

# Racial Disparities in the Molecular Landscape of Cancer

ELISABETH I. HEATH<sup>1</sup>, FILIPA LYNCE<sup>2</sup>, JOANNE XIU<sup>3</sup>, ANGELA ELLERBROCK<sup>3</sup>,  
SANDEEP K. REDDY<sup>4</sup>, ELIAS OBEID<sup>5</sup>, STEPHEN V. LIU<sup>2</sup>,  
ALICIA BOLLIG-FISCHER<sup>1</sup>, DUSKA SEPAROVIC<sup>1</sup> and ARI VANDERWALDE<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Karmanos Cancer Institute, Department of Oncology,  
Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI, U.S.A.;

<sup>2</sup>Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Division of Hematology and Oncology,  
Department of Medicine, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC, U.S.A.;

<sup>3</sup>Caris Life Sciences, Phoenix, AZ, U.S.A.;

<sup>4</sup>NantHealth, Culver City, CA, U.S.A.;

<sup>5</sup>Fox Chase Cancer Center, Department of Clinical Genetics,  
Lewis Katz School of Medicine, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, U.S.A.;

<sup>6</sup>West Cancer Center, Division of Hematology and Oncology,  
Department of Medicine, University of Tennessee, Memphis, TN, U.S.A.

**Abstract.** *Background/Aim:* African Americans (AA) have the highest incidence and mortality of any racial/ethnic group in the US for most cancer types. Heterogeneity in the molecular biology of cancer, as a contributing factor to this disparity, is poorly understood. To address this gap in knowledge, we explored the molecular landscape of colorectal cancer (CRC), non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and high-grade glioma (HGG) from 271 AA and 636 Caucasian (CC) cases. *Materials and Methods:* DNA from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumors was sequenced using next-generation sequencing. Additionally, we evaluated protein expression using immunohistochemistry. The Exome Aggregation Consortium Database was evaluated for known ethnicity associations. *Results:* Considering only pathogenic or presumed pathogenic mutations, as determined by the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics guidelines, and using Bonferroni and Benjamini-Hochberg corrections for multiple comparisons, we found that CRC tumors from AA patients harbored significantly more mutations of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase, catalytic subunit alpha (PIK3CA) than those from CC patients. CRC tumors in AA patients also appeared to harbor more mutations of mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1 (MAP2K1/MEK1), MPL proto-oncogene (MPL), thrombo-

poietin receptor, and neurofibromin 1 (NF1) than those from CC patients. In contrast, CRCs from AA patients were likely to carry fewer mutations of ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM), as well as of proto-oncogene B-Raf (BRAF), including the V600E variant, than those from CC patients. Rates of immunohistochemical positivity for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and DNA topoisomerase 2-alpha (TOP2A) tended to be higher in CRCs from AA patients than in CC patients. In NSCLC adenocarcinoma, BRAF variants appeared to be more frequent in the AA than in the CC cohort, whereas in squamous cell lung carcinoma, programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression tended to be lower in the AA than in CC group. Moreover, HGG tumors from AA patients showed a trend toward harboring more mutations of protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor II (PTPN11), than HGG tumors from the CC cohort. In contrast, mutations of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) and tumor protein 53 (TP53) appeared to be higher in HGG tumors in CC patients than in their AA counterparts. *Conclusion:* Our data revealed significant differences and trends in molecular signatures of the three cancer types in AA and CC cohorts. These findings imply that there may be differences in carcinogenesis between AA and CC patients and that race may be a factor that should be considered regarding cancer incidence and outcome.

*Correspondence to:* Dr. Elisabeth I. Heath, Karmanos Cancer Institute, Department of Oncology, Wayne State University School of Medicine, 4100 John R, Detroit, MI 48201, U.S.A. Tel: +1 3135768624, Fax: +1 3135768767, e-mail: heathe@karmanos.org

*Key Words:* Cancer, mutational profiles, racial disparities.

