Moesin Expression Is Associated with Glioblastoma Cell Proliferation and Invasion
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Abstract. Aim: To investigate the effect of moesin expression on cell proliferation and invasion of human glioblastoma cell lines in vitro. Materials and Methods: Glioblastoma LN229 and U87 cells were transfected with the H4645-plenti-EGFP-moesin expression vector for moesin up-regulation. Moesin and β-catenin expression levels in the transfected cells were analyzed by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Western blotting. Cell proliferation was measured using the CCK8 assay. Cell invasion and migration ability were assessed using a transwell cell invasion and wound-healing assay. Results: Moesin mRNA and protein expression were significantly increased in the two transfected LN229-H4645 and U87-H4645 cell lines. β-catenin expression was increased by moesin up-regulation in the transfected LN229-H4645 and U87-H4645 cell lines. The CCK-8 assay revealed that up-regulating moesin results in a significant increase in glioblastoma cell proliferation. Glioblastoma cell invasion and migration were increased by moesin up-regulation. Conclusion: Up-regulation of moesin expression in glioblastoma cells correlated with increases in cell proliferation, invasion and migration, suggesting moesin’s role in glioblastoma progression.

Glioblastoma is a highly invasive recalcitrant cancer that is poorly understood (1-9). Moesin is a member of the ezrin-radixin-moesin (ERM) protein family and is a link between the actin cytoskeleton and the plasma membrane. Moesin is involved in cell morphology, motility and adhesion, as well as other processes of tumorigenesis (10-12). Moesin has been studied in estrogen receptor (ER)-negative breast cancers (13), basal breast carcinomas (14), pancreatic cancer (15), melanoma (16), and gastric carcinoma (17). Moesin expression correlated with pathologic grade and poor clinical outcome, including survival in astrocytoma (18, 19). However, the role of moesin in human glioblastoma progression is incompletely understood and, thus, the topic of the present report.

In this study, we investigated moesin’s effect on the growth and invasion ability of the glioblastoma cells by up-regulation of the gene (MSN).

Materials and Methods

Cell culture. The glioblastoma cell line LN229 and U87 were purchased from the Shanghai cell bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (GIBCO Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37˚C with 5% CO2.

Moesin-expression vector transfection. The H4645-plenti-enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-moesin expression vector was used for up-regulation of the moesin gene (MSN) in the LN229 and U87 glioblastoma cells (LN229-H4645 and U87-H4645, respectively). The H149 plenti-EGFP empty vector was used as negative control (LN229-H149 and U87-H149, respectively). The moesin expression vector was constructed by Ohio Technology Corp., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Cultured glioblastoma LN229 and U87 cells were transfected with the moesin expression vector according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 24h transfection, the medium was changed. Cells were then observed under fluorescence microscopy at 48 hours after transfection. Cells were selected and treated with 500-800 μg/ml G148 (Micropoly Biotech Co., Ltd, Nanjing, China) for 1 week. Images were obtained from fluorescence microscopy. Transfection efficiency of the expression vector in the two cell lines was 2211.
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confirmed based on up-regulation of moesin expression by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Western blotting analysis.

*Isolation of RNA and reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).* Total RNA was isolated from cell lines with the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and reversely transcribed using a PrimeScript RT-PCR kit (Takara, Shimogyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, followed by PCR amplification with specific primers. The following primers were used to amplify most of the coding region of moesin (MSN) (sense, 5’ CAGCATCAAGAAACAAAGGC 3’; and antisense, 5’GGGGAGCATAGAAGACGAAG-3’), β-catenin (CTNNB1) (sense, 5’ GCCACCAAGATTACAAGAAACGG-3’; and antisense, 5’ATCCACCAAGTGAAAGAAGC-3’), and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (sense, 5’-GCACCGTGCAA GGCTGA GAAC-3’; and antisense, 5’-TGGTGAAAGACGGCA GTGGA-3’).

