Highly Eribulin-resistant KBV20C Oral Cancer Cells Can Be Sensitized by Co-treatment with the Third-generation P-Glycoprotein Inhibitor, Elacridar, at a Low Dose
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Abstract. Background/Aim: Eribulin mesylate, also called Halaven® (HAL), was recently developed as a microtubule-targeting drug and is used in the clinic for resistant or metastatic cancer. Previously, we showed that P-glycoprotein (P-gp)-overexpressing KBV20C oral cancer cells are highly resistant to HAL compared to sensitive KB cells. This qualitative study was designed to identify specific P-gp inhibitors that increase the sensitivity of highly resistant cancer cells to HAL. Materials and Methods: In order to identify functional P-gp inhibitors, HAL-treated KBV20C cells were co-treated with P-gp inhibitors, verapamil, elacridar, cyclosporine A, mitotane, piperine, fumagillin, curcumin, indomethacin, probenecid, sulindac, tesmilifene, and C-4. We then evaluated which P-gp inhibitors required a low dose to sensitize KBV20C cells to HAL. We also determined whether a low dose of a P-gp inhibitor could inhibit P-gp efflux pumping. Results: We found that cyclosporine A sensitized HAL-treated KBV20C cells at a low dose, whereas verapamil, another first-generation P-gp inhibitor, required a dose that was nearly 10-fold higher. We also found that the natural products, piperine and mitotane, sensitized KBV20C cells to HAL co-treatment. Interestingly, we found that elacridar, a third-generation P-gp inhibitor, sensitized HAL-treated cells at a low dose. Elacridar required approximately a 500-fold lower dose than that of verapamil to exert a similar effect. All inhibitors showed P-gp inhibitory activity that correlated with sensitivity to HAL. Conclusion: These results suggest that highly HAL-resistant cancer cells can be sensitized with cyclosporine A or elacridar, specific P-gp inhibitors that exert their effects at a low dose. These findings provide important information regarding the sensitization of highly HAL-resistant cells with selective P-gp inhibitors and indicate that elacridar may be used to treat such highly HAL-resistant cancer cells.

Anti-mitotic drugs, which target different binding sites on tubulin, are widely used for treating different types of cancer (1, 2). These compounds inhibit mitosis by targeting microtubules and preventing their polymerization or depolymerization (3, 4). Eribulin, also called Halaven® (HAL), was recently developed and used in the clinic to treat resistant or metastatic cancer. HAL has been developed to overcome the resistance of cancer cells to routinely used anti-mitotic drugs. It targets the depolymerization of microtubules (5, 6). HAL is considered a promising drug for triple-negative breast cancer and certain resistant cancer types (7-9). Since patients are expected to develop resistance to HAL, identifying the mechanism(s) that underlie cell sensitization would be an important step in the development of more effective treatments by designing approaches to increase HAL-associated apoptosis.

P-Glycoprotein (P-gp) overexpression is a well-known mechanism for anticancer drug resistance (10, 11). P-gp plays a role in such resistance by actively pumping anticancer drugs out of the cell. Although P-gp inhibitors have been developed, toxicity in normal cells resulted in their failure in clinical testing. After the toxicity of first-generation P-gp inhibitors was established, several trials using other drugs were conducted (12, 13). Natural products or pre-existing clinical drugs with P-gp inhibitory activity were tested (4, 13-15), since they had already passed drug-toxicity screening. Other strategies involved modifying the side-chains of known P-gp inhibitors. Non-competitive inhibitors were also designed with computational simulations and are called third-generation P-gp inhibitors (12, 13). All of these were designed to minimize toxicity in normal cells. Since highly HAL-resistant cancer cells use P-gp efflux
mechanisms, it is important to test which P-gp inhibitors adequately and specifically inhibit the ability of P-gp to pump out HAL.

Therefore, we performed this study to increase our understanding of the mechanisms associated with sensitization of HAL-resistant KBV20C oral cancer cells overexpressing P-gp (16). Mainly, we focused on identifying specific P-gp inhibitors for sensitizing highly HAL-resistant KBV20C cells at low doses. We selected different known P-gp inhibitors (4, 10-13, 15, 17, 18) which have been used or suggested in clinical trials. We then tested which P-gp inhibitors could sensitize HAL-treated KBV20C cells at low dose. We also tested whether these agents could inhibit the ability of P-gp to act as an efflux pump in KBV20C cells. Since these drugs have been considered for use in the treatment of humans, they could be readily available for clinical use once their HAL synergistic activities are better understood. These results will contribute to the development of P-gp inhibitor-based therapies for the co-treatment of highly HAL-resistant tumors.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. Elacridar, piperine, mitotane, indomethacin, cyclosporine A, and probenecid was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA). Fumagillin and sulindac were purchased from Enzo Life Sciences (Farmingdale, NY, USA). Verapamil and teso-milfen were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Curcumin and C-4 were supplied by Calbiochem (Bellerica, MA, USA). Calcein-AM was obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Aqueous solutions of HAL (Eisai Korea, Seoul, Republic of Korea) were obtained from the National Cancer Center in South Korea.

