# The Association of *MMP-11* Promoter Polymorphisms With Susceptibility to Lung Cancer in Taiwan

GUAN-LIANG CHEN<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, SHOU-CHENG WANG<sup>2,3\*</sup>, WEI-CHIEN HUANG<sup>1,4,5\*</sup>, WEN-SHIN CHANG<sup>6</sup>, CHIA-WEN TSAI<sup>6</sup>, HSIN-TING LI<sup>6</sup>, TE-CHUN SHEN<sup>1,6</sup>, TE-CHUN HSIA<sup>1,6</sup> and DA-TIAN BAU<sup>1,6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate Institute of Biomedical Sciences, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan, R.O.C.;

<sup>2</sup>Taichung Armed Forces General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, R.O.C.;

<sup>3</sup>National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.;

<sup>4</sup>Drug Development Center, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan, R.O.C.;

<sup>5</sup>Center for Molecular Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, R.O.C.;

<sup>6</sup>Terry Fox Cancer Research Laboratory, Translational Medicine Research Center,

China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, R.O.C.;

<sup>7</sup>Department of Bioinformatics and Medical Engineering, Asia University, Taichung, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Abstract. Background/Aim: Matrix metalloproteinases-11 (MMP-11) overexpression has been reported in various types of cancer including lung cancer. We aimed to examine the contribution of MMP-11 genotypes to lung cancer risk. Materials and Methods: In this case-control study, the MMP-11 rs738791, rs2267029, rs738792 and rs28382575 genotypes were determined among 358 lung cancer patients and 716 age- and gender-matched healthy control Taiwanese. Results: The percentages of rs738791 CT and TT were 50.6% and 9.2% in the case group, slightly higher than 48.5% and 8.1% in the control group (p for trend=0.5638). The allelic analysis showed that the rs738791 T allele did not confer lung cancer risk compared with the C allele. Similarly, there was no association between rs2267029, rs738792 or rs28382575 and lung cancer risk. There was no joint effect of MMP-11 genotypes among ever smokers or non-smokers. Conclusion: The genotypes of MMP-11 play a minor role in determining lung cancer risk in Taiwan.

Lung cancer has been the most common and death causing cancer worldwide (1). Although there is a rapid development

\*These Authors contributed equally to this study.

Correspondence to: Da-Tian Bau, Terry Fox Cancer Research Laboratory, Translational Medicine Research Center, China Medical University Hospital, 2 Yuh-Der Road, Taichung, 404 Taiwan, R.O.C. Tel: +886 422053366 (Ext. 5805), e-mail: datian@mail.cmuh.org.tw; artbau2@gmail.com

Key Words: Lung cancer, genotype, MMP-11, polymorphism, Taiwan.

in precise and personalized therapies during the recent years, the overall 5-year survival rate for lung cancer patients remained less than 20% (2). Therefore, the search for clinically predictive and prognostic markers is still the main mission of cancer genomics and these novel markers should be validated as soon as possible in several populations with different genetic backgrounds and environments.

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), also named matrixins, include 28 endopeptidases in charge of disruption of cellular basement membrane and extracellular matrix (ECM) contents (3-6). These MMPs play central roles in many tumorigenesis events, such as cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, invasion, migration, metastasis, angiogenesis and immune surveillance, which makes them a very attractive target group for cancer therapeutic drug development (7, 8). During the past two decades, cancer genomics scientists have determined that some polymorphic genotypes of MMPs, especially those implicated in the regulation of gene expression, are significantly associated with the inter-individual differences in susceptibility to several types of tumors (9-17), while others do not (18-24). These biomarkers may be very useful in the detection and prediction of personalized cancer susceptibility, cancer therapy and prognosis after surgery. However, the literature about the contribution of MMP-11 genotypes to cancer is extremely limited.

MMP-11, also named stromelysin-3 (25) and originally identified in invasive breast tumors (26), has been reported to be upregulated in the blood serum and solid tumor tissues of cancer patients, but almost absent in normal tissues. Overexpression of MMP-11 has been reported in many types of cancer including oral (27, 28), esophageal (29), pancreatic (30), colon cancer (31), ovarian carcinoma (32), and most important, non-small cell lung cancer (33). However, up to

now, there have been no studies investigating the association of *MMP-11* genotypes with lung cancer risk. In light of the above, we, for the first time, conducted a hospital-based case-control study examining the genotypes of MMP-11 rs738791, rs2267029, rs738792 and rs28382575 among Taiwanese to reveal the contribution of *MMP-11* genotypes in lung cancer risk in Taiwanese.

