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#### Abstract

Background/Aim: Previous reports identified a global proteomic signature of estrogen-independent letrozole resistant breast cancer cells, however, it remains unclear how letrozole-resistance is impacted when cells remain estrogen receptor positive ( $E R+$ ). Materials and Methods: To capture the protein expression profile associated with ER+ Aromatase inhibitor (AI) resistance, a global proteomic analysis was conducted using the letrozole-sensitive (T47Darom cells) and letrozole-resistant cells (T47DaromLR cells). To examine the molecular features associated with this phenotype KaplanMeier analysis, phospho-antibody arrays, proliferation and apoptosis assays were conducted. Results: MAP3K6 was upregulated in the T47DaromLR cells by 3.2-fold ( $p<0.01$ ) which was associated with a decrease in relapse-free survival among breast cancer patients ( $p=0.0019$ ). Members of the MAPK/p38 pathway (i.e., phospho-MKK6, phospho-p38, phospho-RSK1, phospho-RSK2, and p70S6K MAPK) were also increased in the T47DaromLR cells, while inhibiting p38 led to decreased proliferation and induction of apoptosis. Conclusion: Activation of the p38/MAPK pathway leads to ER+ AI-resistance.
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Aromatase inhibitors, like letrozole, are the first-line therapy for postmenopausal women with estrogen-dependent breast cancer. These agents block the biosynthesis of estrogen through aromatase inhibition and inhibit the proliferation of estrogen-dependent breast tumors. Unfortunately, after several years some patients develop therapeutic resistance, and the tumors continue to proliferate. To study aromatase inhibitor resistance in the laboratory setting, a letrozole resistant cell line was developed by inoculating the MCF-7Ca cells (MCF7 cells stably transfected into the human aromatase gene) into immunocompromised mice and treating the mice with letrozole for 56 weeks (1). After long-term treatment, the tumors acquired resistance to letrozole, were cultured in vitro and termed long term letrozole treated (LTLT)-Ca cells. Previous studies in our lab have demonstrated that as the letrozole-sensitive cells (AC-1) transition to the letrozoleresistant phenotype (LTLT-Ca) they are associated with estrogen independence, up-regulation of growth factor signaling cascades, and increased motility (2). However, the changes that occur as cells acquire resistance to letrozole while maintaining estrogen dependence remains unclear.

To this end, an in vitro model of letrozole resistance was developed whereby the T47D parental cell line was stably transfected with the human aromatase gene and termed the T47Darom cells. The T47Darom cells were treated with letrozole for 75 weeks until they became resistant to letrozole and were called T47DaromLR cells. When the T47DaromLR cells were characterized, they retained both aromatase activity and ER expression (3). As such, this was an ideal model to test the hypothesis that there are distinct proteomic profiles between estrogen-dependent and estrogen-independent letrozole resistant breast cancer cells,
which may be useful for therapeutic decision making. Here, we examined the proteomic signature associated with T47D cells as they acquire resistance to an aromatase inhibitor.

## Materials and Methods

Cell culture. In this study, we utilized two cell lines previously developed and derived from the T47D parental breast cancer cell line (3). The T47Darom cells (the T47D cells stably transfected with the human aromatase gene) were cultured and maintained in phenol red DMEM media (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with $5 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillinstreptomycin, antimycotic-antibiotic ( $10,000 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin G sodium; $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin sulfate), and $0.75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ geneticin (Invitrogen). The T47DaromLR cells were generated by treating the T47Darom for 75 weeks in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ letrozole and were maintained in phenol red-free DMEM media (Invitrogen) supplemented with $10 \%$ charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin-streptomycin, antimycotic-antibiotic $(10,000 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin G sodium; $10,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin sulfate), $7.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ geneticin (Invitrogen), and 1 mM letrozole (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The cells were maintained in a tissue culture incubator in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $95 \%$ air at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Both cell lines were a generous gift from ITT Research Institute.

MAPK and RTK phosphorylation antibody arrays. To compare the changes in activation/phosphorylation of MAPK and Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTK) between the T47Darom and T47DaromLR cells, the Human MAPK Phosphorylation Antibody Array (ab211061, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) and the Human RTK Phosphorylation Antibody Array Membrane (ab193662, Abcam), were evaluated respectively. The antibody arrays consisted of nitrocellulose membranes containing anti-MAPK or anti-RTK antibodies spotted duplicate and include positive and negative controls and a blank. Cell lysates were prepared from T47Darom and T47DaromLR cells using Cell Lysis Buffer supplemented with Phosphatase Inhibitor and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. For each cell lysate, $900 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total protein were determined by the Bradford Assay (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) and incubated in 2 ml of 1 X Blocking Buffer at room temperature for 30 min . The antibody array membranes were washed and subsequently incubated with Detection Antibody Cocktail (for MAPK assays) or with biotinylated anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (for RTK assays) overnight at ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to detect phosphorylated cytokines or tyrosine on activated receptors. After washing and incubation with HRP-streptavidin, the membranes were subjected to visualization with chemiluminescence-based detection method.

Cell lysis. T47Darom and T47DaromLR control cells were cultured to $80 \%$ confluence in standard growth medium as described above and washed three times with cold Hank's Buffered Salt Solution (HBSS), then collected with a cell scraper. Nonidet P-40 cell lysis buffer (Invitrogen) containing an additional 1 mM of phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and protease inhibitor mixture (SigmaAldrich) was used to extract total cellular proteins. The concentration of proteins was measured with BCA assay (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA). The cell lysates were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before further processing.

Trypsin digestion. Protein samples were digested with sequencing grade modified trypsin (Promega Corp, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, to aliquots of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein sample were added $45 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 200 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB) and the final volume was adjusted to $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ with ultrapure water. Five microliters of 200 mM Tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) were added and the resulting mixture was incubated for 1 h , then $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 375 mM iodoacetamide were added and the mixture was incubated for 30 min in the dark. After incubation, 1 ml of prechilled acetone was added and the precipitation was allowed to proceed overnight. The acetone-precipitated protein pellets were suspended with $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 200 mM TEAB and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of trypsin were added to digest the sample overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Tandem mass tags (TMT) labeling. Tandem mass tags TMT6 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with different molecular weights $(126 \sim 131 \mathrm{Da})$ were applied as isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification. According to the manufacturer's protocols, the digested samples were individually labeled with TMT6 reagents for 1 h as follows: three $100-\mu \mathrm{g}$ aliquots of digested peptides from the T47Darom cells were each labeled with a different isobaric tag (TMT126, 127, and 128, respectively). Likewise, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ aliquots of peptides from the T47DaromLR cells were labeled with TMT129, 130 , and 131 mass tags, respectively. The labeling reaction was quenched with 5\% hydroxylamine. Finally, the six labeled peptide aliquots were combined for subsequent fractionation.

Fractionation of labeled peptide mixture using a strong cation exchange column. The combined TMT labeled peptide mixture was fractionated with a strong cation exchange column (SCX) (Thermo Scientific) on a Shimadzu 2010 HPLC equipped with a UV detector (Shimadzu, Columbus, MD, USA). The mobile phase consisted of buffer A ( $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 25 \%$ acetonitrile, pH 2.8 ) and buffer B (buffer A plus 350 mM KCl ). The column was equilibrated with buffer A for 30 min before sample injection. The mobile phase gradient was set as follows at a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ : (a) 0 to $10 \mathrm{~min}: 0 \%$ buffer B; (b) 10 to $40 \mathrm{~min}: 0 \%$ to $25 \%$ buffer B, (c) 40 to $45 \mathrm{~min}: 25 \%$ to $100 \%$ buffer B ; (d) 45 to $50 \mathrm{~min}: 100 \%$ buffer B ; (e) 50 to 60 min : $100 \%$ to $0 \%$ buffer B; (f) 60 min to 90 min : $0 \%$ buffer B. A total of 60 fractions were initially collected, lyophilized, and combined into 15 final fractions based on SCX chromatographic peaks.

Desalination of fractionated samples. A C18 solid-phase extraction (SPE) column (Hyper-Sep SPE Columns, Thermo-Fisher Scientific) was used to desalt all collected fractions. The combined 15 fractions were each adjusted to 1 ml final volume containing $0.25 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ in water) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, Sigma-Aldrich). The C18 SPE columns were conditioned before use by filling them with 1 ml acetonitrile and allowing the solvent to pass through the column slowly ( $\sim 3 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The columns were then rinsed three times with 1 $\mathrm{ml} 0.25 \% ~(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ in water) TFA solution. The fractions were loaded on to the top of the SPE cartridge and allowed to elute slowly. Columns were washed four times with $1-\mathrm{ml} 0.25 \%$ TFA aliquots before the peptides were eluted with $3 \times 400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $80 \%$ acetonitrile $/ 0.1 \%$ formic acid (aqueous).

