Abstract. Background/Aim: Amrubicin (AMR) has shown promising activity for lung cancer. However, little is known about the mechanism underlying resistance to this agent. The aim of this study was to elucidate the mechanism underlying resistance to AMR. Materials and Methods: We first developed amrubicinol (AMR-OH)-resistant cell lines (H520/R and DMS53/R) by exposing lung cancer cell lines (H520 and DMS53) to increasing concentrations of AMR-OH and performed functional analysis by using these cell lines. Results: Transcriptome analyses showed that amphiregulin (AREG) was the most highly up-regulated gene in both AMR-OH-resistant cell lines compared to parent cells. Conditioned medium from DMS53/R cells reduced the sensitivity to AMR-OH in DMS53 cells. In contrast, DMS53/R cells transfected with siRNA directed against AREG recovered their sensitivity to AMR-OH. An additional administration of cetuximab with amrubicinol also restored the sensitivity to AMR-OH. Conclusion: Amphiregulin plays an important role in resistance to AMR-OH.

Lung cancer is a major cancer and the leading cause of death worldwide and small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) accounts for almost 13% of all new cases (1). More than half of these patients are diagnosed with extensive-disease (ED) SCLC. SCLC refers to a rapidly proliferating tumor that is highly sensitive to chemotherapy. However, the rapid emergence of clinical drug resistance has resulted in a poor prognosis with almost all such patients dead within two years of the initial diagnosis (2).

Some multidrug resistance (MDR) mechanisms of SCLC are known. For example, overexpression of outer-membrane proteins, such as P-glycoprotein (P-gp) as a member of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) family, is related to SCLC resistance (3). Down-regulation of topoisomerase expression is another major reason for SCLC resistance (4). Overexpression of anti-apoptotic genes, like BCL-2, is also related to resistance (5).

Amrubicin (AMR) is a synthetic anthracycline with a structure similar to doxorubicin approved by the Japanese government in 2002. Previous studies have suggested that AMR may be a good choice for treating lung cancer, especially relapsed SCLC (6). A phase III study of AMR as a second-line treatment for SCLC showed overall response rates of approximately 30%. AMR is also effective for non-SCLC (NSCLC). A phase II study of AMR showed overall response rates of approximately 20% in previously untreated NSCLC patients (7). AMR treatment is an active and well-tolerated regimen in patients with previously treated NSCLC. However, despite these good results, there are few durable responses to AMR and tumors develop resistance to AMR, usually within 4 to 12 months (8).

It was reported that lung cancer cell lines resistant to amrubicinol (AMR-OH), an active metabolite of AMR, expressed the MDRI gene encoding P-gp, whose function is the energy-dependent export of substances out of cells (3). Cytotoxicity of AMR-OH in AMR-OH-resistant cells transfected with siRNA against MDRI was restored compared to the negative control siRNA (3). However, a large study, including 130 patients, reported no association between the MDR1 protein expression and the chemotherapy response rates, progression-free survival (PFS) or overall survival (OS) (9).
Therefore, there is still a critical need for the clarification of the resistance mechanisms and the development of new treatment strategies for patients after treatment with AMR.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and reagents. The lung cancer cell lines DMS53 (small-cell carcinoma) and H520 (squamous cell carcinoma) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). They were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Biowest, Nuaille, France) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin-amphotericin B solution (Wako, Osaka, Japan) in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37°C. AMR and AMR-OH were obtained from Dainippon Sumitomo Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). Cetuximab (CET) was purchased from Merck Serono (Tokyo, Japan). Recombinant amphiregulin (AREG) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, CA, USA) was used.

Establishment of AMR-OH-resistant cell lines. AMR-OH-resistant cell lines (DMS53/R and H520/R) were established using a step-wise method described elsewhere (10). Lung cancer cells were cultured in the presence of AMR-OH, starting at a concentration of one-tenth of the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50), as determined by an MTT assay, and then increased incrementally to 50-fold the IC50 over 6 months.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for AREG. After 72 h of incubation, the AREG concentrations in the sensitive cells (DMS53/S) or DMS53/R culture supernatant were determined using a Human AREG Quantikine ELISA Kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Conditioned media. The conditioned media were obtained from DMS53/S or DMS53/R cell supernatants after a 72-h incubation. To determine the growth inhibitory effects of conditioned medium on cell growth, we used an MTT assay as described (11). Briefly, cells were incubated with fresh medium or conditioned medium containing various concentrations of AMR-OH for 72 h.