#### Materials and Methods

Population collection methodologies. Three hundred and fifty-eight patients with histologically confirmed lung cancer were recruited at China Medical University Hospital as previously described with the approval of the Institutional Review Board (DMR100-IRB-284) (34). During the collection period, a double number of healthy volunteers were selected from the databank of Health Examination Cohort of China Medical University Hospital with more than 15,000 individuals as controls, matched for their age (no equal to or larger than 5 years), gender and smoking behavior. The exclusion criteria are concisely descripted as followed. As for the case group, any subject with history of any other malignancy and pulmonary diseases, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), pneumothorax and asthma was excluded. As for the control group, any subject with a previous malignancy, metastasized cancer from other known or unknown origin, and any genetic or familial diseases was also excluded. The finally included controls and cases are all Taiwanese and their demographic characteristics are summarized in Table I.

MMP-11 genotyping methodologies. After providing personal inform consents, all recruited subjects provided 3-5 ml of their blood and genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes within the same day, diluted and aliquoted for genotyping as a temporary working stock at -20°C as we routinely conducted (35); for long-term use the samples were stored at -80°C or liquid nitrogen. The methodology for MMP-11 genotype determination including the design of the specific primers and the selection of restriction enzymes was developed in our lab. Briefly, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) cycling conditions were set as one cycle at 94°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 59°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The sequences of forward and reverse primers for MMP-11 rs738791 were 5'-TCTAGGTCCAGCTCTTGCAT-3' and 5'-TTCCAAGTCCTTTCTGGCCT-3', respectively. The sequences of forward and reverse primers for MMP-11 rs2267029 were 5'-CAGTGAGGCAGAATGTGTGT-3' and 5'-TGTAGCCTCTGGCA CAGAAA-3', respectively. The sequences of forward and reverse primers for MMP-11 rs738792 were 5'-TGATGCCTTGGAACAAG GTG-3' and 5'-AAGCACGAACCTCTTCTGTC-3', respectively. The sequences of forward and reverse primers for MMP-11 rs28382575 were 5'-TCTTCTCAGGCTATGCCTAC-3' and 5'-TAGCCTGATATTCGTGGCCT-3', respectively. The obtained 423 bp PCR products for MMP-11 rs2267029 were then digested with 1 unit of HpyCH4V resulting in 174 bp and 249 bp fragments when the A allele was present while remained intact when the G allele was present. The obtained 399 bp PCR products for MMP-11 rs738792 were then digested with 1 unit of ZuaI resulting in 189 bp and 210 bp fragments when the T allele was present while remained intact when the C allele was present. After amplification, the PCR

products of *MMP-11* rs2267029 and rs738792 were subject to digestion and separation using 3% agarose gel electrophoresis. As for *MMP-11* rs738791 and rs28382575, direct sequencing PCR were conducted. All genotypes were determined by at least two expert researchers, listed in the acknowledgements section, independently and blindly, and the results were 100% concordant to each other. The success rate of PCR-restrictive fragment length polymorphism was 100%.

Statistical analysis. As for the comparison of age between the lung cancer and control groups, the unpaired Student's *t*-test was used. As for the comparisons of the distributions of the numbers among the subgroups, the Pearson's Chi-square or the Fisher's exact test (when any number was less than 5) was applied. Last, the associations between *MMP-11* genotypes and lung cancer risk were estimated by calculating the odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) with logistic regression analysis methods. *p*-Value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

The frequency distributions of age, gender and smoking status of the investigated 358 cases of lung cancer and 716 healthy subjects are presented and compared in Table I. In addition, the histology of all the patients in the lung cancer group is also presented in Table I. Age and gender showed no difference between the control and case groups (p=0.5871 and 0.3642, respectively) (Table I, top part). These results confirmed the correctness of our age- and gender-matching strategy in selecting the control subjects in this case-control study. As for the histology of the lung cancer patients, about three-fifth of the cases (60.9%, 218 out of 358) were of adenocarcinoma type, while 29.6% (106 out of 358) were of squamous cell carcinoma type and 9.5% (34 out of 358) were of other types (Table I, bottom part).

