Assessment of Outcome of Hepatic Resection for Extremely Elderly Patients With a Hepatic Malignancy

TAKASHI HORIUCHI, KOICHIRO HARUKI, HIROAKI SHIBA, TARO SAKAMOTO, NOBUHIRO SAITO, YOSHIHIRO SHIRAI, RYOTA IWASE, YUKI FUJIWARA and KATSUHIKO YANAGA

Department of Surgery, The Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

Abstract. *Background/Aim:* We aimed to assess surgical outcome and long-term survival after elective hepatic resection for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and colorectal liver metastasis (CRLM) in patients aged 80 years or older. *Patients and Methods:* This study included 100 patients aged 70 years or older, who underwent hepatic resection for HCC or CRLM between January 2000 and December 2012. Outcomes and clinicopathological data were compared between the elderly (aged 70-79 years; n=84) and extremely elderly groups (aged 80 years or over; n=16). *Results:* Incidence of postoperative complications, in-hospital mortality, and postoperative OS in the extremely elderly group were comparable with those of the elderly group. In patients with HCC, the extremely elderly group was associated with shorter DFS ($p=0.030$) in univariate analysis, while multivariate analysis showed significant and independent factors of cancer recurrence. *Conclusion:* Hepatic resection for HCC and CRLM in patients aged 80 years and over may be safe and acceptable with appropriate selection. For HCC in patients aged 80 years and over, hepatic resection may be effective when negative surgical margins can be achieved.

The number and proportion of the elderly population have increased progressively as a result of advances in medicine. Current population dynamics show that 20% of the population in developed countries is expected to be over 65 years by 2025 (1). In Japan, the average life expectancy was 79.59 years in males and 86.44 years in females in 2009. More than 50% of primary and metastatic liver cancers occur in patients aged >65 years (2). Under such conditions, the assessment of safety and outcome after hepatic resection for extremely elderly patients is important.

Correspondence to: Takashi Horiuchi, The Jikei University School of Medicine, 3-25-8, Nishi-Shinbashi, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-8461, Japan. Tel: +81 334331111 ext. 3401, Fax: +81 334331230, e-mail: horiuchi@jikei.ac.jp

Key Words: Hepatic resection, extremely elderly patient, hepatocellular carcinoma, colorectal liver metastasis.

Hepatic resection is the only possible treatment to potentially cure the patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) or colorectal liver metastasis (CRLM), and the safety of hepatic resection in elderly patients (70 years and over) has been reported by several centers (3, 4). However, there were only few reports of hepatic resection for patients aged 80 and over with hepatobiliary malignancies. The aim of this study was the assessment of surgical outcome and long-term survival after elective hepatic resection for HCC and CRLM in patients aged 80 years and over.

Patients and Methods

This study included 100 patients aged 70 years or older, who underwent hepatic resection for HCC or CRLM between January 2000 and December 2012 at the Department of Surgery, Jikei University Hospital, Tokyo, Japan. The patients were classified into two groups: elderly group (aged 70 to 79 years; n=84) and extremely elderly group (aged 80 years or over; n=16). We retrospectively assessed clinicopathological variables, and disease-free as well as overall survival between elderly group and extremely elderly group by univariate analysis. The factors consisted of the following 24 factors: age, gender, coexistence diseases, pathological diagnosis, type of hepatic resection, duration of operation, intraoperative blood loss, intraoperative blood transfusion, in-hospital mortality, postoperative hospital stay, incidence of postoperative complications, tumor factor based on tumor pathology in HCC (American Joint Committee on Cancer/ International Union Against Cancer), liver metastasis grade in CRLM (Japanese classification of colorectal carcinoma), microscopic curability, hepatitis virus status, Child-Pugh classification, retention rate of indocyanine green at 15 minutes (ICG_{R15}), tumor differentiation, serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), serum carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), preoperative transcatheter arterial embolization (TAE) and disease-free as well as overall survival. The association of clinicopathologic variables with disease-free survival after hepatic resection for HCC was assessed by univariate and multivariate analysis.

Generally, the extent of hepatic resection was decided based on ICG_{R15} before surgery and in reference to the hepatic reserve as described by Miyagawa *et al.* (5). The type of resection was classified as anatomical resection (extended lobectomy, lobectomy, segmentectomy or subsegmentectomy) and non-anatomical limited partial resection.

Table I. Assessment of clinicopathological variables and outcome after hepatic resection between elderly and extremely elderly patients by univariate analysis.