RNA isolation and microarray. Total cellular RNA preparation from DMS53/S or DMS53/R was performed as described (12). Total RNA labeled with Cy3 or Cy5 was hybridized to a 3D-Gene Human Oligo chip 25 k (25,370 distinct genes; Toray Industries Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Genes with Cy3/Cy5 normalized ratios greater than 2.0 were identified.

Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). qRT-PCR was performed using a Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System II (Takara Bio, Kyoto, Japan). Amplifications were performed in duplicate with SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Relative mRNA levels were calculated with the ΔΔCt method using glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) mRNA as an internal control. The primers used in this study are as follows: 5’-GGGTGTTCGTCGTATCTCTTGATCTC-3’ and 5’-AAAATCTCCAGCAGACTGTGGTC-3’ for AREG (13), and 5’-GGACCCGTCAAGGCCCTAGAAC-3’ and 5’-ATGGTGTTGGAAGCAGCCAGT-3’ for GAPDH (11). The experiments were performed in triplicate.

Western blot analysis. The preparation of cell lysates, sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and immunoblotting were performed as described previously (11). The primary antibodies used were β-actin (#4967; Cell Signaling Technology Inc., Danvers, MA, USA), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) (#4267; Cell Signaling Technology), phospho-EGFR (pEGFR) (#2234; Cell Signaling Technology), total protein kinase B (AKT) (#4685; Cell Signaling Technology), pAKT (#4060; Cell Signaling Technology), total extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) (#4695; Cell Signaling Technology) and pERK (#4370; Cell Signaling Technology).

SiRNA and transfection. AREG siRNA (sc-39412) and negative control siRNA (sc-37007) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. A total of 2×10^5 cells were transfected with siRNA or control siRNA using the transfection reagent sc36868 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) in serum-free RPMI-1640 medium, as described previously (11). The cells were then re-suspended in complete RPMI-1640 medium. After 48 h of incubation, cells were used for further experiments.

Experimental mouse models. Female BALB/c nude mice (6-week-old) were purchased from SLC Japan (Shizuoka, Japan). Mice were
inoculated subcutaneously in the flank with $2 \times 10^6$ cells/50 μl single cell suspension of DMS53/R.

This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Committee of Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine (Permit number: P15070).

**In vivo growth inhibition assay.** Tumor volume (TV) was measured twice a week using calipers to determine the longest (a) and shortest (b) diameters and calculated using the following equation:

$$TV = \frac{a \times b^2}{2}.$$  

When the TV reached 100 mm$^3$, mice were divided into test groups consisting of 3 mice per group (day 0). The first group was injected intraperitoneally with CET at 100 μl/animal twice a week. The second group was injected with AMR at 25 mg/kg via the tail vein on day 1.

The third group of mice was treated with a combination of CET and AMR at the same dose. A control group of mice (fourth) was injected intraperitoneally with sterile PBS under similar conditions.

**Statistical analyses.** Data were analyzed with Student’s t-test. If the p-value was smaller than 0.05, the difference was considered to be statistically significant. All statistical tests were two-sided and data were expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD).

---

**Results**

The two AMR-OH-resistant lung cancer cell lines (DMS53/R and H520/R) were established by continuously exposing each cell to AMR-OH. The IC$_{50}$ values of AMR-OH for DMS53/S cells and DMS53/R cells were 0.061 and 0.322 μmol/l, respectively (Figure 1A). DMS53/R cells were 5.3-fold more resistant to AMR-OH than DMS53/S cells. The IC$_{50}$ values of AMR-OH for H520/S and H520/R cells were 0.052 and 1.34 μmol/l, respectively (Figure 1B). H520/R cells were 2.8-fold more resistant to AMR-OH than H520/S.

Microarray analyses were carried out in two pairs of lung cancer cells (DMS53/S and DMR53/R or H520/S and H520/R). A hierarchical clustering analysis was performed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient based on the log2 ratio data (Figure 2A). A total of 2,442 genes that were up-regulated to more than 2-fold or down-regulated to less than 2-fold in at least 1 pair were analyzed. (B) Only 4 genes were up-regulated 4-fold in both pairs. AREG was the gene most up-regulated in DMS53 cells. (C) No typical drug resistance genes showed more than two-fold up-regulation or down-regulation in either cell line. (D) AREG was the only ligand of EGFR that showed more than two-fold up-regulation in both cell lines.
cells compared to DMS53/S cells. No previously reported drug resistance genes showed more than two-fold up-regulation or down-regulation in both cell lines (Figure 2C). AREG was the only ligand of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) that showed more than two-fold up-regulation in either cell line (Figure 2D).