The distribution of the four investigated MMP-11 genotypes in the 358 lung cancer patients and the 716 non-cancer healthy subjects are presented and analyzed in Table II. First, the genotypes of MMP-11 did not show significantly different distribution between the two groups (p for trend=0.5638) (Table II top panel). In detail, the homozygous TT and heterozygous CT genotypes at MMP-11 rs738791 were not associated with elevated lung cancer risk, compared with wildtype CC genotype (adjusted OR=1.30 and 1.17, 95%CI=0.82-2.08 and 0.83-1.53, p=0.3905 and 0.3818, respectively; Table II top panel). Furthermore, there was also no association between the CT+TT genotype of MMP-11 rs738791 and lung cancer risk, compared with the CC wild-type genotype in the dominant analysis model, (adjusted OR=1.21, 95%CI=0.89-1.59, p=0.3152, Table II top panel). Similarly, no association was revealed by the co-dominant or dominant analysis model between MMP-11 rs2267029, rs738792 or rs28382575 and lung cancer risk (Table II middle and bottom panels).

To further confirm the results in Table II, we also analyzed the distributions allelic frequency for each of the investigated

| Table I. Distribution o | f selected demographic | c data of the 358 i | patients with lung cancer | and the 716 matched controls. |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                         |                        |                     |                           |                               |

| Characteristicsr |     | Controls (n=716) |            | Patients (n=358) |       |            | <i>p</i> -Value |
|------------------|-----|------------------|------------|------------------|-------|------------|-----------------|
|                  | n   | %                | Mean (SD)  | n                | %     | Mean (SD)  |                 |
| Age (years)      |     |                  | 64.8 (6.8) |                  |       | 64.0 (6.9) | 0.5871          |
| Gender           |     |                  |            |                  |       |            |                 |
| Male             | 488 | 68.1%            |            | 254              | 70.9% |            |                 |
| Female           | 228 | 31.9%            |            | 104              | 29.1% |            | 0.3642a         |
| Smoking status   |     |                  |            |                  |       |            |                 |
| Ever smokers     | 563 | 78.6%            |            | 293              | 81.8% |            |                 |
| Non-smokers      | 153 | 21.4%            |            | 65               | 18.2% |            | 0.2282a         |
| Histology        |     |                  |            |                  |       |            |                 |
| Adenocarcinoma   |     |                  |            | 218              | 60.9% |            |                 |
| SCC              |     |                  |            | 106              | 29.6% |            |                 |
| Other            |     |                  |            | 34               | 9.5%  |            |                 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Based on Chi-square test; SCC: Squamous cell carcinoma; SD: standard deviation.

*MMP-11* polymorphic sites. The results are presented in Table III. This analysis showed that neither the C nor the T allele of *MMP-11* rs738791 was associated with lung cancer risk. In detail, the variant allele T was found at 34.5% of patients in the lung cancer group, not significantly different from the control group that was 32.3% (adjusted OR=1.11 95%CI=0.92-1.34, p=0.3147 (Table III top panel). Similarly, there was no difference in the distribution of the allelic frequencies of *MMP-11* rs2267029, rs738792 or rs28382575 between the case and control groups (Table III middle and bottom panels).

## Discussion

In the current study, we examined the contribution of *MMP-11* genotypes to lung cancer risk with relatively large numbers of patients and controls. The data showed that *MMP-11* rs738791, rs2267029, rs738792 and rs28382575 were not the major determinants of lung cancer risk for Taiwan citizens (Tables II and III). As far as we know, this is the first study to investigate the contribution of *MMP-11* genotypes to lung cancer worldwide.

In the literature, the studies investigating the contribution of the genotypes of *MMP-11* to human diseases are very limited. In 2010, the contribution of the *MMP-11* rs738792 to Kawasaki disease was examined in a Korean population containing 101 cases and 306 controls (36). The CC genotype at *MMP-11* rs738792 was at higher level in the Kawasaki disease group (14.3%) than the control group (4.5%) (36). The change from T to C at *MMP-11* rs738792 results in the replacement of Val with Ala, which may greatly affect the functions of encoded protein. In 2012, the genotypes of *MMP-11* together with other *MMPs* were investigated of their association with myopia in an Australian population