African Americans (AA) have the highest death rate and shortest survival of any racial/ethnic group in the US for most cancer types (1). Among males, in the period of 2008-2012, the age-adjusted incidence rates per 100,000 for all cancer combined were 592 and 529 for AA and Caucasians (CC), respectively. This represents a 12% increase in cancer cases among AA as compared to CC ( $p < 0.05$ ) for all cancer

Table I. Patient and tumor characteristics.

	CRC		NSCLC		HGG		All cancer types	
Tumors, n	459		341		107		907	
Race	AA	CC	AA	CC	AA	CC	AA	CC
Tumors, n	136	323	110	231	25	82	271	636
Patient age (mean)	61	58	63	66	47	56	59	60
Female, n	65	164	59	129	14	38	138	331
Male, n	71	159	51	102	11	44	133	305
Primary, n	68	145	52	106			120	251
Metastasis, n	67	168	50	114			117	282

AA, African American; CC, Caucasian; CRC, colorectal cancer; HGG, high-grade glioma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.

combined, and rates are also higher for the most common cancer types, including prostatic, lung, colorectal (CRC), kidney and pancreatic. Similarly, among males in the same period, the age-adjusted death rates per 100,000 for all cancers combined were 268 and 211 for AA and CC, respectively, representing a 27% increased risk of cancer death in AA as compared to CC ( $p < 0.05$ ) (1). AA females have a 14% higher risk of cancer death than CC women (1). Even in the absence of increased incidence, there may be a higher mortality among AA patients. For example, despite a lower incidence of breast cancer, AA women have higher breast cancer mortality rates than CC women, and this is unlikely to be due to lower access to care alone (2). One of the likely factors contributing to these differences is disparate tumor biology (3).

Molecular alterations that drive various types of cancer have been identified and have contributed to significant progress in the treatment of cancer (4, 5). Despite these advancements, the genetic landscape of cancer with respect to race is poorly understood. To address this gap in knowledge and provide a meaningful evaluation of the frequency of mutations, we characterized the mutational landscape in AA patients and compared it to CC patients with CRC, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and high-grade glioma (HGG).

## Materials and Methods

**Tissue samples.** Solid tumors were submitted to Caris Life Sciences, a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA), the College of American Pathologists (CAP) and the International Organization for Standardization (ISO)15189-certified/accredited laboratory (Phoenix, AZ, USA) for molecular profiling aimed to provide molecular-guided treatment options. Race information was retrospectively collected from clinical records from the participating cancer institutions. Among the cases with race information available, the most frequent races were CC and AA. Therefore, these two races were investigated for comparative analysis. CRC, NSCLC, HGG and breast cancer were the most frequent cancer

types among AA patients, and therefore were chosen for analysis. Breast cancer results were reported elsewhere (6). Because the study included only de-identified data, it was granted exemption by the Western Institutional Review Board. The molecular profiling included next-generation sequencing and immunohistochemistry.

**Next-generation sequencing.** Direct sequencing was carried out on genomic DNA isolated from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor samples using the NextSeq platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). A custom-designed SureSelectXT assay (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used for targeting 592 genes that were selected based on the COSMIC database (<http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/browse/genome>), representing the most well-described driver mutations in solid tumors. Prior to molecular testing, tumor enrichment was achieved by harvesting targeted tissue using manual microdissection techniques. Genetic variants were identified by board-certified molecular geneticists and categorized as ‘pathogenic’, ‘presumed pathogenic’, ‘variant of unknown significance’, ‘presumed benign’, or ‘benign’, according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics standards (7). When assessing mutational frequencies of individual genes, ‘pathogenic’, and ‘presumed pathogenic’ were counted as mutations, while ‘benign’, ‘presumed benign’ variants and ‘variants of unknown significance’ were excluded. All variants were detected with greater than 99% confidence based on allelic frequency and amplicon coverage, with an average sequencing depth of coverage of greater than 500x and an analytical sensitivity of 5%.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Immunohistochemistry was performed on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections on glass slides. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit polyclonal anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), rabbit monoclonal anti-programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) (clone SP142; Spring Bioscience, Pleasanton, CA, USA) and mouse monoclonal anti-DNA topoisomerase 2-alpha (TOP2A) (clone 3F6; Leica Biosystems, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA). Slides were stained using automated staining techniques, per the manufacturer’s instructions, and were optimized and validated per CLIA/CAP/ISO15189 requirements. Staining was scored for intensity (no staining: 0, weak staining: 1+, moderate staining: 2+, strong staining: 3+) and staining percentage (0-100%). Results were categorized as positive or negative by defined thresholds specific to each marker based on published