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using a 7300 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA). PCR was carried out in a volume of 20 μl, containing 10 μl 2 × qPCR Master Mix, 2 μl cDNA, 0.2 μl of each primer and 0.4 μl ROX reference dye 1. Reaction conditions for amplification of target genes were one cycle of denaturation at 95˚C for 5 minutes; followed by 40 cycles of 5 seconds degeneration at 95˚C; 30 seconds annealing at 60˚C; and 40 seconds prolongation at 72˚C. Data were analyzed by the relative standard curve method and normalized for GAPDH expression. Relative RNA expression was calculated using the 2−ΔΔ Ct method. All samples were performed in triplicate.

*Western blotting.* Moesin and β-catenin protein expression in transfected cells was analyzed by Western blotting. Cells were lysed in 100 μl radio-immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer (50 mmol/l Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1% NP-40, 150 mmol/l NaCl, 1 mg/ml aprotinin, 1 mg/ml leupeptin, 1 mmol/l Na3VO4, 1 mmol/l NaF) at 4˚C for 30 min. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 20 min at 4˚C. Protein concentration was determined with the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). An equal amount of lysate (40 μg) was resolved with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk at room temperature for 1 h and then incubated for 2 h with primary antibodies to moesin, β-catenin or β-actin (Cell Signaling Technology, Boston, MA, USA). The membranes were then incubated for 1 h with an appropriate horseradish peroxidase-linked secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA). Electrochemiluminescence was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions using a
ChemiDoc™ Touch imaging system (Bio-Rad). Quantity-One software (Bio-Rad) was used to quantify the density of bands.

Cell proliferation assay. Cell proliferation was measured using the CCK8 assay. Untransfected and transfected cells (3 x 10^3) were transferred to each well of a 96-well plate in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS. Five duplicate wells were set up for each cell group and the test was repeated 3 times. After 24, 48 and 72 hours of incubation, each well was supplemented with 10 μl CCK8 reagent for 4 h. The optical density (OD) at a wavelength of 450 nm was determined with a microplate reader (Bio-Rad). Proliferation curves were plotted for each of the cell groups.

Transwell cell invasion assays. For the invasion assays, the upper transwell chamber of an insert was coated with 20 μl 20% Matrigel (Becton Dickinson (BD), Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) in RPMI1640 medium. After drying for 2h, untransfected and transfected cells (1 x 10^5 cells/well) in 200 μl serum-free media were seeded onto the
upper chamber coated by Matrigel. Medium (600 μl) containing 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber. After 48 h incubation, cells were washed with PBS 2 times and fixed with ethanol for 20 min. The cells remaining on the upper membrane were removed with cotton wool, whereas the cells that had invaded through the membrane were stained with crystal violet. After staining, cells on the back of the transwell membrane were imaged and counted using an inverted microscope (Olympus BX53; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Wound healing assay. For wound-healing assays, 1.5×10^5 untransfected or transfected cells were plated in 12-well plates for 24 h. Similar-sized wounds were created in monolayers of cells by scraping a gap using a micropipette tip. After removing cell debris by rinsing with phosphate-buffered saline, fresh medium was added. Reference points were marked using a scalpel on the outer surface of the dish. The cells started migrating from the edge of the wound and repopulated the gap area. Cells were then observed for ‘wound closure’ at 24 h and 48 h under a light microscope. Images at each time point were obtained (magnification, ×40). Image J Software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA) was used for analysis and quantification of the healing rate. The wound area closure was measured at three independent wound sites per group. For comparison of each cell group, analysis at 24 h was selected because maximal differences

