFSP-FS were more likely to be older ($49\pm18\ vs.\ 41\pm15\ years,\ p<0.01$), female ($n=34,\ 67\%\ vs.\ n=160,\ 50\%,\ p=0.01$) and with larger tumors ($6\pm5\ vs.\ 4\pm2\ cm,\ p<0.01$) compared to patients with DFSP (Table I). There was no difference in the location of the tumor when comparing a DFSP *versus* a DFSP-FS (p=0.94). A history of painful mass (OR=3.28 95%CI=1.66-6.49, p<0.01) and a rapidly enlarging mass (OR=19.9, 95%CI=9.70-40.7, p<0.01) were strongly associated with DFSP-FS.

Discussion

DFSP is a common dermal sarcoma, which historically has been treated with WLE. Moh's micrographic surgery has become a viable treatment option for patients with DFSP, however this form of treatment is not appropriate for patients with a DFSP that has transformed into a higher-grade sarcoma (*i.e.*, DFSP-FS). As such, appropriate stratification of patients at the time of initial presentation is essential to avoid subsequent morbidity associated with the need for re-excision of an inadequately resected DFSP-FS tumor bed following initial Moh's excision. The results of the current study identify patient-related factors, which could be associated with patients presenting with DFSP-FS, and as such these patients should be referred at the time of diagnosis for WLE as opposed to MMS.

Previous series have identified fibrosarcomatous changes in patients with DFSP in 10-20% of patients (13-16), which is similar to the results of the current study (13%). There has been discrepancy noted when trying to identify factors associated with DFSP-FS compared to DFSP with respect to patient age and sex. However, compared to these previous studies (13-16), we found a strong association between age and sex, as older and female patients were more likely to present with DFSP-FS. We noted that patients with a rapidly enlarging mass, a mass >4 cm, and a painful mass were also more likely to have fibrosarcomatous changes. It was previously shown that patients with soft tissue masses presenting with rapid growth, pain, and larger size are more likely to be diagnosed with a soft-tissue sarcoma (17). In a series by Nandra et al. (17), the authors noted that if a patient presented with a large (>4 cm), painful, and enlarging mass, their risk of having a sarcoma was over 60%.

Although DFSP is considered a tumor with potential for local recurrence, it has a very low risk of metastatic disease (4, 10). Unlike DFSP, DFSP-FS harbors true malignant potential due to its increased risk of local recurrence, metastatic disease, and death due to disease compared to patients with DFSP (4, 10). For patients who present with DFSP, MMS is a reasonable

treatment option; however, patients with DFSP-FS should be referred for WLE, since patients undergoing a WLE with ≥ 2 cm margin have the lowest risk of tumor recurrence (10, 18-21). In addition, MMS often involves an initial debulking of the mass followed by removing areas of positive margins in layers to finally achieve a negative margin (22, 23). Although the final resections are ultimately negative, this would still be considered a contaminated or intralesional type of resection, which has been shown to potentially increase the risk of local recurrence (24, 25), which could impart an increased risk of death due to disease recurrence (26). Thus, in patients where there is concern for transformation of DFSP into DFSP-FS, they should be referred to a sarcoma specialist for WLE with a negative surgical margin.

Our study is not without limitations. We were limited to the data we can gather from the medical record, therefore different presenting characteristics, which were not captured in the records, may be associated with DFSP-FS. The retrospective nature of the study limits the analyses we can perform. This study was undertaken at two large tertiary oncology centers in North America, and as such there is bias in our patient population and these conclusions may not be generalizable to other centers. Since the purpose of this study was to identify factors present at initial tumor presentation that could suggest a DFSP-FS, we did not examine the results of treatment outcome and oncologic follow-up.

The results of the current series revealed that DFSP-FS was more common in older and female patients. There should be a clinical suspicion for DFSP-FS compared to DFSP when patients present with a large, painful tumor that is recently growing. These patients should be referred to a sarcoma center for evaluation and biopsy, with a plan for WLE.

Conflicts of Interest

The Authors have no conflicts of interest to declare regarding this study.