clinical literature. A Board-certified pathologist evaluated all results independently. For PD-L1 immunohistochemistry, the staining was regarded as positive if its intensity on the membrane of the tumor cells was  $\geq 2+$  (on a semi-quantitative scale of 0-3: 0 for no staining, 1+ for weak staining, 2+ for moderate staining, or 3+ for strong staining) and the percentage of positively stained cells was  $>5\%$ .

**Bioinformatics analyses.** The Exome Aggregation Consortium Database (<http://exac.broadinstitute.org/>), as of May 2016, was evaluated for known ethnicity associations.

**Statistical analyses.** In addition to using chi-square test, both Bonferroni and Benjamini-Hochberg methods were used to correct for multiple comparisons. A two-tailed *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Patient and tumor characteristics.** Of 907 tumors that were analyzed, 50.6% were CRC, 37.6% were NSCLC, and 11.8% were HGG (Table I). When all tumors are considered, 29.9% (271 out of 907) were collected from AA patients, and 70.1% (636 out of 907) were obtained from CC patients. The average patient age was 59 and 60 years for AA and CC, respectively. Patient gender was well balanced for both races. Primary versus metastatic composition was also well balanced for CRC and NSCLC, with 48.2% of all tumors taken from primary sites, and 51.8% from metastatic sites. For HGG, both newly diagnosed and recurrent tumors were included in the analysis. Of all HGG, 60.0% and 74.4% were glioblastoma multiforme in AA and CC, respectively, and the difference was not significant (data not shown). The average age was 47 and 56 years for AA and CC patients with HGG, respectively, with the AA cohort being younger ( $p=0.010$ ; chi-square test; Table I).

**CRC tumors from AA patients significantly more frequently harbored mutations of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase, catalytic subunit alpha (PIK3CA) than CRC tumors from CC patients.** Using next-generation sequencing and Bonferroni and Benjamini-Hochberg corrections for multiple comparisons, we found that significantly more CRC tumors from AA patients carried *PIK3CA* mutations than CRC tumors from CC patients ( $p=0.024$ ). Specifically, *PIK3CA* mutations were detected in 26 out of 118 tumors from AA patients (22.0%), but in only 22 out of 243 from CC patients (9.1%; Table II). In both AA and CC, exon 9 mutations of *PIK3CA* were more prevalent than exon 20 mutations, with E542K and E545K variants as the most frequent. In addition to Bonferroni and Benjamini-Hochberg corrections for multiple comparisons, chi-square test also showed *PIK3CA* mutations in CRC to be significantly more frequent in AA than in CC patients ( $p=0.0009$ ). Additional molecular alterations were observed that reached the level of significance by chi-square test, but not by Bonferroni and Benjamini-Hochberg corrections, and are described below as trends.

Table II. Next-generation sequencing data for phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase, catalytic subunit alpha (*PIK3CA*), ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (*ATM*), proto-oncogene *B-Raf* (*BRAF*), mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1 (*MAP2K1/MEK1*), myeloproliferative leukemia (*MPL*) virus oncogene and neurofibromin 1 (*NF1*) mutations in colorectal cancer (CRC) tumors from African Americans (AA) and Caucasians (CC) patients. Each variant is characterized by specific amino acid substitution at a given protein position, the specific DNA exon region, its pathogenicity, and the actual number of patients represented in each cohort (*n*). Standard one letter codes are used to indicate mutation-induced changes in amino acids. The most frequent mutations of *PIK3CA* are shown in bold.