containing 269 myopes and 274 controls (37). None of the investigated polymorphic genotypes of MMPs, including rs738791 of MMP-11, was found to be associated with myopia after the correction for multiple testing (37). In 2015, the association of genotypes of MMP-11 with oral cancer was investigated in a Taiwan population containing 595 oral cancer patients and 561 controls (38). Although the genotype of MMP-11 rs738792, together with rs738791, rs2267029 and rs28382575, was not found to be a predictor of oral cancer susceptibility, it can serve as a predictor for lymph node metastasis, and synergistically interacts with betel quid chewing and smoking habits to increase the risk of oral cancer (38). In 2018 and 2019, the same group extend the casecontrol association study to hepatoma and uterine cervical cancer (39, 40). They found that the CT+TT genotypes of MMP-11 rs738791 can enhance the risk of hepatoma compared with the wild-type CC genotype. The MMP-11 rs738791, similar to rs2267029, is an intronic polymorphic site. Thus, it is possible that the different genotypes of MMP-11 rs738791 may affect the efficiency of alternative splicing or the stability of the mRNA, leading to differential regulation of MMP-11 expression during tumorigenesis. The detailed mechanisms and the contributions of the variant genotypes of MMP-11 rs738791 to cancer development need further investigation.

Cigarette smoking is closely related to various types of cancer worldwide, and the 126-country worldwide efforts have suppressed smoking prevalence from 24.73% in 2005 to 22.18% in 2015 (41). In Taiwan, although cigarette smoking behaviors are prohibited in public areas and cigarettes are charged with extremely high tax, there are still many smokers. From the epidemiological viewpoint, smoking is a risk factor for lung cancer and the percentage of smokers in our case group was as high as 81.8%. We have matched the control

Table II. Distributions of matrix metalloproteinas-11 genotypic frequencies among lung cancer patients and healthy subjects.

|                             | Cases, n (%) | Controls, n (%) | Adjusted OR (95%CI) <sup>a</sup> | <i>p</i> -Value <sup>b</sup> |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| rs738791                    |              |                 |                                  |                              |
| CC                          | 144 (40.2)   | 311 (43.4)      | 1.00 (Reference)                 |                              |
| CT                          | 181 (50.6)   | 347 (48.5)      | 1.17 (0.83-1.53)                 | 0.3818                       |
| TT                          | 33 (9.2)     | 58 (8.1)        | 1.30 (0.82-2.08)                 | 0.3905                       |
| CT+TT                       | 214 (59.8)   | 405 (56.6)      | 1.21 (0.89-1.59)                 | 0.3152                       |
| $p_{\text{trend}}$          |              |                 |                                  | 0.5638                       |
| rs2267029                   | 204 (56.2)   | 444 (57.4)      | 1.00 (7) (                       |                              |
| GG                          | 201 (56.2)   | 411 (57.4)      | 1.00 (Reference)                 |                              |
| AG                          | 129 (36.0)   | 257 (35.9)      | 1.04 (0.80-1.37)                 | 0.8504                       |
| AA                          | 28 (7.8)     | 48 (6.7)        | 1.27 (0.79-1.97)                 | 0.4853                       |
| AG+AA                       | 157 (43.8)   | 305 (42.6)      | 1.07 (0.83-1.38)                 | 0.6949                       |
| p <sub>trend</sub> rs738792 |              |                 |                                  | 0.7833                       |
| TT                          | 186 (52.0)   | 392 (54.7)      | 1.00 (Reference)                 |                              |
| CT                          | 144 (40.2)   | 271 (37.9)      | 1.13 (0.87-1.48)                 | 0.4059                       |
| CC                          | 28 (7.8)     | 53 (7.4)        | 1.15 (0.71-1.87)                 | 0.6673                       |
| CT+CC                       | 172 (48.0)   | 324 (45.3)      | 1.13 (0.88-1.46)                 | 0.3867                       |
| $p_{\rm trend}$             | -1-(1-1-)    | ( /             | (1112 (1113 1113)                | 0.6874                       |
| rs28382575                  |              |                 |                                  |                              |
| TT                          | 339 (94.7)   | 685 (95.7)      | 1.00 (Reference)                 |                              |
| CT                          | 18 (5.0)     | 29 (4.0)        | 1.25 (0.69-2.03)                 | 0.4603                       |
| CC                          | 1 (0.3)      | 2 (0.3)         | 1.05 (0.13-8.62)                 | 1.0000                       |
| CT+CC                       | 19 (5.3)     | 31 (4.3)        | 1.21 (0.77-2.42)                 | 0.4734                       |
| $p_{\text{trend}}$          |              |                 |                                  | 0.7614                       |

OR: Odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. <sup>a</sup>Data have been adjusted for confounding factors age, gender and smoking. <sup>b</sup>Based on Chi-square test without Yates' correction or Fisher's exact test when any number is less than 5.