Authors' Contributions

Mallett: Drafting of initial and final manuscript, data collection, data analysis; Almubarak: Data collection, data analysis; Claxton: Data collection, data analysis; Ferguson: Review and editing of final manuscript; Griffin: Review and editing of final manuscript, data collection; Rose: Review and editing of final manuscript; Wunder: Review and editing of final manuscript, supervision; Houdek: Drafting of initial and final manuscript, data analysis, supervision.

References

1 Huis In 't Veld EA, van Coevorden F, Grünhagen DJ, Smith MJ, van Akkooi ACJ, Wouters MWJM, Hayes AJ, Verhoef C, Strauss DC and van Houdt WJ: Outcome after surgical treatment of dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans: Is clinical follow-up always indicated? Cancer 125(5): 735-741, 2019. PMID: 30644528. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.31924

- 2 Rouhani P, Fletcher CD, Devesa SS and Toro JR: Cutaneous soft tissue sarcoma incidence patterns in the U.S.: an analysis of 12,114 cases. Cancer 113(3): 616-627, 2008. PMID: 18618615. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.23571
- 3 Korkolis DP, Liapakis IE and Vassilopoulos PP: Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans: clinicopathological aspects of an unusual cutaneous tumor. Anticancer Res 27(3B): 1631-1634, 2007. PMID: 17595787.
- 4 Liang CA, Jambusaria-Pahlajani A, Karia PS, Elenitsas R, Zhang PD and Schmults CD: A systematic review of outcome data for dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans with and without fibrosarcomatous change. J Am Acad Dermatol 71(4): 781-786, 2014. PMID: 24755121. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaad.2014.03.018
- 5 Abbott JJ, Oliveira AM and Nascimento AG: The prognostic significance of fibrosarcomatous transformation in dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans. Am J Surg Pathol 30(4): 436-443, 2006. PMID: 16625088. DOI: 10.1097/00000478-200604000-00002
- 6 Stacchiotti S, Pedeutour F, Negri T, Conca E, Marrari A, Palassini E, Collini P, Keslair F, Morosi C, Gronchi A, Pilotti S and Casali PG: Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans-derived fibrosarcoma: clinical history, biological profile and sensitivity to imatinib. Int J Cancer 129(7): 1761-1772, 2011. PMID: 21128251. DOI: 10.1002/ijc.25826
- 7 Saiag P, Grob JJ, Lebbe C, Malvehy J, del Marmol V, Pehamberger H, Peris K, Stratigos A, Middelton M, Basholt L, Testori A and Garbe C: Diagnosis and treatment of dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans. European consensus-based interdisciplinary guideline. Eur J Cancer 51(17): 2604-2608, 2015. PMID: 26189684. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejca.2015.06.108
- 8 Foroozan M, Sei JF, Amini M, Beauchet A and Saiag P: Efficacy of Mohs micrographic surgery for the treatment of dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans: systematic review. Arch Dermatol 148(9): 1055-1063, 2012. PMID: 22986859. DOI: 10.1001/archdermatol.2012.1440
- 9 Lowe GC, Onajin O, Baum CL, Otley CC, Arpey CJ, Roenigk RK and Brewer JD: A comparison of Mohs micrographic surgery and wide local excision for treatment of dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans with long-term follow-up: The Mayo clinic experience. Dermatol Surg 43(1): 98-106, 2017. PMID: 27749444. DOI: 10.1097/DSS.0000000000000010
- 10 Voth H, Landsberg J, Hinz T, Wenzel J, Bieber T, Reinhard G, Höller T, Wendtner CM and Schmid-Wendtner MH: Management of dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans with fibrosarcomatous transformation: an evidence-based review of the literature. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 25(12): 1385-1391, 2011. PMID: 21645124. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-3083.2011.04141.x
- 11 Traub F, Griffin AM, Wunder JS and Ferguson PC: Influence of unplanned excisions on the outcomes of patients with stage III extremity soft-tissue sarcoma. Cancer 124(19): 3868-3875, 2018. PMID: 30321451. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.31648
- 12 Fiore M, Casali PG, Miceli R, Mariani L, Bertulli R, Lozza L, Collini P, Olmi P, Mussi C and Gronchi A: Prognostic effect of re-excision in adult soft tissue sarcoma of the extremity. Ann Surg Oncol 13(1): 110-117, 2006. PMID: 16372156. DOI: 10.1245/ASO.2006.03.030
- 13 Hoesly PM, Lowe GC, Lohse CM, Brewer JD and Lehman JS: Prognostic impact of fibrosarcomatous transformation in dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans: a cohort study. J Am Acad Dermatol 72(3): 419-425, 2015. PMID: 25582537. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaad.2014.11.020