Gene/variant	Exon	Function	AA (n)	CC (n)	<i>p</i> -Value
<b><i>PIK3CA</i></b>					
Total assayed			118	243	0.024*
R88Q	1	P	0	2	
E109del	1	PP	1	1	
N345K	4	PP	0	3	
<b>E542K</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	
E545A	9	P	0	1	
E545G	9	P	1	0	
<b>E545K</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	
Q546K	9	P	1	0	
Q546R	9	P	0	1	
Y1021C	20	PP	1	0	
M1043I	20	P	1	0	
G1049R	20	P	1	1	
H1047L	20	P	2	1	
H1047R	20	P	2	2	
<b><i>ATM</i></b>					
Total assayed			116	241	0.047‡
S49C	3	PP	0	4	
R337H	8	PP	0	1	
E632X	12	P	0	1	
L1238fs	25	P	0	1	
Y1241X	25	P	0	1	
L1449X	29	P	0	1	
F1774fs	35	P	0	1	
Q2108X	43	P	0	1	
R2443X	50	P	0	1	
W2638X	53	P	2	1	
F2799fs	57	P	0	1	
R3008C	63	PP	0	2	
R3008H	63	P	0	1	
<b><i>BRAF</i></b>					
Total assayed			115	247	0.022‡
G464V	11	P	0	1	
G466V	11	P	0	1	
D594G	15	PP	2	0	
D594N	15	PP	0	1	
L597V	15	PP	0	1	
V600_K601delinsE	15	PP	0	1	
V600E	15	P	2	21	
<b><i>MAP2K1/MEK1</i></b>					
Total assayed			78	169	0.035‡
D67N	2	PP	1	0	
K57N	2	PP	1	0	
<b><i>MPL</i></b>					
Total assayed			115	244	0.039‡
Y252H	5	PP	2	0	
<b><i>NF1</i></b>					
Total assayed			78	169	0.037‡
R440X	12	P	1	0	
R1769X	38	P	1	0	
c.6819+1G>A	45	P	1	0	

C.6819+1G>A, splice variant showing the nucleotide change position; Del, deletion; Delins, double-deletion; Fs, frameshift; P, pathogenic; PP, presumed pathogenic; X, stop codon. \*Bonferroni and Benjamini-Hochberg correction; ‡chi-square test.

Table III. Rates of immunohistochemical positivity for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and topoisomerase 2-alpha (TOP2A) appear to be higher in colorectal (CRC) tumors from African Americans (AA) than in Caucasians (CC) patients.

Immunohistochemical positivity	EGFR		TOP2A	
	AA	CC	AA	CC
Total assayed, n	89	194	104	250
Positively stained, n	58	102	99	211
Percentage	65.2%	52.6%	95.2%	84.4%
p-Value <sup>‡</sup>	0.047		0.005	

<sup>‡</sup>Chi-square test.

**Other molecular alterations in CRC.** Next-generation sequencing data showed a trend toward fewer ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (*ATM*) mutations, as well as fewer proto-oncogene B-Raf (*BRAF*) mutations, including the V600E variant, in CRC tumors from AA than CC patients ( $p=0.022$  and  $p=0.047$ , respectively; chi-square test; Table II). *ATM* mutations were mostly truncating and frameshift mutations likely causing the loss of protein function. Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1 (*MAP2K1/MEK1*), myeloproliferative leukemia (*MPL*) virus oncogene and neurofibromin 1 (*NF1*) mutations appeared to be more frequent in CRC in the AA than in the CC cohort. Specifically, two *MAP2K1/MEK1* mutations (D67N, K57N), two *MPL* mutations (Y252H), two *NF1* truncating mutations (R440X, R1769X), in addition to an *NF1* splice variant (c.6819+1G>A), were detected in tumors from AA patients but not in those from CC patients ( $p=0.035$ ,  $p=0.039$  and  $p=0.037$ , respectively; chi-square test; Table II). In addition, we found that adenomatous polyposis coli (*APC*), tumor protein 53 (*TP53*), and K-RAS proto-oncogene (*KRAS*) mutations were the most frequent variants in CRC tumors of both AA and CC patients without any significant difference between the two cohorts (data not shown). Furthermore, rates of immunohistochemical positivity for EGFR and TOP2A were high in both cohorts, but tended to be higher in CRCs from AA patients compared to CC patients ( $p=0.047$  and  $p=0.005$ , respectively; chi-square test; Table III).