Table III. Allelic frequencies for matrix metalloproteinas-11 polymorphisms among the lung cancer patients and healthy subjects.

| Allelic type | Cases, n (%) | Controls, n (%) | Adjusted OR (95%CI) <sup>a</sup> | <i>p</i> -Value <sup>b</sup> |  |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| rs738791     |              |                 |                                  |                              |  |
| Allele C     | 469 (65.5)   | 969 (67.7)      | 1.00 (Reference)                 |                              |  |
| Allele T     | 247 (34.5)   | 463 (32.3)      | 1.11 (0.92-1.34)                 | 0.3147                       |  |
| rs2267029    |              |                 |                                  |                              |  |
| Allele G     | 531 (74.2)   | 1079 (75.3)     | 1.00 (Reference)                 |                              |  |
| Allele A     | 185 (25.8)   | 353 (24.7)      | 1.08 (0.88-1.34)                 | 0.5494                       |  |
| rs738792     |              |                 |                                  |                              |  |
| Allele T     | 516 (72.1)   | 1055 (73.7)     | 1.00 (Reference)                 |                              |  |
| Allele C     | 200 (27.9)   | 377 (26.3)      | 1.10 (0.90-1.34)                 | 0.4285                       |  |
| rs28382575   |              |                 |                                  |                              |  |
| Allele T     | 696 (97.2)   | 1399 (97.7)     | 1.00 (Reference)                 |                              |  |
| Allele C     | 20 (2.8)     | 33 (2.3)        | 1.34 (0.78-2.32)                 | 0.4912                       |  |

OR: Odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. <sup>a</sup>Data have been adjusted for confounding factors age, gender and smoking. <sup>b</sup>Based on Chi-square test without Yates' correction.

group with the case group according to age, gender and smoking status during our selection of control subjects, therefore, the percentage of smokers in the control group was as high as 78.6%, not significantly different from the case group (p=0.2282) (Table I). As a matter of fact, Taiwan government has teamed up with the citizens to lower smoking

behavior from 32.5% in 1990 to 15.3% in 2016. Theoretically, the harmful consequences of smoking on lung cancer prevalence should have been lowered. However, instead, the elevation in thermal power generation and fine particulate matter (PM 2.5) contamination in the air may serve as a new environmental risk contributor for keeping lung cancer in the

throne of death-causing cancers in Taiwan (42). We also stratified cases and controls according to their smoking status and found that the four polymorphic genotypes were not predictors for lung cancer risk in smokers or non-smokers (data not shown).

In conclusion, this is the first study that investigated the contribution of genotypes of *MMP-11* in determining personal susceptibility to lung cancer and the results showed that the genotypes at rs738791, rs2267029, rs738792 and rs28382575 may not serve as useful biomarkers for early detection or prediction of lung cancer risk among the Taiwanese.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

All the Authors declare no conflict of interest regarding this study.

## **Authors' Contributions**

Research Design: Chen GL, Wang SC and Huang WC; Patient and Questionnaire Summarize: Shen TC and Hsia TC; Experiment Performance: Li HT and Chang WS; Statistical Analysis: Chen GL and Shen TC; Manuscript Writing: Tsai CW and Bau DT; Reviewing and Revising: Chang WS, Tsai CW and Bau DT.

### Acknowledgements

The Authors appreciate the Tissue-bank of China Medical University Hospital for their excellent technical assistance and all the subjects, doctors, nurses and colleagues. In addition, the genotyping work performed by Yu-Chen Hsiau, Jyun-Peng Tung and Yun-Chi Wang in Terry Fox Cancer Research Lab is highly appreciated by all the authors. This study was supported by the grants from Taichung Armed Forces General Hospital (108A01) to Dr. Wang, and from China Medical University to Dr. Huang (CMU104-S-01).