LC-MS/MS analysis on LTQ-Orbitrap. Peptides were analyzed on an LTQ-Orbitrap XL instrument (Thermo-Fisher Scientific) coupled to an Ultimate 3000 Dionex nanoflow LC system (Dionex,

Sunnyvale, CA, USA). High mass resolution was used for peptide identification and high energy collision dissociation (HCD) was employed for reporter ion quantification. The RP-LC system consisted of a peptide Cap- rap cartridge $(0.5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mm})$ (Michrom BioResources, Auburn, CA, USA) and a prepacked BioBasic C18 PicoFrit analytical column ( $75 \mu \mathrm{M}$ i.d. $\times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ length, New Objective, Woburn, MA, USA) fitted with a For-tisTip emitter tip. Samples were loaded onto the trap cartridge and washed with mobile phase $\mathrm{A}\left(98 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \%\right.$ acetonitrile, and $0.1 \%$ formic acid) for concentration and desalting. Subsequently, peptides were eluted over 180 min from the analytical column via the trap cartridge using a linear gradient of $6-100 \%$ mobile phase $\mathrm{B}\left(20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80 \%\right.$ acetonitrile, and $0.1 \%$ formic acid) at a flow-rate of $0.3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ per min using the following gradient: $6 \%$ B for $5 \mathrm{~min} ; 6-60 \%$ B for 125 $\mathrm{min} ; 60-100 \% \mathrm{~B}$ for 5 min ; hold at $100 \% \mathrm{~B}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min} ; 100-6 \% \mathrm{~B}$ in 2 min ; hold at $6 \% \mathrm{~B}$ for 38 min .

The LTQ-Orbitrap tandem mass spectrometer was operated in a data-dependent mode. Briefly, each full MS scan ( 60,000 resolving power) was followed by six MS/MS scans where the three most abundant molecular ions were dynamically selected and fragmented by collision-induced dissociation (CID) using a normalized collision energy of $35 \%$, and the same three molecular ions were also scanned three times by HCD-MS2 with collision energy of $45 \%$. MS scans were acquired in profile mode and MS/MS scans in centroid mode. LTQ-Orbitrap settings were as follows: spray voltage 2.0 kV , 1 microscan for MS1 scans at 60,000 resolutions (fwhm at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 400$ ), microscans for MS2 at 7500 resolutions (fwhm at $m / z 400$ ); full MS mass range, $m / z$ 400-1400; MS/MS mass range, $m / z$ 100-2000. The "FT master scan preview mode," "Charge state screening", "Monoisotopic precursor selection" and "Charge state rejection" were enabled so that only the $2+, 3+$, and $4+$ ions were selected and fragmented by CID and HCD.

Database search and TMT quantification. The protein search algorithm used was Mascot v2.3.01 (Matrix Science, Boston, MA, USA). Mascot format files were generated by the Proteome Discoverer 1.2 software (Thermo-Fisher Scientific) using the following criteria: database, IPI_Human.fasta. v3.77 (containing 89,422 entries and concatenated with the reversed versions of all sequences.); enzyme, trypsin; maximum missed cleavages, 2 ; Static modifications, carbamido- methylation (+57 Da), N-terminal TMT6plex (+229 Da), lysyl TMT6plex (+229 Da). Dynamic modifications, N-terminal Clnpyro- Glu ( +17 Da ); methionine oxidation $(+16 \mathrm{Da})$; STY phosphorylation $(+80 \mathrm{Da})$; Precursor mass tolerance was set at 20 ppm ; fragment match tolerance was set at 0.8 Da . Peptides reported by the search engine were accepted only if they met the false discovery rate of $p<0.05$ (target decoy database), a Mascot ion score $\geq 30$ for peptide identifications was required. For TMT quantification, the ratios of TMT reporter ion abundances in MS/MS spectra generated by HCD (up to six reporter ions ranging from $m / z 126.12$ to $m / z$ 131.14) from raw data sets were used to calculate fold changes in proteins between control and treatment.

Kaplan-Meier (KM) survival analysis. The application of KM plot has been described in detail previously (4). Briefly, KM plots were obtained using the KM Plotter web-based (kmplot.com/analysis) curator, which surveys public microarray repositories for relapsefree and overall survival among patients with breast, lung, ovarian or gastric cancers. The KM Plotter recognizes 54,675 individual

Affymetrix probe sets, and surveys expression data from 4,142 breast cancer patients (as of 2014). Survival and gene expression data were derived from the GEO (Gene Expression Omnibus), TCGA (The Cancer Genome Atlas), and EGA (European Genomephenome Atlas) databases. In order to ascertain P4HB expression, Affymetrix probe 200654 was selected. Overall Survival in the total population (1402 patients) was determined.

Viability assay. Proliferation assays were performed as previously described (5). Specifically, the T47Darom cells (aromatase inhibitor sensitive) were plated in 96-well plates at a density of $2 \times 10^{3}$ cells per well for each cell line and allowed to recover for 24 h . The T47DaromLR cells (AI-resistant) were cultured in the presence of letrozole. For proliferation assays, the cells were treated with DMSO (control) or $30 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{SB205380}$ in 96-well plates to determine the effects of the various treatments in the absence of letrozole. The resazurin dye (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each well at $10 \%$ of the total volume and measured at 24 h . The SpectraMax ${ }^{\circledR}$ MiniMax ${ }^{\circledR} 300$ Imaging Cytometer (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA) was used to measure fluorescence and background wavelengths at 530 nm and 590 nm to determine proliferation. All experiments were performed with $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$ and a total of 3 biological replicates were performed. The proliferative activity was calculated as a percent of the vehicle controls as follows:

Antiproliferative activity=[Fluorescence of viable cells (control) Fluorescence of viable cells (treated)]/Fluorescence of viable cells (control).

Caspase 3/7 apoptosis assay. The T47Darom and T47DaromLR cells were seeded at a density of $1.5 \times 10^{3}$ cells in a 96 -well plate with appropriate media overnight. Cells were treated the following day with DMSO (control) or $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{SB205380}$. Cells were incubated for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. On the following day, the cells treated with $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ CellEvent ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Caspase-3/7 Green Detection Reagent (Invitrogen) and incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The cells were then fixed with $4 \%$ formaldehyde and allowed to incubate for 15 min at room temperature. The SpectraMax ${ }^{\circledR}$ MiniMax ${ }^{\circledR} 300$ Imaging Cytometer (Molecular Devices) was used to measure fluorescence and background wavelengths at 530 nm and 502 nm , respectively. Cells were imaged on Nikon Ti Eclipse microscope (Minato, Tokyo, Japan) using a FITC filter.

## Results

## Proteomic profile of estrogen-dependent letrozole resistant

 breast cancer cells is associated with a unique signature. To examine the global changes in protein expression as cells transition from AI-sensitive to AI-resistant while maintaining ER expression, a gel-free proteomic approach combining tandem mass tag (TMT) labeling, two-dimensional HPLC, and high-resolution mass spectrometry was utilized. The identities of over 1900 proteins with quantitative abundance ratios were present in both the letrozole sensitive (T47Darom) and the letrozole resistant (T47DaromLR) breast cancer cells. Abundance ratios $\geq 1.25$ were defined as high while those $\leq 0.8$ were defined as low. Of the quantified proteins, a total of 127 were significantly high ( $p<0.05$ ) asindicated by Table I, while 111 were significantly low ( $p<0.05$ ) as shown by Table II. The top three up-regulated proteins were mitogen-activated kinase kinase kinase 6 (M3K6), protein disulfide isomerase ( P 4 HB ), and midasin (MDN1), and they were increased by 3.204 -fold ( $p<0.01$ ), 3.195 -fold ( $p<0.01$ ), and 2.759 -fold ( $p<0.001$ ), respectively. These proteins are indicated in bold. Among the downregulated proteins, Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase (FKBP4) was decreased by 0.484 -fold ( $p<0.001$ ) which is also indicated in bold.

High MAP3K6 expression is associated with decreased relapse-free survival. Since MAP3K6 was significantly increased, we sought to examine the clinical relevance on patient survival. To predict whether increased MAP3K6 expression levels were associated with differences in survival, KM Plotter was used to interrogate publicly available microarray repositories of breast cancer patients. Based on these parameters, high MAP3K6 expression was found to be associated with a decrease in relapse-free survival ( $p=0.0019$ ) with a hazard ratio of 0.84 (0.75-0.84) (Figure 1).