**Molecular alterations in NSCLC.** When NSCLC adenocarcinomas were analyzed, only frequencies for *BRAF* mutations (V600E and non-V600E) appeared to be different between the two cohorts ( $p=0.020$ ; chi-square test; Table IV). Notably, three out of seven *BRAF* mutations detected in adenocarcinomas from AA patients were V600E. In contrast, only one out of four *BRAF* variants in CC adenocarcinomas was V600E. In contrast, in squamous cell carcinomas, *BRAF* variants were absent from both AA and CC cohorts (data not shown).

Table IV. Proto-oncogene B-Raf (*BRAF*) variants in lung adenocarcinomas and immunohistochemical positivity for programmed death-ligand 1 (*PD-L1*) in lung squamous cell carcinomas. Each variant is characterized by specific amino acid substitution at a given position in the protein, the specific DNA exon region, its pathogenicity, and the actual number represented in each cohort (n). Standard one letter codes indicate mutation-induced changes in amino acids.

Gene/variant	Exon	Function	AA (n)	CC (n)	p-Value
<i>BRAF</i>					0.020 <sup>‡</sup>
Total assayed			51	108	
G469A	11	P	1	2	
D594N	15	PP	2	0	
G596R	15	P	0	1	
K601E	15	PP	1	0	
V600E	15	P	3	1	
Immunohistochemical positivity			AA	CC	p-Value
<i>PD-L1</i>					
Total assayed, n			11	20	0.022 <sup>‡</sup>
Positively stained, n			0	7	
Percentage			0.0	35.0	

AA, African Americans; CC, Caucasians; P, pathogenic; PP, presumed pathogenic. <sup>‡</sup>Chi-square test.

Interestingly, squamous cell carcinomas tested positively for PD-L1 expression by immunohistochemistry in seven out of 20 tumors from CC patients (35.0%), but in none of 11 tumors from AA patients ( $p=0.026$ ; chi-square test). On the other hand, in adenocarcinomas there was no significant difference in PD-L1 immunohistochemical positivity between the two groups (data not shown).

**Molecular alterations in HGG.** Next-generation sequencing data showed that protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor 11 (*PTPN11*) mutations (G503V and T507K) tended to be more frequent in HGGs in AA patients than in CC ones ( $p=0.011$ ; chi-square test; Table V). In contrast, phosphatase and tensin homolog (*PTEN*) mutations were less frequent in the AA than in CC cohort ( $p=0.005$ ; chi-square test; Table V). Similarly, deleterious *TP53* mutations appeared to be less frequent in AA than in CC patients ( $p=0.024$ ; chi-square test; Table V).

## Discussion

In the present study, we discovered 26 distinct pathogenic or presumed pathogenic *PIK3CA* variants (out of 118 tumors) in the AA cohort and they were more prevalent in the AA cohort than in the CC cohort (22.0% vs. 9.1%, respectively). Brim and Ashktorab reported two non-synonymous variants in *PIK3CA* gene in CRC in AA patients (8). In contrast, Phipps *et al.* reported no difference in *PIK3CA* by race (9).

Table V. Next-generation sequencing data for protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor 11 (*PTPN11*), phosphatase and tensin homolog (*PTEN*) and tumor protein 53 (*TP53*) variants in high-grade glioma (HGG) tumors tend to be race-specific. Each variant is characterized by specific amino acid substitution at a given protein position, the specific DNA exon region, its pathogenicity, and the actual number of patients represented in each cohort (n). Standard one letter codes are used to indicate mutation-induced changes in amino acids.