Factor	Elderly group (n=84)	Extremely elderly group (n=16)	p-Value
Age (years)	73.5±2.5*	83.0±3.2	
Gender (male:female)	61:23	10:6	0.296
Concomitant disease			
Hypertension, n (%)	51 (61)	10 (63)	0.964
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	19 (23)	6 (38)	0.171
Hyperlipidemia, n (%)	6 (7)	4 (25)	0.051
Cardiac disease, n (%)	6 (7)	1 (6)	0.689
Pathological diagnosis			0.176
Hepatocellular carcinoma, n (%)	52 (62)	7 (44)	
Colorectal liver metastasis, n (%)	32 (38)	9 (56)	
Type of hepatic resection			0.228
Partial liver resection, n (%)	40 (48)	5 (31)	
Anatomical liver resection, n (%)	44 (52)	11 (69)	
Duration of operation (min)	366.9±148.4	367.3±166.0	0.993
Intraoperative blood loss (ml)	1,115.1±1,218.6	852.2±1,152.0	0.427
Intraoperative blood transfusion, n (%)	38 (45)	10 (63)	0.205
In-hospital mortality, n (%)	1 (1)	0	0.840
Postoperative hospital stay (days)	16.4±9.7*	17.8±12.4*	0.602
Postoperative complication			
Hepatic failure, n (%)	1 (1)	0	0.840
Surgical site infection, n (%)	12 (14)	0	0.108
Pulmonary complication, n (%)	12 (14)	1 (6)	0.687
Bile leakage, n (%)	8 (10)	1 (6)	0.560
Delirium, n (%)	1 (1)	0	0.840

n: Number of patients; *mean±SD.

Hepatic failure was defined as an increased international normalized ratio (INR) and concomitant hyperbilirubinemia on or after postoperative day (POD) 5 and other obvious causes for the observed biochemical and clinical alterations, such as biliary obstruction were excluded. Furthermore, the need for clotting factors such as fresh frozen plasma (FFP) to maintain normal INR on or after POD 5 in combination with hyperbilirubinemia was considered hepatic failure (6). Bile leakage was defined as discharge of fluid with an increased bilirubin concentration *via* the intra-abdominal drains on or after POD 3 or defined as the need for radiologic intervention (*i.e.*, interventional drainage) or relaparotomy for biliary collections or bile peritonitis, respectively (7). Surgical site infection was defined as surgical wound infection that affected superficial tissues (skin and subcutaneous layer) or the deeper tissues (deep incisional or organ-space) of the incision according to the definition of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (8). Pulmonary complications were defined as postoperative pneumonia; pleural effusion that required thoracentesis; or postoperative respiratory failure with pyrexia, dyspnea, and a pulmonary infiltrate on chest X-ray. Delirium was defined as an acute decline in cognitive function and attention (9).

Recurrences of HCC and CRLM were defined as newly detected hepatic or extrahepatic tumors as shown by ultrasonography, computed tomography, magnetic resonance image or angiography, with or without an increase in serum AFP, or protein induced by vitamin K absence or antagonist-II (PIVKA-II) in HCC, and CEA

or carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) in CRLM. This retrospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Jikei University School of Medicine [No: 27-177(8062)].

Statistical analysis. The categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages (%), and continuous variables as mean±standard deviation (SD). Univariate analysis was performed using the non-paired Student's test, Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Univariate analysis of disease-free and overall survival was performed using the Log-rank test. The Cox proportional regression model with backward elimination approach was used for multivariate analysis to assess predictors of disease-free survival in HCC patients. All *p*-values were considered statistically significant when the associated probability was less than 0.05.

Results

Annual changes in the number of hepatic resections for patients with 70≤ years <80, and ≥80 years. During this study period, 16 of 100 patients aged 70 or over who underwent hepatic resection for HCC or CRLM were aged 80 or over. Annual changes in the number of hepatic resections for patients with 70≤ years <80 and ≥80 years are shown in Figure 1. The number of patients aged 70 years or over gradually increased during the study period.