The MAPK signaling cascade is activated as cells acquire resistance to letrozole. Since M3K6 exhibited the highest increase in expression between the two cell lines, we were interested in determining whether this alteration was associated with activation of the MAPK signaling cascades as a result of ER+ letrozole resistance. To study multiple proteins within this pathway and other receptor tyrosine kinase signaling cascades, the MAPK and RTK phosphoantibody arrays were utilized to measure the activation of key signaling components between the T47Darom and the T47DaromLR cells. We chose both arrays because a previous finding from our group demonstrated that HER2 expression was increased in the T47DaromLR cells (6). Although total HER2 expression was previously shown to be increased, activated ErbB2 (HER2) levels were decreased by 1.40 -fold ( $p<0.05$ ), suggesting this may not be a critical driver associated with ER+ AI resistance (Figure 2). We also observed increased expression of IGF1R which was previously found up-regulated in the LTLT-Ca cells (7).
When signaling components associated with the MAPK pathway were assessed, several phosphorylated proteins of interest were significantly increased including MKK6 (1.20fold), p38 (1.41-fold), p70S6K (1.74-fold), phosphoRibosomal S6 Kinase 1 (1.71-fold) and phospho-Ribosomal S6 Kinase 2 (4.74-fold) (Figure 3). This was highly relevant as this pathway is associated with growth, differentiation, apoptosis, and survival. Since there was not a significant change in Akt and mTOR expression, it is unlikely that the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway plays a major role in ER+ acquired AI resistance. Additionally, since both MEK and ERK1 were significantly decreased by 1.43 - and 1.08 -fold


Figure 1. High MAP3K6 expression is associated with decreased relapse-free survival in breast cancer patients. Using Kaplan-Meier Plotter (KM Plotter), publicly available microarray repositories for breast cancer were interrogated to determine whether MAP3K6 expression was associated with different survival rates among breast cancer patients. Hazard ratio (HR) and Log-rank p-values are shown. Low expression (below median) is noted in black, and the high expression (above median) is noted in red.
respectively, the Ras pathway may not be relevant. Taken together, this data suggests the p38/MAPK pathway may be a major driver of ER+ letrozole resistance. It was also noted that there was a 1.43 -fold increase in p53 expression. This finding was not unusual as the T47D cell line expresses mutant p53 and in cultured cells, overexpression of tumorassociated p53 mutants has been shown to interfere with stress-induced apoptosis, increase resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs (8-12), promote genomic instability (13), and enhance proliferation (14).

The p38/MAPK pathway drives letrozole resistance. Since the proteomic analysis and the antibody array results demonstrated that increased p38/MAPK signaling was associated with the T47DaromLR cells, we wanted to determine whether inhibition of this pathway was responsible, in part, for the refractory nature of the cells as well as their aggressive phenotype. To test this, both the T47Darom and T47DaromLR cells were treated with $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SB 203580 (a selective p38 MAPK) and proliferation was measured. Our results demonstrated that while the T47Darom cells were unaffected by SB 203580 treatment,

Table I. Up-regulated proteins in letrozole resistant T47DaromLR breast cancer cells. Proteomic analyses were performed as described in the Methods section and the ratio of the fold change in T47DaromLR/T47Darom cells is shown. Text in bold indicates the proteins exhibiting the greatest fold change.

| Accession | Description | \# AAs | MW [kDa] | Fold change | $\begin{gathered} t \text {-test } \\ (p \text {-Value }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 095382 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 6 OS=Homo sapiens GN=MAP3K6 PE=1 SV=3 - [M3K6_HUMAN] | 1,288 | 142.506 | 3.2037514 | $p<0.01$ |
| H0Y3Z3 | Protein disulfide-isomerase (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=P4HB PE=1 SV=1 - [H0Y3Z3_HUMAN] | 274 | 31.5234 | 3.1950322 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q9NU22 | Midasin OS=Homo sapiens GN=MDN1 PE=1 SV=2 [MDN1_HUMAN] | 5,596 | 632.42 | 2.7587754 | $p<0.001$ |
| R4GN98 | Protein S100 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=S100A6 PE=1 SV=1 - [R4GN98_HUMAN] | 85 | 9.67506 | 2.6447744 | $p<0.01$ |
| P60903 | Protein S100-A10 OS=Homo sapiens GN=S100A10 PE=1 SV=2 - [S10AA_HUMAN] | 97 | 11.1955 | 2.5544666 | $p<0.001$ |
| K7EQI0 | Paragranulin (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=GRN PE=4 SV=1 - [K7EQI0_HUMAN] | 110 | 11.8242 | 2.4237794 | $p<0.01$ |
| P04083 | Annexin A1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=ANXA1 PE=1 SV=2 - [ANXA1_HUMAN] | 346 | 38.69 | 2.1587977 | $p<0.001$ |
| E5RG29 | Aspartyl/asparaginyl beta-hydroxylase (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=ASPH PE=4 SV=1 - [E5RG29_HUMAN] | 198 | 22.2265 | 2.1382553 | $p<0.01$ |
| H0YA55 | Serum albumin (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=ALB $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [H0YA55_HUMAN] | 454 | 51.5358 | 2.129391 | $p<0.001$ |
| A0A087WYQ7 | Kynureninase (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=KYNU $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [A0A087WYQ7_HUMAN] | 157 | 17.3711 | 2.0269261 | $p<0.05$ |
| P07476 | Involucrin OS=Homo sapiens GN=IVL PE=1 SV=2 - [INVO_HUMAN] | 585 | 68.4372 | 1.9934225 | $p<0.01$ |
| F5H7F6 | Microsomal glutathione S-transferase 1 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=MGST1 PE=1 SV=1 - [F5H7F6_HUMAN] | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ 2,997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.88048 \\ & 335.717 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8163795 \\ & 1.8045951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} p<0.001 \\ p<0.01 \end{gathered}$ |
| Q9P2D1 | Chromodomain-helicase-DNA-binding protein 7 OS=Homo sapiens GN=CHD7 PE=1 SV=3 - [CHD7_HUMAN] |  |  |  |  |
| O76070 | Gamma-synuclein OS=Homo sapiens GN=SNCG PE=1 SV=2 - [SYUG_HUMAN] | 127 | 13.3228 | 1.7970365 | $p<0.001$ |
| P07355 | Annexin A2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=ANXA2 PE=1 SV=2 - [ANXA2_HUMAN] | 339 | 38.5798 | 1.7904175 | $p<0.001$ |
| E9PKU7 | Neutral alpha-glucosidase AB OS=Homo sapiens GN=GANAB $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [E9PKU7_HUMAN] | 852 | 96.4831 | 1.7543555 | $p<0.001$ |
| P31949 | Protein S100-A11 OS=Homo sapiens GN=S100A11 PE=1 SV=2 - [S10AB_HUMAN] | 105 | 11.7328 | 1.7525625 | $p<0.01$ |
| P08729 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7 OS=Homo sapiens GN=KRT7 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=5-\left[\mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{C} 7 \_\mathrm{HUMAN}\right]$ | 469 | 51.3543 | 1.7525381 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q14019 | Coactosin-like protein OS=Homo sapiens GN=COTL1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3$ - [COTL1_HUMAN] | 142 | 15.935 | 1.7007204 | $p<0.001$ |
| A0A087WUZ6 | InaD-like protein OS=Homo sapiens GN=INADL PE=1 SV=1 - [A0A087WUZ6_HUMAN] | 1,182 | 130 | 1.6896547 | $p<0.001$ |
| P50897 | Palmitoyl-protein thioesterase 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PPT1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [PPT1_HUMAN] | 306 | 34.1713 | 1.6864107 | $p<0.001$ |
| R4GN18 | Membrane cofactor protein (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=CD46 PE=4 SV=1 - [R4GN18_HUMAN] | 78 | 8.4965 | 1.636669 | $p<0.001$ |
| P02647 | Apolipoprotein A-I OS=Homo sapiens GN=APOA1 PE=1 SV=1 - [APOA1_HUMAN] | 267 | 30.7589 | 1.624178 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q8WWA1 | Transmembrane protein 40 OS=Homo sapiens GN=TMEM40 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [TMM40_HUMAN] | 233 | 25.4793 | 1.6170389 | $p<0.001$ |
| P09467 | Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=FBP1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=5-\left[\mathrm{F} 16 \mathrm{P} 1 \_\right.$HUMAN $]$ | 338 | 36.8188 | 1.6147259 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q15847 | Adipogenesis regulatory factor OS=Homo sapiens GN=ADIRF $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [ADIRF_HUMAN] | 76 | 7.84997 | 1.6089368 | $p<0.01$ |
| O76062 | Delta(14)-sterol reductase OS=Homo sapiens GN=TM7SF2 $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=3$ - [ERG24_HUMAN] | 418 | 46.3755 | 1.608441 | $p<0.001$ |
| P05026 | Sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase subunit beta-1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=ATP1B1 PE=1 SV=1 - [AT1B1_HUMAN] | 303 | 35.0389 | 1.6033027 | $p<0.001$ |

Table I. Continued

| Accession | Description | A AAs | MW [kDa] | Fold change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P12532 | Creatine kinase U-type, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens <br> $(p-$ Value $)$ |  |  |  |
|  | GN=CKMT1A PE=1 SV=1 - [KCRU_HUMAN] | 417 | 47.0072 | 1.595103 |