Gene/variant	Exon	Function	AA (n)	CC (n)	p-Value
<b><i>PTPN11</i></b>					
Total assayed			18	57	0.011‡
G503V	13	PP	2	0	
T507A	13	PP	1	0	
<b><i>PTEN</i></b>					
Total assayed			18	55	0.005‡
Q17X	1	P	0	1	
T26fs	1	P	0	2	
G129V	5	PP	0	1	
Q87X	5	P	0	1	
L193fs	6	P	0	1	
Q171X	6	P	0	1	
R173H	6	P	0	1	
Y176fs	6	P	0	1	
Y180X	6	P	0	1	
I253fs	7	P	0	2	
P246L	7	P	0	1	
S226fs	7	P	0	1	
R335X	8	P	0	1	
V317fs	8	P	0	1	
V315X	8	P	0	2	
<b><i>TP53</i></b>					
Total assayed			18	58	0.024‡
N29fs	3	P	0	1	
H115fs	4	P	0	1	
L130V	5	PP	0	1	
R175H	5	P	0	2	
R181H	5	PP	0	1	
V143A	5	PP	0	1	
H193P	6	PP	0	1	
I195T	6	PP	0	1	
Y205fs	6	P	0	1	
Y220C	6	P	0	1	
G244D	7	PP	0	1	
R248Q	7	P	0	2	
Y234C	7	PP	0	1	
C275S	8	PP	1	0	
F270L	8	PP	1	0	
G266E	8	PP	0	1	
P278S	8	PP	0	1	
P301fs	8	P	0	2	
R267W	8	PP	0	1	
R273C	8	S	0	5	
R273H	8	P	1	1	
R306X	8	P	0	1	
R337C	10	P	0	1	
R342X	10	P	0	1	

AA, African Americans; CC, Caucasians; Fs, frameshift; P, pathogenic; PP, presumed pathogenic; X, stop codon. ‡Chi-square test.

Our findings are consistent with those of Yoon *et al.*, who reported a higher rate of *BRAF* mutations in CRC tumors among CC patients compared to AA patients (10). However, our findings that the high frequencies of *TP53* variants in AA and CC CRC cohorts are similar (68.7 and 69.5%, respectively; data not shown) are not in accord with the data reported by Katkooi *et al.* (11). Our findings also differ from those reported elsewhere (10, 12, 13) supporting the notion that *KRAS* and *APC* mutation rates are higher in CRCs from AA patients. In addition, Heestand *et al.* showed that *TOP2A* amplification is associated with various solid tumors, including CRC (14). In the present study, we provide evidence supporting the view that CRC tumors from AA patients may have higher *TOP2A* expression than those from CC patients. Moreover, Theodoropoulos *et al.* reported race-associated *EGFR* overexpression in CRC (15). In the present report, we also showed evidence suggesting higher *EGFR* expression in CRC in AA patients than in CC ones.

A recent report by Campbell *et al.* provides evidence supporting the view that both lung adenocarcinomas and lung squamous cell carcinomas from AA and CC patients have similar mutational landscapes (16). In the present study, however, we report race-specificity for *BRAF* variants and PD-L1 immunohistochemical positivity. Overall, the differences between our data and other published evidence regarding racial disparities in the genomic landscape are not uncommon (17). These inconsistencies could be attributed to low numbers of AA patients with cancer compared to the numbers of CC patients in respective cohorts. This is a general trend (17) that contributes to wide intervals for mutational frequencies. Nevertheless, some of the observed differences may be representative of a difference in pathogenesis of cancer in AA as compared with CC patients. These differences could potentially help elucidate possible causes for increased mortality in AA patients, which currently remain unclear (18). Thus, additional research with larger cohorts should aid in further describing these differences. Moreover, new studies that include clinical outcomes are expected to provide important data linking molecular differences with disparate outcomes.