Table II. *Pathological findings and clinical variables in hepatocellular carcinoma and colorectal cancer liver metastasis.*

Factor	Elderly group (n=84)	Extremely elderly group (n=16)	p-Value
Hepatocellular carcinoma (n=59)			
Stage, n (%)			0.337
I	26 (50)	3 (43)	
II	24 (46)	3 (43)	
III	2 (4)	1 (14)	
Microscopic curability, n (%)			0.098
A	29 (56)	4(57)	
B	20 (38)	1 (14)	
C	3 (6)	2 (29)	
Hepatitis virus (HBV or HCV:no)	33:19	2:5	0.089
Child-Pugh classification (A:B)	47:5	7:0	0.519
ICG _{R15} (%)	17.7±9.8	18.4±8.1	0.416
Tumor differentiation, n (%)			0.064
Well or Moderately	46 (88)	4 (57)	
Poorly	6 (12)	3 (43)	
Serum AFP, n (%)			0.470
≥10 ng/ml	30 (58)	5 (71)	
<10 ng/ml	19 (37)	2 (29)	
Unknown	3 (5)	0	
Preoperative TAE (yes:no)	8:44	4:3	0.026
Colorectal liver metastasis (n=41)			
Grade, n (%)			0.415
A	15 (47)	6 (67)	
B	11 (34)	1 (11)	
C	4 (13)	2 (22)	
Unknown	2 (6)	0	
Microscopic curability, n (%)			0.202
Curative	26 (81)	9 (100)	
Non-curative	6 (19)	0	
Serum CEA, n (%)			0.676
≥5 ng/ml	26 (81)	8 (89)	
<5 ng/ml	4 (13)	1 (11)	
Unknown	2 (6)	0	

n: Number of patients; AFP: alpha-fetoprotein; ICG_{R15}: retention rate of indocyanine green at 15 min; TAE: transcatheter arterial embolization; CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen.

Clinicopathological variables and outcome after hepatic resection between elderly and extremely elderly patients. Table I lists the comparison of patient's clinicopathological variables and outcome after hepatic resection between elderly and extremely elderly groups. Co-morbidity including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, and cardiac disease were comparable between the two groups. As per the surgical outcome, there was one (1.1%) in-hospital mortality in the elderly group, and none in the extremely elderly group. Incidences of postoperative complications including hepatic failure, surgical site infection, pulmonary complications, bile leakage, and delirium in both groups were comparable.

Pathological findings and microscopic curability. Table II lists the comparison of pathological findings and clinical variables in HCC and CRLM between the two groups. HCC

stage and CRLM grade were comparable between the two groups. Achievement of microscopically curative resection for HCC and CRLM in the elderly group was 56% and 81%, respectively, and that in the extremely elderly group was 57% and 100%, respectively ($p=0.098$ and 0.202 , respectively). Preoperative TAE for HCC was significantly more frequent in extremely elderly patients than that in elderly patients ($p=0.026$). Other variables in HCC and CRLM were comparable between the two groups.

Disease-free and overall survival in both HCC and CRLM patients. Figure 2 indicates the Kaplan-Meier curves of disease-free (Figure 2A) and overall survival (Figure 2B) after hepatic resection for HCC. The disease-free 1- and 3-year survival rates after hepatic resection were 75% and 33% in the elderly group, and 50% and 0% in the extremely elderly group, respectively ($p=0.030$). The overall 1- and 3-

Table III. Univariate and multivariate analyses of clinicopathological variables in relation to disease-free survival after liver resection of hepatocellular carcinoma.

Factor	n	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
		Hazard ratio (95%CI)	p-Value	Hazard ratio (95%CI)	p-Value
Age (years)					
≥80	7	4.912	0.030		NS
70-79	52	(1.164-20.73)			
Gender					
Male	45	3.095	0.002	7.267	0.002
Female	14	(1.523-6.289)		(2.082-25.370)	
Hepatitis virus					
HBV or HCV	35	0.749	0.425		NS
No	24	(0.369-1.523)			
T factor					
T3 or T4	28	2.308	0.021		NS
T1 or T2	31	(1.138-4.684)			
Type of resection					
Anatomical	33	1.080	0.824		NS
Partial	26	(0.549-2.124)			
Coexistent diseases					
HT or DM or HL or CD	28	1.314	0.487		NS
No	31	(0.608-2.839)			
Intraoperative blood transfusion					
Yes	18	1.568	0.247		NS
No	41	(0.732-3.360)			
Child's classification					
A	54	1.297	0.632		NS
B	5	(0.448-3.761)			
ICG _{R15} (%)					
≥15	37	1.081	0.820		NS
<15	22	(0.552-2.115)			
Tumor differentiation					
Well or Moderately	50	0.627	0.342		NS
Poorly	9	(0.239-1.642)			
Surgical margin					
Positive	5	1.872	0.301	4.088	0.021
Negative	54	(0.571-6.137)		(1.237-13.510)	
Serum AFP					
≥10 ng/ml	35	2.093	0.043	2.491	0.035
<10 ng/ml	21	(1.025-4.274)		(1.069-5.806)	
Preoperative TAE					
Yes	12	2.988	0.022		NS
No	47	(1.168-7.644)			