Table I. Continued

| Accession | Description | \# AAs | MW [kDa] | Fold change | $\begin{gathered} t \text {-test } \\ (p \text {-Value }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P53007 | Tricarboxylate transport protein, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=SLC25A1 PE=1 SV=2 - [TXTP_HUMAN] | 311 | 33.991 | 1.3987563 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q8TE77 | Protein phosphatase Slingshot homolog 3 OS=Homo sapiens GN=SSH3 PE=1 SV=2 - [SSH3_HUMAN] | 659 | 72.9505 | 1.3976351 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q10589 | Bone marrow stromal antigen 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=BST2 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1-$ [BST2_HUMAN] | 180 | 19.7563 | 1.3894274 | $p<0.001$ |
| F8VV56 | CD63 antigen OS=Homo sapiens GN=CD63 PE=1 SV=1 - [F8VV56_HUMAN] | 145 | 16.0099 | 1.3848263 | $p<0.05$ |
| Q14165 | Malectin OS=Homo sapiens GN=MLEC PE=1 SV=1 - [MLEC_HUMAN] | 292 | 32.2136 | 1.3751279 | $p<0.001$ |
| A3KMH1 | von Willebrand factor A domain-containing protein 8 OS=Homo sapiens GN=VWA8 PE=1 SV=2 - [VWA8_HUMAN] | 1,905 | 214.689 | 1.3749854 | $p<0.01$ |
| P10606 | Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 5B, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=COX5B PE=1 SV=2 - [COX5B_HUMAN] | 129 | 13.6869 | 1.3698091 | $p<0.01$ |
| A0A087WVJ0 | Mucin-1 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=MUC1 $\mathrm{PE}=4 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [A0A087WVJO_HUMAN] | 228 | 25.5498 | 1.3697826 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9Y3Q3 | Transmembrane emp24 domain-containing protein 3 OS=Homo sapiens GN=TMED3 PE=1 SV=1 - [TMED3_HUMAN] | 217 | 24.7613 | 1.3645039 | $p<0.01$ |
| P07686 | Beta-hexosaminidase subunit beta OS=Homo sapiens GN=HEXB PE=1 SV=3 - [HEXB_HUMAN] | 556 | 63.0712 | 1.3635416 | $p<0.001$ |
| O95816 | BAG family molecular chaperone regulator 2 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{BAG} 2 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1-\left[\mathrm{BAG} 2 \_H U M A N\right]$ | 211 | 23.7572 | 1.3633407 | $p<0.01$ |
| P09525 | Annexin A4 OS=Homo sapiens GN=ANXA4 PE=1 SV=4 - [ANXA4_HUMAN] | 319 | 35.8601 | 1.3605119 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9Y394 | Dehydrogenase/reductase SDR family member 7 OS=Homo sapiens GN=DHRS7 PE=1 SV=1 - [DHRS7_HUMAN] | 339 | 38.2741 | 1.3556808 | $p<0.001$ |
| P58107 | Epiplakin OS=Homo sapiens GN=EPPK1 PE=1 SV=2 - [EPIPL_HUMAN] | 5,090 | 555.279 | 1.3520266 | $p<0.001$ |
| P00491 | Purine nucleoside phosphorylase $\mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens GN=PNP $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [PNPH_HUMAN] | 289 | 32.0972 | 1.3504636 | $p<0.001$ |
| O00625 | Pirin OS=Homo sapiens GN=PIR PE=1 SV=1 - [PIR_HUMAN] | 290 | 32.0933 | 1.3476629 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q9BVC6 | Transmembrane protein 109 OS=Homo sapiens GN=TMEM109 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [TM109_HUMAN] | 243 | 26.1936 | 1.343218 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9NR28 | Diablo homolog, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=DIABLO PE=1 SV=1 - [DBLOH_HUMAN] | 239 | 27.1137 | 1.3408889 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q86UP2 | Kinectin OS=Homo sapiens GN=KTN1 PE=1 SV=1 - [KTN1_HUMAN] | 1,357 | 156.179 | 1.3392072 | $p<0.001$ |
| P04181 | Ornithine aminotransferase, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=OAT PE=1 SV=1 - [OAT_HUMAN] | 439 | 48.5042 | 1.3330075 | $p<0.001$ |
| O15270 | Serine palmitoyltransferase 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=SPTLC2 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1-$ [SPTC2_HUMAN] | 562 | 62.8839 | 1.3326487 | $p<0.05$ |
| E9PF16 | Acyl-CoA synthetase family member 2, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=ACSF2 PE=4 SV=1 - [E9PF16_HUMAN] | 572 | 63.6114 | 1.3315351 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q14956 | Transmembrane glycoprotein NMB OS=Homo sapiens GN=GPNMB PE=1 SV=2 - [GPNMB_HUMAN] | 572 | 63.8819 | 1.3315248 | $p<0.01$ |
| O75531 | Barrier-to-autointegration factor OS=Homo sapiens GN=BANF1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1-$ [BAF_HUMAN] | 89 | 10.052 | 1.3302003 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q9H0U4 | Ras-related protein Rab-1B OS=Homo sapiens GN=RAB1B $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1-$ [RAB1B_HUMAN] | 201 | 22.1572 | 1.3258098 | $p<0.001$ |
| P05783 | Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 18 OS=Homo sapiens GN=KRT18 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [K1C18_HUMAN] | 430 | 48.0285 | 1.3239039 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9UDW1 | Cytochrome b-c1 complex subunit 9 OS=Homo sapiens GN=UQCR10 PE=1 SV=3 - [QCR9_HUMAN] | 63 | 7.30383 | 1.3232184 | $p<0.001$ |
| H3BM67 | Nucleolar protein 3 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=NOL3 $\mathrm{PE}=4 \mathrm{SV}=3-$ [H3BM67_HUMAN] | 139 | 15.0125 | 1.3207562 | $p<0.01$ |
| P50454 | Serpin H1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=SERPINH1 PE=1 SV=2 - [SERPH_HUMAN] | 418 | 46.4112 | 1.3189995 | $p<0.001$ |
| P15529 | Membrane cofactor protein OS=Homo sapiens GN=CD46 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3$ - [MCP_HUMAN] | 392 | 43.7187 | 1.3184128 | $p<0.01$ |

Table I. Continued

| Accession | Description | \# AAs | MW [kDa] | Fold change | $\begin{gathered} t \text {-test } \\ (p \text {-Value }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J3KT68 | Transmembrane protein 97 OS=Homo sapiens GN=TMEM97 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [J3KT68_HUMAN] | 92 | 10.9688 | 1.3162762 | $p<0.01$ |
| P35232 | Prohibitin OS=Homo sapiens GN=PHB PE=1 SV=1 - [PHB_HUMAN] | 272 | 29.7859 | 1.3155472 | $p<0.001$ |
| P29373 | Cellular retinoic acid-binding protein 2 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{CRABP} 2 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [RABP2_HUMAN] | 138 | 15.683 | 1.3147 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9BR76 | Coronin-1B OS=Homo sapiens GN=CORO1B $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [COR1B_HUMAN] | 489 | 54.2003 | 1.3079348 | $p<0.001$ |
| P61604 | 10 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=HSPE1 PE=1 SV=2 - [CH10_HUMAN] | 102 | 10.9249 | 1.3066874 | $p<0.01$ |
| P14314 | Glucosidase 2 subunit beta OS=Homo sapiens GN=PRKCSH $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [GLU2B_HUMAN] | 528 | 59.3878 | 1.3050784 | $p<0.001$ |
| H0YDJ4 | Ninein (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=NIN PE=1 SV=1 - [H0YDJ4_HUMAN] | 1,537 | 179.439 | 1.3034976 | $p<0.01$ |
| E7ERY9 | Calcium-transporting ATPase OS=Homo sapiens GN=ATP2B1 PE=1 SV=2 - [E7ERY9_HUMAN] | 963 | 106.789 | 1.30286 | $p<0.001$ |
| P26885 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase FKBP2 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{FKBP} 2 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2-\left[\mathrm{FKBP} 2 \_\mathrm{HUMAN}\right]$ | 142 | 15.6393 | 1.3022129 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9BTV4 | Transmembrane protein 43 OS=Homo sapiens GN=TMEM43 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [TMM43_HUMAN] | 400 | 44.8472 | 1.3012834 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q15075 | Early endosome antigen 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=EEA1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [EEA1_HUMAN] | 1,411 | 162.367 | 1.2999296 | $p<0.001$ |
| P04004 | Vitronectin OS=Homo sapiens GN=VTN PE=1 SV=1 - [VTNC_HUMAN] | 478 | 54.2712 | 1.2952339 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q6P996 | Pyridoxal-dependent decarboxylase domain-containing protein 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PDXDC1 PE=1 SV=2 - [PDXD1_HUMAN] | 788 | 86.6523 | 1.2938765 | $p<0.05$ |
| P04179 | Superoxide dismutase [Mn], mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=SOD2 PE=1 SV=2 - [SODM_HUMAN] | 222 | 24.7066 | 1.293093 | $p<0.001$ |
| M0QYZ0 | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein U-like protein 1 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=HNRNPUL1 PE=1 SV=1 - [M0QYZ0_HUMAN] | 371 | 42.2697 | 1.2927079 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9BZQ8 | Protein Niban OS=Homo sapiens GN=FAM129A PE=1 SV=1 - [NIBAN_HUMAN] | 928 | 103.07 | 1.2916002 | $p<0.001$ |
| P00390 | Glutathione reductase, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=GSR PE=1 SV=2 - [GSHR_HUMAN] | 522 | 56.221 | 1.290853 | $p<0.001$ |
| K7EM09 | Transmembrane protein 205 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=TMEM205 PE=1 SV=1 - [K7EM09_HUMAN] | 120 | 13.3807 | 1.2885968 | $p<0.001$ |
| I3L3E4 | Charged multivesicular body protein 6 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=CHMP6 PE=1 SV=1 - [I3L3E4_HUMAN] | 113 | 12.9314 | 1.2840595 | $p<0.05$ |
| Q9BX68 | Histidine triad nucleotide-binding protein 2, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=HINT2 PE=1 SV=1 - [HINT2_HUMAN] | 163 | 17.1512 | 1.2837525 | $p<0.001$ |
| P45973 | Chromobox protein homolog 5 OS=Homo sapiens GN=CBX5 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [CBX5_HUMAN] | 191 | 22.2111 | 1.281572 | $p<0.001$ |
| P05787 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 8 OS=Homo sapiens GN=KRT8 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=7-\left[\mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{C} 8 \_\mathrm{HUMAN}\right]$ | 483 | 53.6711 | 1.2780591 | $p<0.001$ |
| H0Y339 | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RFWD2 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=RFWD2 PE=4 SV=1 - [H0Y339_HUMAN] | 566 | 64.4877 | 1.2775849 | $p<0.01$ |
| P02787 | Serotransferrin OS=Homo sapiens GN=TF PE=1 SV=3 - [TRFE_HUMAN] | 698 | 77.0136 | 1.2759193 | $p<0.01$ |
| A8MT65 | Zinc finger protein 891 OS=Homo sapiens GN=ZNF891 PE=2 SV=2 - [ZN891_HUMAN] | 544 | 63.5502 | 1.2734141 | $p<0.01$ |
| O75874 | Isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP] cytoplasmic OS=Homo sapiens GN=IDH1 PE=1 SV=2 - [IDHC_HUMAN] | 414 | 46.6295 | 1.2724354 | $p<0.001$ |
| P12236 | ADP/ATP translocase 3 OS=Homo sapiens GN=SLC25A6 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=4$ - [ADT3_HUMAN] | 298 | 32.8452 | 1.2713881 | $p<0.01$ |
| 075131 | Copine-3 OS=Homo sapiens GN=CPNE3 PE=1 SV=1 - [CPNE3_HUMAN] | 537 | 60.0921 | 1.2713204 | $p<0.001$ |
| F8VVM2 | Phosphate carrier protein, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=SLC25A3 PE=1 SV=1 - [F8VVM2_HUMAN] | 324 | 36.1376 | 1.2702845 | $p<0.001$ |