Cell culture. Human oral squamous cell carcinoma cell line KB and its multidrug-resistant subline, KBV20C, were obtained from Dr. Yong Kee Kim (College of Pharmacy, Sookmyung Women’s University, Seoul, Republic of Korea) and have been previously described (17, 19, 20). All cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (WelGENE, Daegu, South Korea).

Microscopic observation. Cells grown in 6-well plates were treated with the drugs under study, the medium was removed and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was added to each plate. Cells were examined immediately in two independent experiments using an Axio observer.ZI fluorescence inverted microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with a 5x or 10x objective lens (Carl Zeiss EC Plan-Neofluar).

Calcein-AM uptake tests. Calcein-AM uptake was used to determine the ability of agents to inhibit P-gp using a previously described method (21-26). Briefly, cells grown in 6-well plates were treated with study drugs and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Cells were then incubated with 0.1 μg/ml Calcein-AM for 1 h 30 min at 37°C. The medium was then removed, and the cells were washed with PBS. Stained cells were analyzed using a FACSCalibur flow cytometric system (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). In this experiment, cellular accumulation of green fluorescence was indicative of intracellular accumulation of calcein-AM and an effect on P-gp inhibition. We performed two independent experiments.

Results

Co-treatment with low-dose cyclosporine A sensitizes highly HAL-resistant KBV20C cells. KBV20C cells present an anti-mitotic drug-resistant phenotype via P-gp overexpression (21, 22). We previously showed that the concentration of HAL required for a similar response was approximately 500-fold higher than that required for the parental drug-sensitive KB cells (17). Considering that our previous studies showed less than 10-fold differences when other anti-mitotic drugs were used (20, 23-26), KBV20C cell line is a very useful model of highly HAL-resistant cancer cells. In this study, we tested whether P-gp-overexpressing HAL-resistant cancer cells can be selectively sensitized by co-treatment with known P-gp inhibitors.

Firstly, we tested the well-known, first-generation P-gp inhibitor, verapamil (12, 13), in HAL-treated KBV20C cells. As shown in Figure 1A, co-treatment with 50 μM HAL and 5 μM verapamil increased sensitization of drug-resistant KBV20C cells, confirming that HAL-resistance in KBV20C cells involves efflux pumping associated with P-gp overexpression. We then tested another known first-generation P-gp inhibitor, cyclosporine A (11). We found that 10-fold lower concentration of cyclosporine A sensitized the cells to a similar degree as verapamil did (Figure 1B). The results suggest that cyclosporine A is more effective in sensitizing highly HAL-resistant cancer cells than verapamil. We also tested whether both verapamil and cyclosporine A could exert their effects using reduced concentrations of HAL. As seen in Figure 1C-D, 10 μM or 5 μM of HAL had similar effects to those observed with 10 μM or 20 μM verapamil and 1 μM or 2 μM cyclosporine A. This suggests that the HAL dose for co-treatment can also be reduced when low-dose cyclosporine A is used against highly HAL-resistant cancer cells.

We also evaluated P-gp-inhibitory activity. As shown in Figure 1E, cyclosporine A has a higher P-gp-inhibitory activity than verapamil does, suggesting that cyclosporine A has greater specificity for P-gp inhibition of HAL efflux. Altogether, we found that cyclosporine A can be used at a low dose, since it has selectivity for highly HAL-resistant cancer cells and increased inhibition of P-gp.

A high dose of piperine and mitotane sensitizes HAL-treated KBV20C cells. In order to reduce toxicity of P-gp inhibitors, natural products have been tested (14, 15, 27). Among them, we tested whether curcumin, fumagillin, and piperine could sensitize HAL-treated KBV20C cells. As shown in Figure
2A and B, we found that 100 μM piperine increased sensitivity to HAL, whereas neither curcumin nor fumagillin had this effect. In the case of curcumin, a single treatment with 50 μM sensitized KBV20C cells to HAL (Figure 2C), but co-treatment with HAL produced no additional effect. This suggests that curcumin does not inhibit P-gp activity in highly HAL-resistant cancer cells.