- 14 Bowne WB, Antonescu CR, Leung DH, Katz SC, Hawkins WG, Woodruff JM, Brennan MF and Lewis JJ: Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans: A clinicopathologic analysis of patients treated and followed at a single institution. Cancer 88(12): 2711-2720, 2000. PMID: 10870053.
- 15 Connelly JH and Evans HL: Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans. A clinicopathologic review with emphasis on fibrosarcomatous areas. Am J Surg Pathol 16(10): 921-925, 1992. PMID: 1415902.
- 16 Llombart B, Monteagudo C, Sanmartín O, López-Guerrero JA, Serra-Guillén C, Poveda A, Jorda E, Fernandez-Serra A, Pellín A, Guillén C and Llombart-Bosch A: Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans: a clinicopathological, immunohistochemical, genetic (COL1A1-PDGFB), and therapeutic study of low-grade versus high-grade (fibrosarcomatous) tumors. J Am Acad Dermatol 65(3): 564-575, 2011. PMID: 21570152. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaad.2010.06.020
- 17 Nandra R, Forsberg J and Grimer R: If your lump is bigger than a golf ball and growing, think Sarcoma. Eur J Surg Oncol 41(10): 1400-1405, 2015. PMID: 26163048. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2015. 05.017
- 18 Goldblum JR, Reith JD and Weiss SW: Sarcomas arising in dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans: a reappraisal of biologic behavior in eighteen cases treated by wide local excision with extended clinical follow up. Am J Surg Pathol 24(8): 1125-1130, 2000. PMID: 10935653. DOI: 10.1097/00000478-200008000-00010
- 19 Szollosi Z and Nemes Z: Transformed dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans: a clinicopathological study of eight cases. J Clin Pathol 58(7): 751-756, 2005. PMID: 15976346. DOI: 10.1136/ jcp.2004.019349
- 20 Gladdy RA and Wunder JS: Risk-stratified surveillance in dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans: Less is more. Cancer 125(5): 670-672, 2019. PMID: 30644529. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.31922

- 21 Archontaki M, Korkolis DP, Arnogiannaki N, Konstantinidou C, Georgopoulos S, Dendrinos P, Zarkadas G and Kokkalis G: Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans: a case series of 16 patients treated in a single institution with literature review. Anticancer Res *30*(*9*): 3775-3779, 2010. PMID: 20944168.
- 22 MOHS FE: Chemosurgical treatment of cancer of the extremities and trunk; a microscopically controlled method of excision. Arch Surg 57(6): 818-832, 1948. PMID: 18111722. DOI: 10.1001/ archsurg.1948.01240020828005
- 23 Bowen GM, White GL Jr and Gerwels JW: Mohs micrographic surgery. Am Fam Physician 72(5): 845-848, 2005. PMID: 16156344.
- 24 Virkus WW, Marshall D, Enneking WF and Scarborough MT: The effect of contaminated surgical margins revisited. Clin Orthop Relat Res (397): 89-94, 2002. PMID: 11953600. DOI: 10.1097/00003086-200204000-00013
- 25 Enneking WF and Maale GE: The effect of inadvertent tumor contamination of wounds during the surgical resection of musculoskeletal neoplasms. Cancer 62(7): 1251-1256, 1988. PMID: 3416267. DOI: 10.1002/1097-0142(19881001)62:7<1251::aid-cncr2820620702>3.0.co;2-4
- 26 Novais EN, Demiralp B, Alderete J, Larson MC, Rose PS and Sim FH: Do surgical margin and local recurrence influence survival in soft tissue sarcomas? Clin Orthop Relat Res 468(11): 3003-3011, 2010. PMID: 20645035. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-010-1471-9

Received October 25, 2021 Revised November 13, 2021 Accepted November 14, 2021