Table I. Continued

| Accession | Description | \# AAs | MW [kDa] | Fold change | $\begin{gathered} t \text {-test } \\ (p \text {-Value }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q2TAY7 | WD40 repeat-containing protein SMU1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=SMU1 PE=1 SV=2 - [SMU1_HUMAN] | 513 | 57.5072 | 1.2690306 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q15293 | Reticulocalbin-1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=RCN1 PE=1 SV=1 - [RCN1_HUMAN] | 331 | 38.8662 | 1.2635162 | $p<0.001$ |
| E9PM95 | Apolipoprotein L2 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=APOL2 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [E9PM95_HUMAN] | 128 | 14.9386 | 1.2630585 | $p<0.01$ |
| A0A087X208 | Agrin OS=Homo sapiens GN=AGRN PE=4 SV=1 - [A0A087X208_HUMAN] | 1,930 | 202.162 | 1.2620734 | $p<0.001$ |
| A0A087WY61 | Nuclear mitotic apparatus protein 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=NUMA1 PE=1 SV=1 - [A0A087WY61_HUMAN] | 2,099 | 236.019 | 1.2614504 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q16698 | 2,4-dienoyl-CoA reductase, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=DECR1 PE=1 SV=1 - [DECR_HUMAN] | 335 | 36.0448 | 1.2589002 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q14011 | Cold-inducible RNA-binding protein OS=Homo sapiens GN=CIRBP PE=1 SV=1 - [CIRBP_HUMAN] | 172 | 18.6367 | 1.2565207 | $p<0.001$ |
| P14625 | Endoplasmin OS=Homo sapiens GN=HSP90B1 PE=1 SV=1 - [ENPL_HUMAN] | 803 | 92.4113 | 1.2542374 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q96HE7 | ERO1-like protein alpha OS=Homo sapiens GN=ERO1L $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [ERO1A_HUMAN] | 468 | 54.3581 | 1.2516932 | $p<0.001$ |
| P17900 | Ganglioside GM2 activator OS=Homo sapiens GN=GM2A $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=4-$ [SAP3_HUMAN] | 193 | 20.8247 | 1.2515007 | $p<0.001$ |
| P27824 | Calnexin OS=Homo sapiens GN=CANX PE=1 SV=2 - [CALX_HUMAN] | 592 | 67.5259 | 1.2502035 | $p<0.001$ |

there was a 1.47 -fold $(p<0.0001)$ reduction in the proliferation of the T47DaromLR cells (Figure 4). As the growth of the T47DaromLR cells was selectively decreased by the p38 inhibitor, we chose to assess whether this effect was associated with alterations in apoptosis. The caspase $3 / 7$ assay was performed on both cell lines in the presence and absence of the p38 inhibitor (Figure 5). While the T47Darom cells were unresponsive, there was a slight induction in apoptosis in the T47DaromLR cells treated with the p38 inhibitor, suggesting the p38/MAPK pathway may play a role in endocrine resistance.

## Discussion

Aromatase inhibitors have been the first-line agents for postmenopausal women with hormone receptor positive metastatic breast cancer for many years. However, like many agents, some women develop resistance and stop responding to therapies, which can result in tumor relapse, metastasis, and a more aggressive phenotype. Thus, understanding mechanisms of resistance are critical to the development of novel strategies to address these patients. Previous reports by our group have identified a signature of letrozole resistance that was associated with estrogen independence, increased EGFR and HER2, enhanced motility, and an EMT phenotype (2). We focused on understanding the mechanisms of AI resistance associated with hormone refractory tumors, however the
distinctions between AI-resistance in the hormone dependent versus hormone independent settings remain unclear.

Here, we utilized a non-migratory, ER+ letrozole resistant cell line (i.e., derived from the T47D epithelial cells) as a model system to initially characterize changes that occur as cells transition to an acquired AI-resistant phenotype. Although these cells are ER+, they are cross resistant to the selective estrogen receptor modulator tamoxifen, (3) further demonstrating their aggressive nature and limited therapeutic options. As the AI-sensitive cells transitioned to AIresistance, both HER2 and MAPK expression were induced (6). This was a similar phenomenon previously observed in the hormone-independent AI-resistant LTLT-Ca cells (2). When the protein expression of a panel of phosphorylated receptor tyrosine kinases were evaluated, pErbB2 (i.e., pHER2) expression was decreased in the T47DaromLR cells compared to the T47DaromLR cells, suggesting that other pathways may be responsible for the acquired resistance. This was a unique feature of the T47DaromLR cells compared to the LTLT-Ca cells. Previous reports have demonstrated that increased pHER2 expression in the LTLTCa cells and trastuzumab was capable of reversing the resistance and restoring sensitivity to letrozole (15).
Since the T47DaromLR cells exhibited increased MAPK, we evaluated a panel of phosphorylated proteins involved in the MAPK signaling cascade. Signaling components and effectors of the p38/MAPK pathway (i.e., MKK6 and p38)

Table II. Down-regulated proteins in letrozole resistant T47DaromLR breast cancer cells. Proteomic analyses were performed as described in the Methods section and the ratio of the fold change in T47DaromLR/T47Darom cells is shown. The text in bold indicates a protein of interest.