HT: Hypertension; DM: diabetes mellitus; HL: hyperlipidemia; CD: cardiac disease; ICG_{R15}: retention rate of indocyanine green at 15 min; AFP: alpha-fetoprotein; TAE: transcatheter arterial embolization.

year survival rates were 92% and 81% in the elderly group, and 100% and 38% in the extremely elderly group, respectively ($p=0.370$). Figure 3 indicates the Kaplan-Meier curves of disease-free (Figure 3A) and overall survival (Figure 3B) after hepatic resection for patients with CRLM. The disease-free 1- and 3- year survival rates after hepatic resection were 55% and 23% in the elderly group, and 33% and 33% in the extremely elderly group, respectively ($p=0.565$). The overall 1- and 3-year survival rates were

93% and 55% in the elderly group, and 78% and 52% in the extremely elderly group, respectively ($p=0.486$).

Univariate and multivariate analyses of clinicopathological variables in relation to disease-free survival after hepatic resection for HCC. Table III lists the relationship between the clinicopathological variables and disease-free survival after hepatic resection for HCC. In the univariate analysis, disease-free survival was significantly worse in extremely

elderly patients ($p=0.030$), male ($p=0.002$), T3 or T4 ($p=0.021$), serum AFP ≥ 10 ng/ml ($p=0.043$), and presence of preoperative TAE ($p=0.022$). In multivariate analysis, male ($p=0.002$), positive surgical margin ($p=0.021$), and serum AFP ≥ 10 ng/ml ($p=0.035$) were independent and significant predictors of disease-free survival.

Discussion

Because of improvements in surgical techniques and perioperative supportive care, the mortality and morbidity rates of elective hepatic resection have decreased, the rate of hepatic resections for patients aged 70 years or over with a good general condition has increased, and the outcome is improving (10). In the 21st century, the average life expectancy at birth has lengthened to over 80 years in many countries (11), and therefore, assessment of therapeutic outcome after elective hepatic resection for extremely elderly patients with hepatobiliary malignancies such as HCC or CRLM is important. Several investigators indicated safety and validity of hepatic resection for patients aged 80 years and over in mortality, morbidity, and benefit for patient's survival (12). Melendez *et al.* reported that patient age did not contribute to in-hospital mortality upon extended hepatic resection (more than 4 sub-segments) (13). Huang *et al.* reported that extremely elderly patients were not at risk for a worse outcome such as duration of operation, blood loss, transfusion, postoperative complication, and postoperative hospital stay (3). Therefore, assessment of each risk factor for postoperative morbidity and mortality after hepatic resection for extremely elderly patients is important. In the present study, there were no significant differences in postoperative complications between the two groups. Extremely elderly HCC patients were associated with worse disease-free survival by univariate analysis, which, however, was not a significant poor prognostic factor by multivariate analysis. For disease-free survival, multivariate analysis showed tumor-related factors, such as serum AFP and surgical margin, to be associated with cancer recurrence. As for CRLM, extremely elderly patients showed comparable long-term outcomes. These results suggest that limited hepatic resection was preferred in extremely elderly patients with HCC, because impaired hepatic functional reserve had to be considered. As a result, extremely elderly HCC patients had achieved less microscopically curative resection rate and more frequently received TAE before operation. Kaibori *et al.* demonstrated that hepatic resection decreases the risk of tumor recurrence and improves overall survival in patients aged ≥ 75 years with primary HCC tumors of ≤ 3.0 cm in diameter (14). Thus, hepatic resection may be effective for patients aged 80 years or over whose hepatic resection can be performed with negative surgical margin.

Potential limitations of the present study are its retrospective nature and being a single-institutional study.

Also, small number of patients is associated with selection bias including the liver functional reserve and the concomitant disease. Therefore, a large-scale study is needed to verify the results.

Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols have been developed to attenuate the surgical stress response, improve recovery, and decrease postoperative complications and length of hospital stay (15). Perioperative care among centers that perform liver resections varies substantially and elements of ERAS programs have already been implemented as part of daily surgical practice (16). In liver surgery, a systematic review of ERAS demonstrated that length of hospital stay was reduced and functional recovery was accelerated without compromising morbidity or mortality rates, and readmission rates were not significantly increased (17). In colorectal surgery for elderly patients, Bagnall *et al.* demonstrated that enhanced recovery after colorectal surgery seems to be safe in the elderly (18) and Pawa *et al.* found that patients aged over 80 years were able to achieve early mobilization, statistically similar to patients under 80 years (19).