Although a relatively high dose of piperine was needed to overcome HAL-resistance, considering that natural products are relatively harmless to normal tissues, it could be possible to use piperine in combination with HAL in patients.

In order to reduce toxicity, third-generation P-gp inhibitors have been developed (12, 13). Previous reports have suggested that these inhibitors can target P-gp at very low doses (12, 13). Therefore, we also tested these inhibitors (namely, mitotane, probenecid, sulindac, and tesmilifene) in highly HAL-resistant KBV20C cells. As shown in Figure 2B-D, we found that only mitotane was able to sensitize HAL-treated KBV20C cells. In previous reports, indomethacin, probenecid, and C-4 showed P-gp inhibitory activities (12, 13, 17); therefore, we tested whether they could also increase sensitivity to HAL co-treatment. However, as shown in Figure 2D-F, they did not increase sensitivity. Taken together, we showed that the natural product, piperine, and the clinical drug, mitotane, sensitize highly HAL-resistant cancer cells.
Ability of P-gp inhibition correlates with degree of sensitization HAL-resistant KBV20C cells. We compared the sensitizing effects for verapamil, mitotane, and piperine in HAL-treated KBV20C cells (Figure 3A). We found that mitotane needed a 5-fold higher dose than verapamil did to achieve a similar effect. In the case of piperine, for a similar sensitizing effect, an almost 10-fold higher dose than that of verapamil was needed (Figure 3A). Both mitotane and piperine inhibited P-gp activity (Figure 3B), but their inhibitory activity was much lower than that of verapamil or cyclosporine A. We also found that other drugs (mitotane, piperine, fumagillin, curcumin, indomethacin, probenecid, sulindac, tesarilifene, and C-4) exhibited little P-gp-inhibitory activity, suggesting that HAL-resistant KBV20C cells can be sensitized only by co-treatment with the P-gp inhibitors verapamil, mitotane, and piperine.

Low-dose elacridar has a highly selective sensitizing effect on HAL-resistant cancer cells. During our screening of potential P-gp inhibitors, we found a very specific third-generation P-gp inhibitor elacridar was able to sensitize highly HAL-resistant KBV20C cancer cells (Figure 4A). The effects of HAL co-treatment (10 μM) were sensitized with 0.01 μM elacridar, suggesting that toxicity of the drug combination could be minimized. We also found that HAL concentrations could be further reduced, down to 1 μM, when 0.05 μM elacridar was used (Figure 4B). When we compared it to cyclosporine A, more than a 50-fold lower dose of elacridar was able to sensitize KBV20C cells to HAL treatment, suggesting that toxicity could be minimized when co-treating resistant cancer cells with HAL and elacridar.

We next tested the P-gp-inhibiting ability of elacridar. We found that elacridar showed high P-gp-inhibiting ability.
However, when comparing the sensitizing effects between elacridar and cyclosporine A, we found that the sensitizing dose of elacridar led to less P-gp inhibition than the sensitizing dose of cyclosporine A did (Figure 4D). This suggests that the effect of elacridar on highly HAL-resistant cancer cells involves both P-gp-inhibition and other unknown mechanisms. Overall, we identified elacridar as being most suitable for sensitizing highly resistant cancer cells to HAL.

Discussion

HAL was recently developed and is especially promising for the treatment of patients for whom anticancer drugs had previously failed (5, 7-9). Since it is expected that patients with cancer treated with HAL will ultimately develop resistance to HAL, we investigated sensitizing mechanisms and drugs for HAL-resistant cancer. Previously, we identified that P-gp-overexpressing KBV20C cells are highly HAL-resistant (16). Therefore, KBV20C cells are very useful as models of highly HAL-resistant cancer. We assumed that our studies using KBV20C cancer cells could provide insight for the treatment of patients with HAL-resistant cancer.

Since the efflux of HAL by P-gp is the main mechanism for the resistance of KBV20C cells to HAL, we tried to identify effective P-gp inhibitors to co-treat with HAL. Since P-gp inhibitors have shown toxicity in normal cells, we tried to...
identify low-dose P-gp inhibitors for sensitizing when combined with HAL. In order to identify selective P-gp inhibitors, we chose those used in clinical trials (12, 13) and tested them in co-treatment with HAL in KBV20C cells. We assumed that drugs already in clinical trials could be easily given to patients with HAL-resistant cancer. We selected and tested the P-gp inhibitors, verapamil, cyclosporine A, fumagillin, curcumin, piperine, tesmilifene, mitotane, sulindac, and elacridar (11-15, 18). They can be categorized as first-generation, third-generation, and natural products, in order of decreasing toxicity (12, 13). In addition, we tested known P-gp inhibitors (namely indomethacin, probenecid, and C-4) from prior literature reports (11-13, 17), but we did not obtain positive results with these.