Figure 3. Effect of moesin up-regulation on glioblastoma β-catenin expression. (A) β-catenin mRNA expression in LN229, LN229-H149 and LN229-H4645 cells (left graph); and U87, U87-H149 and U87-H4645 cells (right graph). (B) β-catenin protein expression in LN229, LN229-H149 and LN229-H4645 cells (left panel); and U87, U87-149 and U87-H4645 cells (right panel). *p<0.01, when compared with untransfected and vector-control cell group.
between groups were observed at this time. The wound-healing rate was calculated as follows: (0-hour wound area - 24-hour wound area)/0 hour wound area x 100%.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS16.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All results are expressed as mean±SD. Comparisons between two groups were made with the Student’s t-test. P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results and Discussion

Moesin up-regulation in glioblastoma cells. Moesin up-regulation was achieved by transfecting glioblastoma cell lines U87 and LN229 with the H4645-plenti-EGFP-moesin expression vector (Figure 1B and E). The expression level of moesin mRNA (MSN mRNA) was significantly increased in the transfected LN229-H4645 and U87-H4645 cells as compared to untransfected cells and vector control (LN229-H149 and U87-H149) (p<0.01) (Figure 2A). The protein expression level of moesin was also significantly increased in transfected LN229-H4645 and U87-H4645 cells as compared to untransfected and vector control cells (p<0.01) (Figure 2B).

Effect of moesin up-regulation on β-catenin expression in glioblastoma cells. β-catenin expression was analyzed to investigate the potential mechanisms underlying the biological effect of moesin up-regulation in glioblastoma cells. The data showed that β-catenin mRNA (CTNNB1 mRNA) expression was increased by moesin up-regulation in transfected LN229-H4645 and U87-H4645 cells compared with the untransfected and vector controls (p<0.01) (Figure 3A).

The up-regulation of moesin expression also resulted in increased protein expression of β-catenin in the LN229-H4645 and U87-H4645 cells as compared with the untransfected and vector controls (p<0.01) (Figure 3B).

Effect of moesin up-regulation on glioblastoma cell proliferation. The CCK-8 assay was used to assess the potential effects of moesin up-regulation and silencing on cell proliferation. Cell proliferation was monitored up to 72 hours. Moesin up-regulation in the transfected LN229-H4645 and U87-H4645 cells significantly increased cell proliferation from 48h as compared with the untransfected and vector controls (LN229-H149 and U87-H149) (p<0.01) (Figure 4).

Effect of moesin up-regulation in cell glioblastoma invasion and migration. Cell invasion was examined with the transwell assay. The number of cells migrating to the lower chamber was significantly increased by moesin up-regulation in the transfected LN229-H4645 and U87-H4645 cells as compared with the untransfected and vector controls (LN229-H149 and U87-H149, respectively) (p<0.01) (Figure 5).

These results were further verified using the wound-healing assay. Both moesin-up-regulated LN229-H4645 and U87-H4645 cells demonstrated significantly higher cell migration compared to the untransfected and vector controls (LN229-H149 and U87-H149, respectively) (p<0.01) (Figure 6).

Moesin is a cytoskeletal protein that appears to affect cytoskeletal assembly between the cytoplasmic side of the cell membrane and the nuclear skeleton, which would facilitate cell invasion (17, 22). In the present study, we found that β-catenin expression was affected by moesin expression in glioblastoma cells, suggesting involvement of β-catenin in moesin’s effects on glioblastoma-cell proliferation and invasion. The results of the present report indicate that
Figure 5. Effect of moesin up-regulation on glioblastoma cell invasion and migration in the transwell assay. Effect of moesin up-regulation on cell invasion and migration of glioblastoma cells, (A), (B) and (C). Representative images of migrating LN229, LN229-H149 and LN229-H4645 cells, respectively. (D) Quantification of the migrating cells in each LN229 group; (E), (F) and (G). Representative images of migrating U87, U87-H149 and U87-H4645 cells, respectively; (H). Quantification of the migrating cells in each U87 group. All images were observed at ×400 magnification. *p<0.01, when compared with untransfected and vector controls.
targeting moesin may represent a novel and effective strategy for preventing glioblastoma progression.
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