Hepatic resection has a relatively high incidence of postoperative complications, but adequate treatments for postoperative complications after hepatic resection including liver failure, bile leakage, and pulmonary complication reduce mortality rates (20). The choice of appropriate therapeutic methods, as well as immediate management of complications after hepatic resection are especially important in extremely elderly patients. Preoperative assessment of extremely elderly patients and formulation of an effective anesthetic plan according to the individual's profile can decrease the risks of anesthesia (21). The surgeon's skill was enhanced due to specialization and made the liver resection become safe. A special team including surgeons, physicians, anesthetists and nurses for unstable elderly patients can decrease morbidity and mortality (22). The environment of the operation room has improved (4), and the operative instruments have been updated, which could attenuate the stress of an operation. Positive and effective treatment in surgical intensive care unit (SICU) helps extremely elderly patients through the crisis after operation. Therefore, hepatic resection for extremely elderly patients with hepatobiliary malignancies should be performed at high-volume centers (20).

Conclusion

Hepatic resection for HCC and CRLM in patients aged 80 years or older who are in good general condition may be safe and acceptable under appropriate assessments of patients' physical condition, concomitant diseases and nutritional status as well as optimal perioperative management. For HCC, hepatic resection may be effective for patients whose hepatic resection can be performed with a negative surgical margin.

Conflicts of Interest

The Authors report no proprietary or commercial interest in any product mentioned or concept discussed in this article.

Authors' Contributions

Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: T. Horiuchi; Conception and design of the study: K. Haruki, H. Shiba, T. Sakamoto; Collection and assembly of data: N. Saito, Y. Shirai, R. Iwase, Y. Fujiwara; Final approval of the article: K. Yanaga.