| Accession | Description | \# AAs | MW [kDa] | Fold change | $\begin{gathered} t \text {-test } \\ (p \text {-value }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q15185 | Prostaglandin E synthase 3 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PTGES3 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [TEBP_HUMAN] | 160 | 18.6854194 | 0.79904589 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q9NYL9 | Tropomodulin-3 OS=Homo sapiens GN=TMOD3 PE=1 SV=1 - [TMOD3_HUMAN] | 352 | 39.5703018 | 0.79902558 | $p<0.05$ |
| P18669 | Phosphoglycerate mutase 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PGAM1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [PGAM1_HUMAN] | 254 | 28.7858365 | 0.79865701 | $p<0.001$ |
| P19338 | Nucleolin OS=Homo sapiens GN=NCL PE=1 SV=3 - [NUCL_HUMAN] | 710 | 76.5683628 | 0.79790721 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q12931 | Heat shock protein 75 kDa , mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=TRAP1 PE=1 SV=3 - [TRAP1_HUMAN] | 704 | 80.0596949 | 0.79777903 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q86Y56 | HEAT repeat-containing protein 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=HEATR2 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=4$ - [HEAT2_HUMAN] | 855 | 93.4620686 | 0.79675307 | $p<0.001$ |
| K7EJE8 | Lon protease homolog, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=LONP1 PE=1 SV=1 - [K7EJE8_HUMAN] | 829 | 93.2379667 | 0.79656905 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q8IZ52 | Chondroitin sulfate synthase $2 \mathrm{OS}=\mathrm{Homo}$ sapiens GN=CHPF PE=1 SV=2 - [CHSS2_HUMAN] | 775 | 85.4140471 | 0.79611883 | $p<0.01$ |
| P31939 | Bifunctional purine biosynthesis protein PURH OS=Homo sapiens GN=ATIC PE=1 SV=3 - [PUR9_HUMAN] | 592 | 64.5753451 | 0.79607097 | $p<0.001$ |
| O15371 | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit D OS=Homo sapiens GN=EIF3D PE=1 SV=1 - [EIF3D_HUMAN] | 548 | 63.9324345 | 0.79584502 | $p<0.001$ |
| P12004 | Proliferating cell nuclear antigen OS=Homo sapiens GN=PCNA $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1-[\mathrm{PCNA}$ _HUMAN] | 261 | 28.7502945 | 0.79483268 | $p<0.001$ |
| P68371 | Tubulin beta-4B chain OS=Homo sapiens GN=TUBB4B $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [TBB4B_HUMAN] | 445 | 49.7990043 | 0.79464307 | $p<0.01$ |
| V9GYX7 | Actin-related protein 10 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=ACTR $10 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [V9GYX7_HUMAN] | 302 | 33.2901748 | 0.79372567 | $p<0.05$ |
| P62258 | 14-3-3 protein epsilon OS=Homo sapiens GN=YWHAE PE=1 SV=1 - [1433E_HUMAN] | 255 | 29.1554174 | 0.7932199 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9NZL9 | Methionine adenosyltransferase 2 subunit beta OS=Homo sapiens GN=MAT2B PE=1 SV=1 - [MAT2B_HUMAN] | 334 | 37.5281776 | 0.7926943 | $p<0.001$ |
| P13995 | Bifunctional methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase/ cyclohydrolase, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=MTHFD2 PE=1 SV=2 - [MTDC_HUMAN] | 350 | 37.8711975 | 0.79227913 | $p<0.01$ |
| P62826 | GTP-binding nuclear protein Ran OS=Homo sapiens GN=RAN $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3-$ [RAN_HUMAN] | 216 | 24.4076189 | 0.791804 | $p<0.001$ |
| P50990 | T-complex protein 1 subunit theta OS=Homo sapiens GN=CCT8 PE=1 SV=4 - [TCPQ_HUMAN] | 548 | 59.582509 | 0.78997166 | $p<0.001$ |
| M0R210 | 40S ribosomal protein S16 OS=Homo sapiens GN=RPS16 PE=1 SV=1 - [M0R210_HUMAN] | 129 | 14.4099586 | 0.78837739 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9NPH2 | Inositol-3-phosphate synthase 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=ISYNA1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [INO1_HUMAN] | 558 | 61.029104 | 0.78806023 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q32Q12 | Nucleoside diphosphate kinase OS=Homo sapiens GN=NME1-NME2 PE=1 SV=1 - [Q32Q12_HUMAN] | 292 | 32.6208685 | 0.78792838 | $p<0.001$ |
| P40937 | Replication factor C subunit 5 OS=Homo sapiens GN=RFC5 PE=1 SV=1 - [RFC5_HUMAN] | 340 | 38.4721873 | 0.78511694 | $p<0.01$ |
| D6RFM5 | Succinate dehydrogenase [ubiquinone] flavoprotein subunit, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=SDHA $\mathrm{PE}=1$ SV=1 - [D6RFM5_HUMAN] | 583 | 63.5266889 | 0.78479348 | $p<0.001$ |
| H7C3S9 | COP9 signalosome complex subunit 8 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=COPS8 PE=1 SV=1 - [H7C3S9_HUMAN] | 83 | 9.03868894 | 0.78280605 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q99536 | Synaptic vesicle membrane protein VAT-1 homolog OS=Homo sapiens GN=VAT1 PE=1 SV=2 - [VAT1_HUMAN] | 393 | 41.8934194 | 0.78202386 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9BUF5 | Tubulin beta-6 chain OS=Homo sapiens GN=TUBB6 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [TBB6_HUMAN] | 446 | 49.8250022 | 0.78081583 | $p<0.05$ |
| Q9Y2Z0 | Suppressor of G2 allele of SKP1 homolog OS=Homo sapiens GN=SUGT1 PE=1 SV=3 - [SUGT1_HUMAN] | 365 | 40.998492 | 0.78075718 | $p<0.05$ |
| E7EUH7 | Pseudouridylate synthase 7 homolog OS=Homo sapiens GN=PUS7 PE=1 SV=1 - [E7EUH7_HUMAN] | 448 | 50.5206902 | 0.78060885 | $p<0.05$ |

Table II. Continued

Table II. Continued

| Accession | Description | \# AAs | MW [kDa] | Fold change | $\begin{gathered} t \text {-test } \\ (p \text {-value }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q13907 | Isopentenyl-diphosphate Delta-isomerase $1 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens GN=IDI1 PE=1 SV=2 - [IDI1_HUMAN] | 227 | 26.3024914 | 0.7785156 | $p<0.001$ |
| F8VXD2 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=CDK4 PE=1 SV=1 - [F8VXD2_HUMAN] | 132 | 14.8906691 | 0.77756677 | $p<0.05$ |
| P08559 | Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component subunit alpha, somatic form, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=PDHA1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3$ - [ODPA_HUMAN] | 390 | 43.2676109 | 0.77727405 | $p<0.001$ |
| 000762 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 C OS=Homo sapiens GN=UBE2C PE=1 SV=1 - [UBE2C_HUMAN] | 179 | 19.6399202 | 0.77693257 | $p<0.001$ |
| P43490 | Nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase OS=Homo sapiens GN=NAMPT PE=1 SV=1 - [NAMPT_HUMAN] | 491 | 55.4865965 | 0.77652882 | $p<0.001$ |
| D6RDM7 | Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 K (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=UBE2K PE=1 SV=1 - [D6RDM7_HUMAN] | 123 | 14.0261903 | 0.77622448 | $p<0.001$ |
| E5RFP0 | NudC domain-containing protein 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=NUDCD2 PE=1 SV=1 - [E5RFP0_HUMAN] | 132 | 14.7361652 | 0.77511218 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q04917 | 14-3-3 protein eta OS=Homo sapiens GN=YWHAH $\mathrm{PE}=1$ SV=4 - [1433F_HUMAN] | 246 | 28.20102 | 0.77478302 | $p<0.01$ |
| P29144 | Tripeptidyl-peptidase 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=TPP2 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=4$ - [TPP2_HUMAN] | 1,249 | 138.262632 | 0.77415019 | $p<0.01$ |
| P68032 | Actin, alpha cardiac muscle 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=ACTC1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [ACTC_HUMAN] | 377 | 41.9918826 | 0.77329561 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q562R1 | Beta-actin-like protein 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=ACTBL2 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [ACTBL_HUMAN] | 376 | 41.9759693 | 0.76932533 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q14914 | Prostaglandin reductase $1 \mathrm{OS}=\mathrm{Homo}$ sapiens GN=PTGR1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [PTGR1_HUMAN] | 329 | 35.8467307 | 0.76842181 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q05639 | Elongation factor 1-alpha 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=EEF1A2 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [EF1A2_HUMAN] | 463 | 50.4383096 | 0.76649072 | $p<0.001$ |
| P06396 | Gelsolin OS=Homo sapiens GN=GSN PE=1 SV=1 - [GELS_HUMAN] | 782 | 85.6441934 | 0.76419352 | $p<0.001$ |
| P11908 | Ribose-phosphate pyrophosphokinase 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PRPS2 PE=1 SV=2 - [PRPS2_HUMAN] | 318 | 34.7469789 | 0.76381858 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q58FF6 | Putative heat shock protein HSP 90-beta 4 OS=Homo sapiens GN=HSP90AB4P PE=5 SV=1 - [H90B4_HUMAN] | 505 | 58.2275805 | 0.76277969 | $p<0.001$ |
| H7C3P9 | COP9 signalosome complex subunit $3 \mathrm{OS}=$ Homo sapiens GN=COPS3 PE=1 SV=2 - [H7C3P9_HUMAN] | 345 | 39.0105281 | 0.76178683 | $p<0.001$ |
| P80404 | 4-aminobutyrate aminotransferase, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=ABAT PE=1 SV=3 - [GABT_HUMAN] | 500 | 56.4025903 | 0.75993164 | $p<0.001$ |
| P17174 | Aspartate aminotransferase, cytoplasmic OS=Homo sapiens GN=GOT1 PE=1 SV=3 - [AATC_HUMAN] | 413 | 46.2185309 | 0.75976861 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9HB71 | Calcyclin-binding protein OS=Homo sapiens GN=CACYBP $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [CYBP_HUMAN] | 228 | 26.1936459 | 0.75789333 | $p<0.001$ |
| P62328 | Thymosin beta-4 OS=Homo sapiens GN=TMSB4X $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [TYB4_HUMAN] | 44 | 5.04951649 | 0.75606602 | $p<0.05$ |
| E9PNK6 | Tumor protein D53 OS=Homo sapiens GN=TPD52L1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [E9PNK6_HUMAN] | 166 | 18.6492831 | 0.75524912 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q96IU4 | Alpha/beta hydrolase domain-containing protein 14B OS=Homo sapiens GN=ABHD14B PE=1 SV=1 - [ABHEB_HUMAN] | 210 | 22.3315574 | 0.75457008 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q9NP72 | Ras-related protein Rab-18 OS=Homo sapiens GN=RAB18 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1-[\text { RAB18_HUMAN }]$ | 206 | 22.9626389 | 0.7544287 | $p<0.001$ |
| C9JLU1 | DNA-directed RNA polymerases I, II, and III subunit RPABC3 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=POLR2H $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3$ - [C9JLU1_HUMAN] | 149 | 16.9853803 | 0.75427123 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q8N0Y7 | Probable phosphoglycerate mutase 4 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PGAM4 PE=2 SV=1 - [PGAM4_HUMAN] | 254 | 28.7587667 | 0.75337853 | $p<0.05$ |
| E9PLT0 | Cold shock domain-containing protein E1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=CSDE1 PE=1 SV=1 - [E9PLT0_HUMAN] | 668 | 74.5367585 | 0.75176491 | $p<0.001$ |
| P07900 | Heat shock protein HSP 90-alpha OS=Homo sapiens GN=HSP90AA1 PE=1 SV=5 - [HS90A_HUMAN] | 732 | 84.6066852 | 0.75149833 | $p<0.001$ |