The incidence of HGG is higher in CC than in other races, including AA (19). Our novel data indicate that *PTEN*, *PTPN11* and *TP53* have potential race-specific molecular signatures associated with HGG tumors. Because genomic profiling has become a requirement for the proper clinical management of a number of tumor types, including gliomas (20), our race-specific genomic findings have the potential to advance precision cancer medicine. Furthermore, in both the AA and CC cohorts of the present study, a number of the detected oncogenic alterations (*e.g.* *APC*-, *KRAS*- and *PIK3CA*-associated) are actionable, *i.e.* possibly responsive to a targeted therapy (20-24). Thus, it is important to ensure that all cancer patients, regardless of race, undergo genomic profiling in order to be treated with appropriate targeted therapies (18).

## References

- 1 DeSantis CE, Siegel RL, Sauer AG, Miller KD, Fedewa SA, Alcaraz KI and Jemal A: Cancer statistics for African Americans, 2016: Progress and opportunities in reducing racial disparities. *CA Cancer J Clin* 66: 290-308, 2016.
- 2 Warner ET, Tamimi RM, Hughes ME, Ottosen RA, Wong YN, Edge SB, Theriault RL, Blayney DW, Niland JC, Winer EP, Weeks JC and Partridge AH: Racial and ethnic differences in breast cancer survival: mediating effect of tumor characteristics and sociodemographic and treatment factors. *J Clin Oncol* 33: 2254-2261, 2015.
- 3 Spratt DE, Chan T, Waldron L, Speers C, Feng FY, Ogunwobi OO and Osborne JR: Racial/ethnic disparities in genomic sequencing. *JAMA Oncol* 2: 1070-1074, 2016.
- 4 Hoelder S, Clarke PA and Workman P: Discovery of small molecule cancer drugs: successes, challenges and opportunities. *Mol Oncol* 6: 155-176, 2012.
- 5 Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Velculescu VE, Zhou S, Diaz LA Jr. and Kinzler KW: Cancer genome landscapes. *Science* 339: 1546-1558, 2013.
- 6 Lynce F, Xiu J, Nunes MR, Swain SM, Gatalica Z, Isaacs C and Pohlmann PR: Racial differences in the molecular landscape of breast cancer. *Proceedings of the 2016 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium* 2016 Dec 6-10, San Antonio, TX, 2016.
- 7 Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Grody WW, Hegde M, Lyon E, Spector E, Voelkerding K, Rehm HL and Committee ALQA: Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med* 17: 405-424, 2015.
- 8 Brim H and Ashktorab H: Genomics of colorectal cancer in African Americans. *Next Genet Seq Appl* 3: 1-8, 2016.
- 9 Phipps AI, Ahnen DJ, Cheng I, Newcomb PA, Win AK and Burnett T: *PIK3CA* somatic mutation status in relation to patient and tumor factors in racial/ethnic minorities with colorectal cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 24: 1046-1051, 2015.
- 10 Yoon HH, Shi Q, Alberts SR, Goldberg RM, Thibodeau SN, Sargent DJ, Sinicrope FA and for the Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology: Racial differences in *BRAF/KRAS* mutation rates and survival in stage III colon cancer patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 107: 1-10, 2015.
- 11 Katkooi VR, Jia X, Shanmugam C, Wan W, Meleth S, Bumpers H, Grizzle WE and Manne U: Prognostic significance of p53 codon 72 polymorphism differs with race in colorectal adenocarcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 15: 2406-2416, 2009.
- 12 Staudacher JJ, Yazici C, Bul V, Zeidan J, Khalid A, Xia Y, Krett N and Jung B: Increased frequency of *KRAS* mutations in African Americans compared with Caucasians in sporadic colorectal cancer. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol* 8(*e124*): 1-7, 2017.