# References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A: Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 69: 7-34, 2019. PMID: 30620402. DOI: 10.3322/caac.21551
- 2 Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA and Jemal A: Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 68: 394-424, 2018. PMID: 30207593. DOI: 10.3322/caac.21492
- 3 Cui N, Hu M and Khalil RA: Biochemical and biological attributes of matrix metalloproteinases. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 147: 1-73, 2017. PMID: 28413025. DOI: 10.1016/ bs.pmbts.2017.02.005
- 4 Mittal R, Patel AP, Debs LH, Nguyen D, Patel K, Grati M, Mittal J, Yan D, Chapagain P and Liu XZ: Intricate functions of matrix metalloproteinases in physiological and pathological conditions. J Cell Physiol 231: 2599-2621, 2016. PMID: 27187048. DOI: 10.1002/jcp.25430
- 5 Werb Z: ECM and cell surface proteolysis: regulating cellular ecology. Cell 91: 439-442, 1997. PMID: 9390552. DOI: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80429-8

- 6 Sternlicht MD and Werb Z: How matrix metalloproteinases regulate cell behavior. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 17: 463-516, 2001. PMID: 11687497. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.cellbio.17.1.463
- 7 Alaseem A, Alhazzani K, Dondapati P, Alobid S, Bishayee A and Rathinavelu A: Matrix Metalloproteinases: A challenging paradigm of cancer management. Semin Cancer Biol 56: 100-115, 2019. PMID: 29155240. DOI: 10.1016/j.semcancer. 2017.11.008
- 8 Gonzalez-Avila G, Sommer B, Mendoza-Posada DA, Ramos C, Garcia-Hernandez AA and Falfan-Valencia R: Matrix metalloproteinases participation in the metastatic process and their diagnostic and therapeutic applications in cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 137: 57-83, 2019. PMID: 31014516. DOI: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2019.02.010
- 9 Tsai CW, Chang WS, Gong CL, Shih LC, Chen LY, Lin EY, Li HT, Yen ST, Wu CN and Bau DT: Contribution of matrix metallopeptidase-1 genotypes, smoking, alcohol drinking and areca chewing to nasopharyngeal carcinoma susceptibility. Anticancer Res 36: 3335-3340, 2016. PMID: 27354591.
- 10 Sun KT, Tsai CW, Chang WS, Shih LC, Chen LY, Tsai MH, Ji HX, Hsiao CL, Liu YC, Li CY and Bau DT: The contribution of matrix metalloproteinase-1 genotype to oral cancer susceptibility in Taiwan. In Vivo 30: 439-444, 2016. PMID: 27381606.
- 11 Price SJ, Greaves DR and Watkins H: Identification of novel, functional genetic variants in the human matrix metalloproteinase-2 gene: role of Sp1 in allele-specific transcriptional regulation. J Biol Chem 276: 7549-7558, 2001. PMID: 11114309. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M010242200
- 12.Ye S: Polymorphism in matrix metalloproteinase gene promoters: implication in regulation of gene expression and susceptibility of various diseases. Matrix Biol 19: 623-629, 2000. PMID: 11102751.
- 13 Yu C, Zhou Y, Miao X, Xiong P, Tan W and Lin D: Functional haplotypes in the promoter of matrix metalloproteinase-2 predict risk of the occurrence and metastasis of esophageal cancer. Cancer Res 64: 7622-7628, 2004. PMID: 15492291. DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-1521
- 14 Elander N, Soderkvist P and Fransen K: Matrix metallo-proteinase (MMP) -1, -2, -3 and -9 promoter polymorphisms in colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res 26: 791-795, 2006. PMID: 16739355.
- 15 Li Y, Jin X, Kang S, Wang Y, Du H, Zhang J, Guo W, Wang N and Fang S: Polymorphisms in the promoter regions of the matrix metalloproteinases-1, -3, -7, and -9 and the risk of epithelial ovarian cancer in China. Gynecol Oncol 101: 92-96, 2006. PMID: 16278009. DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2005.09.058
- 16 Hu Z, Huo X, Lu D, Qian J, Zhou J, Chen Y, Xu L, Ma H, Zhu J, Wei Q and Shen H: Functional polymorphisms of matrix metalloproteinase-9 are associated with risk of occurrence and metastasis of lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 11: 5433-5439, 2005. PMID: 16061858. DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-0311
- 17 Chou AK, Hsiao CL, Shih TC, Wang HC, Tsai CW, Chang WS, Liu LC, Way TD, Chung JG and Bau DT: The contribution of matrix metalloproteinase-7 promoter genotypes in breast cancer in Taiwan. Anticancer Res 37: 4973-4977, 2017. PMID: 28870920. DOI: 10.21873/anticanres.11908
- 18 Hung YW, Tsai CW, Wu CN, Shih LC, Chen YY, Liu YF, Hung HS, Shen MY, Chang WS and Bau DT: The contribution of matrix metalloproteinase-8 promoter polymorphism to oral cancer susceptibility. In Vivo 31: 585-590, 2017. PMID: 28652424. DOI: 10.21873/invivo.11098