Table II. Continued

| Accession | Description | \# AAs | MW [kDa] | Fold change | $\begin{gathered} t \text {-test } \\ (p \text {-value }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F5H345 | Porphobilinogen deaminase OS=Homo sapiens GN=HMBS $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [F5H345_HUMAN] | 330 | 35.7388228 | 0.75086131 | $p<0.01$ |
| P41227 | N -alpha-acetyltransferase 10 OS=Homo sapiens GN=NAA10 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [NAA10_HUMAN] | 235 | 26.4418527 | 0.75055952 | $p<0.001$ |
| P35580 | Myosin-10 OS=Homo sapiens GN=MYH10 PE=1 SV=3 - [MYH10_HUMAN] | 1,976 | 228.857964 | 0.75001828 | $p<0.01$ |
| P36507 | Dual specificity mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=MAP2K2 PE=1 SV=1 - [MP2K2_HUMAN] | 400 | 44.396055 | 0.7484181 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q9UNF1 | Melanoma-associated antigen D2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=MAGED2 PE=1 SV=2 - [MAGD2_HUMAN] | 606 | 64.9143628 | 0.74753167 | $p<0.001$ |
| P61981 | 14-3-3 protein gamma OS=Homo sapiens GN=YWHAG PE=1 SV=2 - [1433G_HUMAN] | 247 | 28.2849139 | 0.74714741 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9Y265 | RuvB-like 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=RUVBL1 PE=1 SV=1 - [RUVB1_HUMAN] | 456 | 50.1963165 | 0.74346671 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q7L2H7 | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit M OS=Homo sapiens GN=EIF3M PE=1 SV=1 - [EIF3M_HUMAN] | 374 | 42.475807 | 0.74293059 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q9BQE3 | Tubulin alpha-1C chain OS=Homo sapiens GN=TUBA1C $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [TBA1C_HUMAN] | 449 | 49.8634645 | 0.74276939 | $p<0.001$ |
| P13639 | Elongation factor 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=EEF2 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=4-$ [EF2_HUMAN] | 858 | 95.2769538 | 0.73926002 | $p<0.001$ |
| C9JPA8 | Golgi to ER traffic protein 4 homolog (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=GET4 PE=1 SV=2 - [C9JPA8_HUMAN] | 148 | 16.5321422 | 0.73902176 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q53EL6 | Programmed cell death protein 4 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathrm{GN}=\mathrm{PDCD} 4 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [PDCD4_HUMAN] | 469 | 51.7030487 | 0.73378818 | $p<0.001$ |
| E5RG13 | Inositol monophosphatase 1 (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=IMPA1 PE=1 SV=1 - [E5RG13_HUMAN] | 153 | 17.0476334 | 0.73217864 | $p<0.01$ |
| O96019 | Actin-like protein 6A OS=Homo sapiens GN=ACTL6A $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1-$ [ACL6A_HUMAN] | 429 | 47.4303444 | 0.7298752 | $p<0.01$ |
| 075369 | Filamin-B OS=Homo sapiens GN=FLNB PE=1 SV=2 - [FLNB_HUMAN] | 2,602 | 277.990071 | 0.72651996 | $p<0.001$ |
| P68363 | Tubulin alpha-1B chain OS=Homo sapiens GN=TUBA1B $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [TBA1B_HUMAN] | 451 | 50.1196069 | 0.72651105 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9H0C2 | ADP/ATP translocase 4 OS=Homo sapiens GN=SLC25A31 $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [ADT4_HUMAN] | 315 | 34.9994373 | 0.72226342 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q8TC12 | Retinol dehydrogenase 11 OS=Homo sapiens GN=RDH11 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [RDH11_HUMAN] | 318 | 35.3634467 | 0.72142867 | $p<0.001$ |
| P08238 | Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta OS=Homo sapiens GN=HSP90AB1 PE=1 SV=4 - [HS90B_HUMAN] | 724 | 83.2121059 | 0.71815962 | $p<0.001$ |
| P11177 | Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component subunit beta, mitochondrial OS=Homo sapiens GN=PDHB PE=1 SV=3 - [ODPB_HUMAN] | 359 | 39.2080346 | 0.71532722 | $p<0.001$ |
| A0A087WWP8 | Round spermatid basic protein 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=RSBN1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [A0A087WWP8_HUMAN] | 754 | 84.922968 | 0.70870038 | $p<0.05$ |
| P00338 | L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain OS=Homo sapiens GN=LDHA $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [LDHA_HUMAN] | 332 | 36.6653627 | 0.70859232 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q96CP2 | FLYWCH family member 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=FLYWCH2 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1-$ [FWCH2_HUMAN] | 140 | 14.5545451 | 0.7042261 | $p<0.05$ |
| 000232 | 26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 12 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PSMD12 PE=1 SV=3 - [PSD12_HUMAN] | 456 | 52.8705755 | 0.70028253 | $p<0.001$ |
| P07437 | Tubulin beta chain OS=Homo sapiens GN=TUBB PE=1 SV=2 - [TBB5_HUMAN] | 444 | 49.6389736 | 0.69867551 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9HAV0 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-4 OS=Homo sapiens GN=GNB4 PE=1 SV=3 - [GBB4_HUMAN] | 340 | 37.5430236 | 0.69638699 | $p<0.001$ |
| P62937 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A OS=Homo sapiens GN=PPIA PE=1 SV=2 - [PPIA_HUMAN] | 165 | 18.0008862 | 0.69099459 | $p<0.001$ |
| P41250 | Glycine--tRNA ligase OS=Homo sapiens GN=GARS $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3$ - [SYG_HUMAN] | 739 | 83.1126144 | 0.68820486 | $p<0.001$ |
| A0A087WW67 | Inactive N -acetylated-alpha-linked acidic dipeptidase-like protein 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=NAALADL2 PE=4 SV=1 - [A0A087WW67_HUMAN] | 783 | 87.4024178 | 0.68607324 | $p<0.01$ |

