Liver Resections for Colorectal Metastases in Patients Aged Over 75 Years
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Abstract. Background: Concerns regarding postoperative complications following liver resection for colorectal liver metastases (CLMs) in elderly patients may lead to preference for conservative therapy. The aim of this study was to evaluate the role of patient age in the development of postoperative complications. Patients and Methods: Surgical complications were evaluated in 712 patients who underwent surgery for CLMs over the past 13 years. Seventy-two patients (10.1%) were aged ≥75 years and 640 (89.9%) <75 years. The significance of the type of liver resection, preoperative American Society of Anesthesiologists classification (ASA), Child-Pugh classification, body mass index, quality of liver tissue and preoperative oncological treatment for the development of postoperative complications were evaluated. Results: We did not find any difference in the incidence of early postoperative complications between the two groups of patients. A preoperative ASA score of 3.4 (p<0.001) was the principal factor for developing postoperative complications in patients aged ≥75 years. Postoperative complications in patients with an ASA score of 3.4 were more frequent when the body mass index was >26 kg/m² (p<0.02). Conclusion: Patient age does not represent a contraindication to liver resection for CLMs. An ASA score of 3 or 4 and a body mass index >26 kg/m² are risk factors for development of early postoperative complications.

The elderly population has been expanding quite remarkably in recent years. The current life expectancy is 75-77 years for men and 80-81 years for women in industrialized countries. The average expected survival for a 60-year-old is 24 years and that for an 80-year-old is 6 years. The population is aging worldwide and in developed countries the number of individuals older than 65 years is expected to reach almost 50% of the population in 2020 (1, 2).

It is very difficult to define old age and each person must be assessed strictly individually according to their age, physical and mental state, self-sufficiency etc. The World Health Organization defines several categories of old age according to the chronological age of the given individual, namely young old (60-74 years), old (75-89 years) and the period of longevity or oldest-old (over 90 years) (3).

Malignancies are in general diseases of the elderly due to the combination of an accumulation of risk factors and long-term exposure to carcinogens. It is estimated that approximately 58% of all cancers and 69% of cancer deaths in the European population occur in people aged over 75 years. The incidence of cancer is more than 11-times higher in people older than 75 years. The most commonly diagnosed cancers worldwide are lung (1.4 million), breast (1.2 million) and colorectal cancer (1 million) (4).

Colorectal liver metastases (CLMs) are a leading cause of cancer-related morbidity and mortality in the most developed countries and their incidence increases with patient age. In many studies dealing with the epidemiology of aging and CLM development, almost half of all patients with CLMs were older than 75 years (5).

Liver surgery is the only radical treatment of liver tumors that provides patients with a chance for long-term survival. However, some studies cite a high morbidity and more than 5% mortality rate for liver surgery in elderly patients (6, 7). Consequently, some elderly patients may be referred for less invasive or conservative oncological treatments with the expectation of better treatment results (8). The main reason for such an approach is the fear of cardiovascular, respiratory, renal, or metabolic comorbidities in elderly patients and the fact that advancing age carries with it a greater likelihood of decompensation during general anesthesia and liver surgery.
The aim of this study was to evaluate the short-term results of liver surgery (up to the 30th postoperative day) in patients ≥75 years old compared to the results in younger patients.

Patients and Methods

From January 1, 2004 until November 30, 2016, we performed liver resection for CLMs in a total of 771 patients. We were able to obtain complete data for our analysis from the charts of 712 patients, of which 72 were aged ≥75 years (10.1%) and 640 (89.9%) were aged <75 years. The indication for liver resection was always made by a multi-disciplinary team. This involved primary liver resection in all patients. Patients with repeated resections, combined (resection with thermoablation) or staged surgical procedures were not included in our study sample. Patients who underwent more than six cycles of oncological treatment before surgery were also excluded. We gave preference to parenchymal-sparing procedures that were performed with the aid of an ultrasonic dissector (Cavitron Ultrasonic Surgical Aspirator), harmonic scalpel and bipolar coagulation. Blood transfusions were not necessary during surgery in over 94% of patients.

We studied the following variables in both groups of patients: type of liver resection (major i.e. ≥3 segments versus minor i.e. ≤2 segments) according to the Couinaud classification; American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) preoperative classification; Child-Pugh classification (9); body mass index (BMI); quality of liver tissue according to macroscopic perioperative and postoperative sample evaluation (normal tissue versus cirrhosis, steatohepatitis, steatosis) and influence of preoperative oncological treatment. We evaluated postoperative complications according to the Clavien-Dindo classification (10).