- 13 Inra JA, Steyerberg EW, Grover S, McFarland A, Syngal S and Kastrinos F: Racial variation in frequency and phenotypes of *APC* and *MUTYH* mutations in 6,169 individuals undergoing genetic testing. *Genet Med* 17: 815-821, 2015.
- 14 Heestand GM, Schwaederle M, Gatalica Z, Arguello D and Kurzrock R: Topoisomerase expression and amplification in solid tumours: Analysis of 24,262 patients. *Eur J Cancer* 83: 80-87, 2017.
- 15 Theodoropoulos GE, Karafoka E, Papailiou JG, Stamopoulos P, Zambirinis CP, Bramis K, Panoussopoulos SG, Leandros E and Bramis J: P53 and EGFR expression in colorectal cancer: a reappraisal of 'old' tissue markers in patients with long follow-up. *Anticancer Res* 29: 785-791, 2009.
- 16 Campbell JD, Lathan C, Sholl L, Ducar M, Vega M, Sunkavalli A, Lin L, Hanna M, Schubert L, Thorner A, Faris N, Williams DR, Osarogiagbon RU, van Hummelen P, Meyerson M and MacConaill L: Comparison of prevalence and types of mutations in lung cancers among Black and White populations. *JAMA Oncol* 3: 801-809, 2017.
- 17 Ashktorab H, Ahuja S, Kannan L, Llor X, Ellis NA, Xicola RM, Laiyemo AO, Carethers JM, Brim H and Nouraei M: A meta-analysis of MSI frequency and race in colorectal cancer. *Oncotarget* 7: 34546-34557, 2016.
- 18 Steuer CE, Behera M, Berry L, Kim S, Rossi M, Sica G, Owonikoko TK, Johnson BE, Kris MG, Bunn PA, Khuri FR, Garon EB and Ramalingam SS: Role of race in oncogenic driver prevalence and outcomes in lung adenocarcinoma: Results from the Lung Cancer Mutation Consortium. *Cancer* 122: 766-772, 2016.
- 19 Thakkar JP, Dolecek TA, Horbinski C, Ostrom QT, Lightner DD, Barnholtz-Sloan JS and Villano JL: Epidemiologic and molecular prognostic review of glioblastoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 23: 1985-1996, 2014.
- 20 Hyman DM, Taylor BS and Baselga J: Implementing genome-driven oncology. *Cell* 168: 584-599, 2017.
- 21 Wood LD, Parsons DW, Jones S, Lin J, Sjoblom T, Leary RJ, Shen D, Boca SM, Barber T, Ptak J, Silliman N, Szabo S, Dezso Z, Ustyanksky V, Nikolskaya T, Nikolsky Y, Karchin R, Wilson PA, Kaminker JS, Zhang Z, Croshaw R, Willis J, Dawson D, Shipitsin M, Willson JK, Sukumar S, Polyak K, Park BH, Pethiyagoda CL, Pant PV, Ballinger DG, Sparks AB, Hartigan J, Smith DR, Suh E, Papadopoulos N, Buckhaults P, Markowitz SD, Parmigiani G, Kinzler KW, Velculescu VE and Vogelstein B: The genomic landscapes of human breast and colorectal cancers. *Science* 318: 1108-1113, 2007.
- 22 Boutin AT, Liao WT, Wang M, Hwang SS, Karpinets TV, Cheung H, Chu GC, Jiang S, Hu J, Chang K, Vilar E, Song X, Zhang J, Kopetz S, Futreal A, Wang YA, Kwong LN and DePinho RA: Oncogenic *Kras* drives invasion and maintains metastases in colorectal cancer. *Genes Dev* 31: 370-382, 2017.
- 23 Carr TH, McEwen R, Dougherty B, Johnson JH, Dry JR, Lai Z, Ghazoui Z, Laing NM, Hodgson DR, Cruzalegui F, Hollingsworth SJ and Barrett JC: Defining actionable mutations for oncology therapeutic development. *Nat Rev Cancer* 16: 319-329, 2016.
- 24 Mou H, Moore J, Malonia SK, Li Y, Ozata DM, Hough S, Song CQ, Smith JL, Fischer A, Weng Z, Green MR and Xue W: Genetic disruption of oncogenic *Kras* sensitizes lung cancer cells to Fas receptor-mediated apoptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114: 3648-3653, 2017.

Received February 3, 2018

Revised February 26, 2018

Accepted February 27, 2018