Table II. Continued

Table II. Continued

| Accession | Description | \# AAs | MW [kDa] | Fold change | $\begin{gathered} t \text {-test } \\ (p \text {-value }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P52735 | Guanine nucleotide exchange factor VAV2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=VAV2 PE=1 SV=2 - [VAV2_HUMAN] | 878 | 101.223761 | 0.68510642 | $p<0.001$ |
| E9PCZ3 | Type II inositol 3,4-bisphosphate 4-phosphatase (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=INPP4B PE=4 SV=1 - [E9PCZ3_HUMAN] | 688 | 78.1047694 | 0.67949237 | $p<0.001$ |
| P09382 | Galectin-1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=LGALS1 PE=1 SV=2 - [LEG1_HUMAN] | 135 | 14.7062001 | 0.67748938 | $p<0.001$ |
| P48052 | Carboxypeptidase A2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=CPA2 PE=1 SV=3 - [CBPA2_HUMAN] | 419 | 47.0006266 | 0.67601541 | $p<0.01$ |
| K7ERE3 | Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 13 OS=Homo sapiens GN=KRT13 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1-$ [K7ERE3_HUMAN] | 415 | 45.232239 | 0.66812005 | $p<0.001$ |
| B3KUB4 | Carbonic anhydrase 12 OS=Homo sapiens GN=CA12 $\mathrm{PE}=2 \mathrm{SV}=1-$ [B3KUB4_HUMAN] | 283 | 31.8373497 | 0.66653472 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q5JVM0 | Unconventional myosin-VI (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=MYO6 PE=1 SV=1 - [Q5JVM0_HUMAN] | 174 | 20.5885602 | 0.66103342 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q13451 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase FKBP5 OS=Homo sapiens GN=FKBP5 PE=1 SV=2 - [FKBP5_HUMAN] | 457 | 51.1795705 | 0.66044757 | $p<0.001$ |
| P21266 | Glutathione S-transferase Mu 3 OS=Homo sapiens GN=GSTM3 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=3$ - [GSTM3_HUMAN] | 225 | 26.5421389 | 0.65763968 | $p<0.001$ |
| F5GWT4 | Serine/threonine-protein kinase WNK1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=WNK1 PE=1 SV=1 - [F5GWT4_HUMAN] | 2,134 | 225.364792 | 0.65376951 | $p<0.01$ |
| Q9BV86 | N-terminal Xaa-Pro-Lys N-methyltransferase 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=NTMT1 PE=1 SV=3-[NTM1A_HUMAN] | 223 | 25.3708399 | 0.65311967 | $p<0.001$ |
| 014907 | Tax1-binding protein 3 OS=Homo sapiens GN=TAX1BP3 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [TX1B3_HUMAN] | 124 | 13.7261295 | 0.62476735 | $p<0.001$ |
| O14745 | $\mathrm{Na}(+) / \mathrm{H}(+)$ exchange regulatory cofactor NHE-RF1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=SLC9A3R1 PE=1 SV=4 - [NHRF1_HUMAN] | 358 | 38.844612 | 0.6105772 | $p<0.001$ |
| A0A096LPF0 | Ras-related protein Rab-7b (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=RAB7B PE=4 SV=1 - [A0A096LPF0_HUMAN] | 91 | 10.3803502 | 0.59567283 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q8IVL1 | Neuron navigator 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=NAV2 PE=1 SV=3 - [NAV2_HUMAN] | 2,488 | 268.002547 | 0.58546377 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9UKY7 | Protein CDV3 homolog OS=Homo sapiens GN=CDV3 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [CDV3_HUMAN] | 258 | 27.3183615 | 0.56625057 | $p<0.001$ |
| B4DEY6 | LIM and cysteine-rich domains protein 1 OS=Homo sapiens GN=LMCD1 PE=2 SV=1 - [B4DEY6_HUMAN] | 253 | 28.4947789 | 0.56611995 | $p<0.01$ |
| P49006 | MARCKS-related protein OS=Homo sapiens GN=MARCKSL1 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [MRP_HUMAN] | 195 | 19.5172325 | 0.56466249 | $p<0.01$ |
| P36405 | ADP-ribosylation factor-like protein 3 OS=Homo sapiens GN=ARL3 PE=1 SV=2 - [ARL3_HUMAN] | 182 | 20.44276 | 0.56320138 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9Y2T7 | Y-box-binding protein 2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=YBX2 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=2$ - [YBOX2_HUMAN] | 364 | 38.4947655 | 0.55489064 | $p<0.01$ |
| H0YE40 | CD44 antigen (Fragment) OS=Homo sapiens GN=CD44 $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$ - [H0YE40_HUMAN] | 82 | 9.09947282 | 0.51939027 | $p<0.001$ |
| P06401 | Progesterone receptor OS=Homo sapiens GN=PGR PE=1 SV=4 - [PRGR_HUMAN] | 933 | 98.9181716 | 0.5090052 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q02790 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase FKBP4 OS=Homo sapiens $\mathbf{G N}=\mathbf{F K B P} 4 \mathrm{PE}=1 \mathbf{S V}=3$ - [FKBP4_HUMAN] | 459 | 51.7720738 | 0.48439102 | $p<0.001$ |
| P08185 | Corticosteroid-binding globulin OS=Homo sapiens GN=SERPINA6 PE=1 SV=1 - [CBG_HUMAN] | 405 | 45.1119093 | 0.39363177 | $p<0.001$ |
| Q9H993 | UPF0364 protein C6orf211 OS=Homo sapiens GN=C6orf211 PE=1 SV=1 - [CF211_HUMAN] | 441 | 51.1398629 | 0.37407832 | $p<0.001$ |
| P02795 | Metallothionein-2 OS=Homo sapiens GN=MT2A PE=1 SV=1 - [MT2_HUMAN] | 61 | 6.0371964 | 0.33853248 | $p<0.001$ |

were significantly increased while proteins involved in the $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{Akt} / \mathrm{mTOR}$ pathway (Akt and mTOR) were unchanged. It was interesting that signaling components of the Ras pathway (i.e., MEK and ERK1) were unchanged, while the
downstream effectors (i.e., RSK1 and RSK2) of the Ras and p38/MAPK pathway were the predominant kinases that were increased. In general, RSK phosphorylates various substrates that control diverse cellular processes and when inhibited, it


Figure 2. Hormone dependent letrozole resistance induces global down-regulation of activated receptor tyrosine kinases. Graph depict normalized mean pixel density of protein levels in lysates prepared from the T47Darom and T47DaromLR cells using the Human RTK Antibody Array Membrane where 71 different anti-RTK antibodies were spotted in duplicated, including 4 positive and 3 negative controls and 1 blank. Array signals were analyzed using Image Lab (BioRad) software. Values from duplicate spots were averaged and plotted. Results are expressed as the mean unit $\pm$ SD $(* * * * p<0.0001, * * * p<0.001, * * p<0.01, * p<0.05)$.


Figure 3. MAPK signaling cascade is induced in the letrozole-resistant T47DaromLR cells. Graph depict normalized mean pixel density of protein levels in lysates prepared from the T47Darom and T47DaromLR cells using the Human MAPK Phosphorylation Antibody Array Membrane where 17 different anti-MAPK related antibodies were spotted in duplicate, including 4 positive and 3 negative controls and 1 blank. Array signals were analyzed using Image Lab (BioRad) software. Values from duplicate spots were averaged and plotted. Results are expressed as the mean unit $\pm$ SD $\left(* * * p<0.001, * * p<0.01,{ }^{*} p<0.05\right)$.


Figure 4. Letrozole resistant cells are more sensitive to the growth inhibitory effects of p38/MAPK inhibitor SB 203508. The T47Darom cells were cultured in standard growth media and transferred to phenol red free media for two days prior to treatment with DMSO control or $30 \mu M$ of SB 203508 and cell proliferation assays were performed after 24 h . Proliferation was measured using the resazurin assay and graphs depict the fluorescence intensity of cells read at $430 / 560 n M$ wavelengths. Results are expressed as the mean unit $\pm S D(* * * * p<0.0001)$ of three independent experiments in triplicate; ns: not significant.


Figure 5. Induction of apoptosis through targeting p38. The T47Darom cells were cultured in standard growth media and transferred to phenol red free media for two days prior to treatment with DMSO control or $10 \mu M$ of SB 203508 and caspase 3/7 activity was assessed by measuring the fluorescent intensity of cells at 530/502 nM wavelength. Results are expressed as the mean unit $\pm$ SD $(* * p<0.01)$ of three independent experiments in triplicate.
eliminates breast tumor initiating cells which are de novo chemo-resistant (16). Given these findings, it is likely that ERdependent AI resistance is mediated through the p38/MAPK signaling cascade. The observation that the MAPK signaling was increased in the T47DaromLR cells was not completely novel, since it has been previously reported that HER2, p-Shc, Grb2, and the signaling proteins in the MAPK cascade were increased in the LTLT-Ca cells (1).

A global proteomic analysis was conducted using the T47Darom and the T47DaromLR cells to further identify a protein signature specifically associated with ER+ AI
resistance. Since we identified that acquired resistance was associated with the p38/MAPK signaling cascade, it is notable that one of the upstream signaling molecules, MAP3K6 was up-regulated. Out of a total of 127 significantly increased proteins, MAP3K6 exhibited the highest expression and was associated with decreased relapse-free survival. This further underscored the aggressive nature of breast tumors fitting this profile. Based on these findings, it is likely that as letrozole sensitive cells transition to a letrozole resistant phenotype they preferentially proliferate through activation of the p38/MAPK pathway
inducing phosphorylation of RSK1/RSK2. Failure of these cells to respond to tamoxifen suggests they may utilize additional ligand independent signaling mechanism potentiated by p38. When the cells were treated with a p38 inhibitor, the T47Darom cells exhibited decreased proliferation and a slight induction in apoptosis.

While the major focus of this study was the p38/MAPK pathway, other proteins were identified including a protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) P4HB (prolyl 4-hydroxylase b). During times of oxidative stress or endoplasmic reticulum stress proteins unfold and molecular chaperones, like P4HB, assist in restoring unfolded proteins to their native conformation (17). A previous report has found that P 4 HB promoted a malignant phenotype in glioma cells via MAPK signaling (18) and identified P 4 HB as a novel target of chemoresistance in glioblastoma multiforme (19). It was hypothesized that perturbation of P4HB may sensitize the chemo-resistant glioma cells. While it is also known that PDIs serve as molecular chaperones to maintain $\mathrm{ER} \alpha$ structure and function (20), the significance of high levels of P4HB and/or convergence with MAPK signaling pathways in AI-resistance remains unclear.

The proteomic analysis also revealed increased midasin (MDN1) expression which functions as a nuclear chaperone that is involved in the assembly/disassembly of macromolecular complexes in the nucleus, and is associated with maturation of 60 S ribosome subunits (21). The finding that midasin was overexpressed in the T47DaromLR cells was interesting because a previous proteomic analysis demonstrated a 35 -fold increase in MDN1 expression in LTLT-Ca cells cultured as mammospheres compared to LTLT-Ca cells cultured adherently $(22,23)$. While the role of midasin in breast cancer remains unclear, it is known that ribosomal biogenesis remains at the heart of translation. This process requires extensive regulation and coordination to meet the cellular demands of continuous ribosome production and aberrant midasin expression and subsequent interference with ribosomal biogenesis affects translation and the overall fitness of the cell.

While the proteomic signature of ER+ AI resistance is associated with enhanced p38/MAPK signaling there are additional factors contributing to this phenotype that are yet to be understood. Future studies will allow understanding how various signaling cascades converge to induce acquired letrozole resistance.
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