We next analyzed the role of AREG, which was released into the DMS53/R cell culture medium. At 72 h after incubation of DMS53/R cells, the AREG concentration of culture medium was measured by ELISA. The AREG concentration in the DMS53/R cell culture medium was 3.8-fold higher than that in the DMS53/S cell culture medium (Figure 3A). The IC$_{50}$ values of AMR-OH for DMS53/S cells treated with conditioned medium from DMS53/S and DMS53/R were 0.06 and 0.20 μmol/l, respectively (Figure 3B). The AREG secreted by DMS53/R cells made the DMS53/S cells 3.3-fold more resistant to AMR-OH than that secreted by DMS53/S cells.

To determine the effect of AREG knockdown on the sensitivity to AMR-OH, the gene expression of AREG was knocked-down by siRNA against AREG. The efficiency of AREG suppression caused by treatment with siRNA of AREG is shown in Figure 3C. The IC$_{50}$ values of AMR-OH in AREG knockdown cells and control cells were 0.320 and 0.130 μmol/l, respectively (Figure 3D). AREG knockdown restored the sensitivity to AMR-OH.
EGFR monoclonal antibody (CET) might be useful for blocking the downstream signaling of AREG. The sensitivity of DMS53/R cells with CET (1 μM) was compared to that of DMS53/R cells without CET by an MTT assay. The IC₅₀ values of AMR-OH for DMS53/R cells with and without CET were 0.32 and 0.13 μmol/l, respectively (Figure 4A). The addition of CET to AMR-OH restored the sensitivity of DMS53/R cells to AMR-OH.

DMS53/S cells were cultured with or without recombinant AREG and then treated with or without CET. Cell lysates were subjected to immunoblot analysis with the indicated antibodies. The baseline expression of pEGFR, p-AKT and p-ERK1/2 in DMS53/R cells was higher than that in DMS53/S cells (Figure 4B). CET decreased the expression of pEGFR, p-AKT and p-ERK1/2 in DMS53/R cells.

We also evaluated the efficacy and adverse effects of CET and AMR by relative tumor volume (RTV) and relative body weight (RBW), respectively. The RTV of a group treated with a combination of CET and AMR was lower than that of a group treated with AMR without any significant change in the RBW among the four groups (Figure 4C and D).

Discussion

The current study showed that AREG is induced by exposure of AMR-OH. Conditioned media from AMR-OH-resistant
lung cancer cells induced AMR-OH-sensitive cells to acquire resistance to AMR-OH. However, AMR-OH-resistant cells transfected with siRNA directed against AREG recovered their sensitivity to AMR-OH. These results suggest that AREG plays a pivotal role in AMR-OH resistance in lung cancer cell lines.

AREG is a ligand of EGFR, a widely expressed transmembrane tyrosine kinase. AREG is expressed in various tissues, including the reproductive and urinary systems, circulatory system and respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts (14). AREG overexpression provides self-sufficient growth and survival signals in lung carcinoma cells (15). AREG up-regulation has been associated with resistance to chemotherapy, such as doxorubicin and cisplatin in liver cancer cells (16, 17) and cisplatin in breast cancer cells (18). AREG inhibits EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI)-induced apoptosis in NSCLC cells (15).

The tumor suppressor p53 trans-activates numerous target genes in response to DNA damage (19). Comprehensive expression analyses showed that AREG was a direct target of Ser46 phosphorylated p53 (19). In contrast, AMR is a synthetic anthracycline derivative anticancer agent that inhibits DNA topoisomerase II (6). Therefore, we inferred that AMR causes DNA damage and consequently up-regulates the expression of AREG via Ser46-phosphorylated p53.

The EGFR pathway has not been studied in detail in SCLC and there are little data available regarding the presence of EGFR in SCLC tissue (20). Previous studies have shown that EGFR, p-AKT and p-ERK expressions were detected in 37%, 24% and 13% of tumor specimens, respectively, in 107 patients who underwent surgery for SCLC (21). Furthermore, it was reported that an EGFR TKI, as well as a monoclonal EGFR antibody, showed anticancer activity against SCLC cell lines (20, 22). However, EGFR TKIs showed no significant clinical benefits in a phase II clinical trial in patients with SCLC (23). Indeed, the response to monoclonal EGFR antibodies can be predicted by the AREG secretion in gastric cancer cell lines (24).

In conclusion, up-regulation of AREG is induced by exposure to AMR-OH and confers resistance to the agent in AMR-OH-resistant cells. The present results support the clinical evaluation of CET/AMR in AMR-resistant lung cancer patients.
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