- 19 Liao CH, Chang WS, Hu PS, Wu HC, Hsu SW, Liu YF, Liu SP, Hung HS, Bau DT and Tsai CW: The contribution of MMP-7 promoter polymorphisms in renal cell carcinoma. In Vivo 31: 631-635, 2017. PMID: 28652430. DOI: 10.21873/invivo.11104
- 20 Shen TC, Hsia TC, Chao CY, Chen WC, Chen CY, Chen WC, Lin YT, Hsiao CL, Chang WS, Tsai CW and Bau DT: The contribution of MMP-8 promoter polymorphisms in lung cancer. Anticancer Res 37: 3563-3567, 2017. PMID: 28668847. DOI: 10.21873/anticanres.11726
- 21 Hsu PC, Pei JS, Chen CC, Chang WS, Kuo CC, Cheng SP, Tsai CW, Bau DT and Gong CL: Association of matrix metallopeptidase-2 promoter polymorphisms with the risk of childhood leukemia. Anticancer Res 39: 1185-1190, 2019. PMID: 30842148. DOI: 10.21873/anticanres.13228
- 22 Liao CH, Chang WS, Tsai CW, Hu PS, Wu HC, Hsu SW, Chen GL, Yueh TC, Shen TC, Hsia TC and Bau DT: Association of matrix metalloproteinase-7 genotypes with the risk of bladder cancer. In Vivo 32: 1045-1050, 2018. PMID: 30388078. DOI: 10.21873/invivo.11345
- 23 Chen GL, Shen TC, Chang WS, Tsai CW, Li HT, Chuang CL, Lai YL, Yueh TC, Hsia TC, Wang SC and Bau DT: The contribution of MMP-7 promoter polymorphisms to taiwan lung cancer susceptibility. Anticancer Res 38: 5671-5677, 2018. PMID: 30275186. DOI: 10.21873/anticanres.12903
- 24 Yueh TC, Wu CN, Hung YW, Chang WS, Fu CK, Pei JS, Wu MH, Lai YL, Lee YM, Yen ST, Li HT, Tsai CW and Bau DT: The contribution of MMP-7 genotypes to colorectal cancer susceptibility in Taiwan. Cancer Genomics Proteomics 15: 207-212, 2018. PMID: 29695403. DOI: 10.21873/cgp.20079
- 25 Motrescu ER and Rio MC: Cancer cells, adipocytes and matrix metalloproteinase 11: a vicious tumor progression cycle. Biol Chem 389: 1037-1041, 2008. PMID: 18979628. DOI: 10.1515/ BC.2008.110
- 26 Basset P, Bellocq JP, Wolf C, Stoll I, Hutin P, Limacher JM, Podhajcer OL, Chenard MP, Rio MC and Chambon P: A novel metalloproteinase gene specifically expressed in stromal cells of breast carcinomas. Nature 348: 699-704, 1990. PMID: 1701851. DOI: 10.1038/348699a0
- 27 Soni S, Mathur M, Shukla NK, Deo SV and Ralhan R: Stromelysin-3 expression is an early event in human oral tumorigenesis. Int J Cancer 107: 309-316, 2003. PMID: 12949813. DOI: 10.1002/ijc.11366
- 28 Arora S, Kaur J, Sharma C, Mathur M, Bahadur S, Shukla NK, Deo SV and Ralhan R: Stromelysin 3, Ets-1, and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in oral precancerous and cancerous lesions: correlation with microvessel density, progression, and prognosis. Clin Cancer Res 11: 2272-2284, 2005. PMID: 15788677. DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0572
- 29 Hourihan RN, O'Sullivan GC and Morgan JG: Transcriptional gene expression profiles of oesophageal adenocarcinoma and normal oesophageal tissues. Anticancer Res 23: 161-165, 2003. PMID: 12680208.
- 30 von Marschall Z, Riecken EO and Rosewicz S: Stromelysin 3 is overexpressed in human pancreatic carcinoma and regulated by retinoic acid in pancreatic carcinoma cell lines. Gut *43*: 692-698, 1998. PMID: 9824353. DOI: 10.1136/gut.43.5.692
- 31 Wlodarczyk J, Stolte M and Mueller J: E-cadherin, beta-catenin and stromelysin-3 expression in de novo carcinoma of the colorectum. Pol J Pathol 52: 119-124, 2001. PMID: 11769398.