References

- 1 Anaya DA, Becker NS and Abraham NS: Global graying, colorectal cancer and liver metastasis: new implications for surgical management. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 77(2): 100-108, 2011. PMID: 20206548. DOI: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2010.02.003
- 2 Petrowsky H and Clavien PA: Should we deny surgery for malignant hepato-pancreatic-biliary tumors to elderly patients? *World J Surg* 29(9): 1093-1100, 2005. PMID: 16086216. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-005-1130-6
- 3 Huang J, Li BK, Chen GH, Li JQ, Zhang YQ, Li GH and Yuan YF: Long-term outcomes and prognostic factors of elderly patients with hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing hepatectomy. *J Gastrointest Surg* 13(9): 1627-1635, 2009. PMID: 19506976. DOI: 10.1007/s11605-009-0933-4
- 4 Jin F and Chung F: Minimizing perioperative adverse events in the elderly. *Br J Anaesth* 87(4): 608-624, 2001. PMID: 11878732. DOI: 10.1093/bja/87.4.608
- 5 Miyagawa S, Makuuchi M, Kawasaki S and Kakazu T: Criteria for safe hepatic resection. *Am J Surg* 169(6): 589-594, 1995. PMID: 7771622. DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9610(99)80227-x
- 6 Rahbari NN, Garden OJ, Padbury R, Brooke-Smith M, Crawford M, Adam R, Koch M, Makuuchi M, Dematteo RP, Christophi C, Banting S, Usatoff V, Nagino M, Maddern G, Hugh TJ, Vauthey JN, Greig P, Rees M, Yokoyama Y, Fan ST, Nimura Y, Figueras J, Capussotti L, Büchler MW and Weitz J: Posthepatectomy liver failure: a definition and grading by the International Study Group of Liver Surgery (ISGLS). *Surgery* 149(5): 713-724, 2011. PMID: 21236455. DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2010.10.001
- 7 Koch M, Garden OJ, Padbury R, Rahbari NN, Adam R, Capussotti L, Fan ST, Yokoyama Y, Crawford M, Makuuchi M, Christophi C, Banting S, Brooke-Smith M, Usatoff V, Nagino M, Maddern G, Hugh TJ, Vauthey JN, Greig P, Rees M, Nimura Y, Figueras J, DeMatteo RP, Büchler MW and Weitz J: Bile leakage after hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery: a definition and grading of severity by the International Study Group of Liver Surgery. *Surgery* 149(5): 680-688, 2011. PMID: 21316725. DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2010.12.002
- 8 Ferrero A, Viganò L, Polastri R, Ribero D, Lo Tesoriere R, Muratore A and Capussotti L: Hepatectomy as treatment of choice for hepatocellular carcinoma in elderly cirrhotic patients. *World J Surg* 29(9): 1101-1105, 2005. PMID: 16088422. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-005-7768-2
- 9 American Geriatrics Society Expert Panel on Postoperative Delirium in Older Adults: Postoperative delirium in older adults: best practice statement from the American Geriatrics Society. *J Am Coll Surg* 220(2): 136-148, 2015. PMID: 25535170. DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2014.10.019
- 10 Cescon M, Grazi GL, Del Gaudio M, Ercolani G, Ravaioli M, Nardo B and Cavallari A: Outcome of right hepatectomies in patients older than 70 years. *Arch Surg* 138(5): 547-552, 2003. PMID: 12742961. DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.138.5.547
- 11 European Commission, Eurostat. Available at: <http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home/>
- 12 Shirabe K, Kajiyama K, Harimoto N, Gion T, Tsujita E, Abe T, Wakiyama S, Nagaie T and Maehara Y: Early outcome following hepatic resection in patients older than 80 years of age. *World J Surg* 33(9): 1927-1932, 2009. PMID: 19603226. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-009-0122-3
- 13 Melendez J, Ferri E, Zwillman M, Fischer M, Dematteo R, Leung D, Jarnagin W, Fong Y and Blumgart LH: Extended hepatic resection: a 6-year retrospective study of risk factors for perioperative mortality. *J Am Coll Surg* 192(1): 47-53, 2001. PMID: 11192922.
- 14 Kaibori M, Yoshii K, Hasegawa K, Ogawa A, Kubo S, Tateishi R, Izumi N, Kadoya M, Kudo M, Kumada T, Sakamoto M, Nakashima O, Matsuyama Y, Takayama T and Kokudo N: Treatment optimization for hepatocellular carcinoma in elderly patients in a Japanese nationwide cohort. *Ann Surg* 270(1): 121-130, 2018. PMID: 29608544. DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000002751
- 15 Kehlet H, and Wilmore DW: Multimodal strategies to improve surgical outcome. *Am J Surg* 183(6): 630-641, 2002. PMID: 12095591. DOI: 10.1016/s0002-9610(02)00866-8
- 16 Wong-Lun-Hing EM, van Dam RM, Heijnen LA, Busch OR, Terkivatan T, van Hillegersberg R, Slooter GD, Klaase J, de Wilt JH, Bosscha K, Neumann UP, Topal B, Aldrighetti LA and Dejong CH: Is current perioperative practice in hepatic surgery based on enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) principles? *World J Surg* 38(5): 1127-1140, 2014. PMID: 24322177. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-013-2398-6
- 17 Coolen MM, Wong-Lun-Hing EM, van Dam RM, van der Wilt AA, Slim K, Lassen K and Dejong CH: A systematic review of outcomes in patients undergoing liver surgery in an enhanced recovery after surgery pathways. *HPB (Oxford)* 15(4): 245-251, 2013. PMID: 23458424. DOI: 10.1111/j.1477-2574.2012.00572.x
- 18 Bagnall NM, Malietzis G, Kennedy RH, Athanasiou T, Faiz O and Darzi A: A systematic review of enhanced recovery care after colorectal surgery in elderly patients. *Colorectal Dis* 16(12): 947-956, 2014. PMID: 25039965. DOI: 10.1111/codi.12718
- 19 Pawa N, Cathcart PL, Arulampalam TH, Tutton MG and Motson RW: Enhanced recovery program following colorectal resection in the elderly patient. *World J Surg* 36(2): 415-423, 2012. PMID: 22146943. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-011-1328-8
- 20 Caratozzolo E, Massani M, Recordare A, Bonariol L, Baldessin M and Bassi N: Liver resection in elderly: comparative study between younger and older than 70 years patients: outcomes and implications for therapy. *G Chir* 28(11-12): 419-424, 2007. PMID: 18035008.
- 21 Muravchick S: Preoperative assessment of the elderly patient. *Anesthesiol Clin North America* 18(1): 71-89, 2000. PMID: 10935001.
- 22 Demetriades D, Sava J, Alo K, Newton E, Velmahos GC, Murray JA, Belzberg H, Asensio JA and Berne TV: Old age as a criterion for trauma team activation. *J Trauma* 51(4): 754-756, 2001. PMID: 11586171. DOI: 10.1097/00005373-200110000-00022

Received July 3, 2019

Revised July 24, 2019

Accepted July 25, 2019