Initially, we tested the first-generation P-gp inhibitors, verapamil and cyclosporine A. Cyclosporine A required a ~10-fold lower dose than verapamil did for similar sensitization of HAL-treated KBV20C cells. Although first-generation P-gp inhibitors had previously shown high toxicity at high dose (12, 13), we assume that cyclosporine A can be applied at lower dose in HAL-resistant cancer cells.

Secondly, when natural products (namely fumagillin, curcumin, and piperine) were tested, we found that only piperine increased sensitivity of HAL-resistant cancer cells to HAL. However, a more than 10-fold higher dose of piperine was required for an effect similar to that of verapamil. Considering that natural products are relatively

Figure 4. Low-dose elacridar has a highly selective sensitization-effect on Halaven (HAL)-resistant cancer cells. A-C: KBV20C cells were grown on 6-well plates and treated with different micromolar concentrations (indicated as suffix) of elacridar (Ela), cyclosporine A (Cyc) alone and in combination with 50 ng/ml HAL (10 ng/ml, 5 ng/ml, or 1 ng/ml HAL) or with 0.1% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) (Con). After 1 day, all cells were observed using an inverted microscope at ×50 magnification. D: KBV20C cells were grown on 6-well plates and treated with 0.01 μM or 0.05 μM elacridar, 1 μM or 2 μM cyclosporine A, or 0.1% DMSO (Con). After 24 h, all cells were stained with calcein-AM, as described in the Materials and Methods section. The stained cells were subsequently examined by FACS analysis.
benign against normal tissue and minimize toxicity, piperine can be used for overcoming HAL resistance.

Lastly, we tested third-generation P-gp inhibitors (namely tesmilifene, mitotane, sulindac, elacridar). We did not find sensitization of HAL-treated KBV20C cells with tesmilifene and sulindac, whereas mitotane and elacridar showed P-gp inhibition of HAL-resistant KBV20C cells. Considering that third-generation P-gp inhibitors are designed to minimize toxicity with low dose, it was surprising that mitotane required a high dose; more than a 5-fold higher dose of mitotane was required for sensitization similar to that of verapamil. Most importantly, we identified elacridar, which needs a very low dose to inhibit P-gp in HAL-resistant KBV20C cells. A dose as low as 0.01 μM elacridar was enough to increase sensitivity of HAL-resistant KBV20C cells. When compared to that of verapamil, elacridar was needed at only a <500-fold lower dose. These results suggest that elacridar is highly specific for inhibition of P-gp in HAL-resistant cancer cells. We also found that HAL concentrations can be reduced with co-treatment of elacridar, suggesting that HAL toxicity can be minimized.

When we tested P-gp inhibition with recognized P-gp inhibitors (namely verapamil, cyclosporine A, piperine, mitotane, and elacridar) using HAL-resistance, we found that P-gp inhibition corresponded to the sensitizing concentrations of the drugs. This suggests that P-gp inhibition plays a major role in sensitizing KBV20C cells to co-treatment with HAL.

However, elacridar seems to have activity through other mechanisms beyond P-gp inhibition, since P-gp inhibition cannot fully explain the highly selective sensitizing effect of elacridar on KBV20C cells. Therefore, more mechanistic studies of elacridar are needed. In our current microscopic analysis, we focused on qualitative data to reveal effects of P-gp inhibitors. In future studies, we need to demonstrate sensitization-mechanisms by analyzing quantitative data such as from MTS, FACS, annexin V, and western-blot analysis.

Collectively, we identified five P-gp inhibitors for sensitizing highly HAL-resistant cancer cells, namely verapamil, cyclosporine A, piperine, mitotane, and elacridar. We assume that these drugs might be used to overcome cancer cell resistance to HAL. Most importantly, we found that elacridar has high selectivity for sensitizing HAL-resistant cancer cells. Since these drugs are already used in clinical settings, the urgent need for pharmacological treatments of HAL-resistant cancer can be efficiently addressed, and these drugs may be used to treat patients with HAL-resistant tumors at a faster pace.
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