- 32 Mueller J, Brebeck B, Schmalfeldt B, Kuhn W, Graeff H and Hofler H: Stromelysin-3 expression in invasive ovarian carcinomas and tumours of low malignant potential. Virchows Arch 437: 618-624, 2000. PMID: 11193473.
- 33 Kettunen E, Anttila S, Seppanen JK, Karjalainen A, Edgren H, Lindstrom I, Salovaara R, Nissen AM, Salo J, Mattson K, Hollmen J, Knuutila S and Wikman H: Differentially expressed genes in nonsmall cell lung cancer: expression profiling of cancer-related genes in squamous cell lung cancer. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 149: 98-106, 2004. PMID: 15036884. DOI: 10.1016/ S0165-4608(03)00300-5
- 34 Shen TC, Chang WS, Tsai CW, Chao CY, Lin YT, Hsiao CL, Hsu CL, Chen WC, Hsia TC and Bau DT: The contribution of matrix metalloproteinase-1 promoter genotypes in Taiwan lung cancer risk. Anticancer Res 38: 253-257, 2018. PMID: 29277780. DOI: 10.21873/anticanres.12215
- 35 Tsai CW, Chang WS, Shen TC, Su CH, Wang HC, Liu LC and Bau DT: Contribution of excision repair cross-complementing group 1 genotypes to triple negative breast cancer risk. PLoS One 13: e0202112, 2018. PMID: 30096175. DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0202112
- 36 Ban JY, Kim SK, Kang SW, Yoon KL and Chung JH: Association between polymorphisms of matrix metalloproteinase 11 (MMP-11) and Kawasaki disease in the Korean population. Life Sci 86: 756-759, 2010. PMID: 20230842. DOI: 10.1016/j.lfs.2010.03.012
- 37 Schache M and Baird PN: Assessment of the association of matrix metalloproteinases with myopia, refractive error and ocular biometric measures in an Australian cohort. PLoS One 7: e47181, 2012. PMID: 23077567. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0047181
- 38 Lin CW, Yang SF, Chuang CY, Lin HP and Hsin CH: Association of matrix metalloproteinase-11 polymorphisms with susceptibility and clinicopathologic characteristics for oral squamous cell carcinoma. Head Neck 37: 1425-1431, 2015. PMID: 24838924. DOI: 10.1002/hed.23771
- 39 Wang B, Hsu CJ, Lee HL, Chou CH, Su CM, Yang SF and Tang CH: Impact of matrix metalloproteinase-11 gene polymorphisms upon the development and progression of hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Med Sci *15*: 653-658, 2018. PMID: 29725257. DOI: 10.7150/ijms.23733
- 40 Ng SC, Wang PH, Lee YC, Lee CY, Yang SF, Shen HP and Hsiao YH: Impact of matrix metalloproteinase-11 gene polymorphisms on development and clinicopathological variables of uterine cervical cancer in Taiwanese women. Int J Med Sci 16: 774-782, 2019. PMID: 31337950. DOI: 10.7150/ ijms.33195
- 41 Gravely S, Giovino GA, Craig L, Commar A, D'Espaignet ET, Schotte K and Fong GT: Implementation of key demand-reduction measures of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control and change in smoking prevalence in 126 countries: an association study. Lancet Public Health 2: e166-e174, 2017. PMID: 29253448. DOI: 10.1016/S2468-2667(17) 30045-2
- 42 Liao CM, Chio CP, Chen WY, Ju YR, Li WH, Cheng YH, Liao VH, Chen SC and Ling MP: Lung cancer risk in relation to traffic-related nano/ultrafine particle-bound PAHs exposure: a preliminary probabilistic assessment. J Hazard Mater 190: 150-158, 2011. PMID: 21458918. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat. 2011.03.017

Received August 16, 2019 Revised August 23, 2019 Accepted August 26, 2019