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS 9.3 software (Cary, NC, USA). The sample of patients was processed using multivariate logistic regression. Different cut-off values were set for the individual continuous factors and these were subsequently tested in individual multivariate models. For categorical variables, we tested all the admissible combinations of these factors. Due to the testing of the influence of age on the presence of postoperative complications, we subsequently calculated the classification and regression trees so that the first node was firmly defined as the difference between the groups below/above 75 years, regardless of the statistical significance of the given node. The differences in selected parameters between the age groups were tested using the Chi-Square test. Statistical significance was set at the level of alpha=5%.

The study was approved by Ethics Committee of University Hospital in Pilsen, Czech Republic.

Results

In patients ≥75 (N=72) and <75 (N=640) years of age, the values of the studied parameters are given in Table I. Minor liver resection was performed in the majority of patients (77.5% overall); there was no significant difference in the extent of resection between the two groups. Nor did groups differ with respect to Child-Pugh classification, BMI (using <26 kg/m² as cut-off), state of liver health, nor in receipt or not of neoadjuvant chemotherapy. However, ASA classification differed highly significantly, with the older group more frequently being classified as ASA 3 or 4 (p<0.0001).

In patients ≥75 years, serious complications (Clavien-Dindo 3-5) occurred in 8.3% and in patients <75 years they occurred in 13.5% (p<0.12). Mortality within the first 30 postoperative days was 2.8% in patients ≥75 years and 0.3% in patients <75 years (p<0.21).

Patient age was not a factor that significantly affected the results of liver resection. If a complication occurred in patients ≥75 years, then it was associated in the second node of the classification and regression tree with a preoperative...
ASA score of 3 or 4 (p<0.01) and in the third node with a BMI of 26 kg/m² or more (p<0.02). Thus, patients aged 75 years or more with ASA 3 or 4 and a BMI of 26 kg/m² or more represented a group at risk of complications. The other variables studied with regards to the incidence of postoperative complications were not significant in this group of patients (Figure 1).

**Discussion**

Recent data clearly indicate that the number of people over the age of 75 years is increasing, accounting for approximately 15% of the total population. We can expect the number of elderly patients to further increase in the near future. The problem of patient aging not only lies in the increasing incidence of malignancies, including liver tumors, but also in the significantly increasing incidence of comorbidities.

Radical liver resection is the gold standard for the treatment of CLMs, providing the best long-term results. The 5-year overall survival rate after radical liver resection is between 35 and 60% according to our personal experience and literature data (11, 12). Untreated patients with CLMs rarely survive longer than 1 year. Patients treated using solely modern oncological therapy without liver surgery have an expected maimed survival of 22-24 months. The 30-day mortality rate for patients undergoing liver resection at specialized hepatobiliary centers is below 3% due to the use of advanced resection techniques and to modern trends in anesthesiology and intensive care (13, 14).

Accurate preoperative diagnosis is extremely important in elderly patients, who often suffer from various concomitant diseases. In our group of patients aged 75 years or more, there was a significant proportion of high-risk patients (ASA 3,4), which in turn had a prognostic effect on the development of serious (Clavien–Dindo 3-5) postoperative complications. The other risk factor for developing immediate postoperative complications in patients ≥75 years included having a high BMI (p<0.02), which is understandable related to the generally rising incidence of excess weight in the population and the associated higher incidence of wound infection and cardiopulmonary complications.

Careful preoperative evaluation of the overall patient health status is very important before major liver surgery, especially in elderly patients. Evaluation of cardiopulmonary status (standard chest X-ray, electrocardiogram, echocardiography with estimation of ventricular kinetics and ejection fraction), renal function and complete blood chemistry tests should be performed during the basic examination of patients ≥75 years old. In the case of a positive medical history of coronary artery disease, additional cardiovascular examination is indicated. Preoperative assessment of the functional, cognitive and emotional status of elderly patients is also very important (15, 16).
Special pathophysiological considerations must also be taken into consideration when treating elderly patients. During aging, the liver undergoes physiological changes, resulting in decreased size and reduced blood flow (by as much as 45% in octogenarians). The number of hepatocytes is also reduced and hepatocyte morphology and function deteriorate. The functional reserve of the liver and its regenerative capacity are diminished. The synthesis of proteins and clotting factors may be impaired. The acute-phase protein response is also inhibited. The inhibition of this response can be the cause of a greater incidence of postoperative infection in elderly patients (17, 18). Postoperative hepatic failure following liver resection also occurs more frequently in elderly patients (19, 20). Therefore, liver cirrhosis (Child-Pugh stages B and C) and other conditions associated with seriously deteriorated liver function are contraindications for major hepatic resection. We recommend assessing liver function [e.g. indocyanine green (ICG) retention test] in all patients over 70 years of age before performing major liver surgery. If the retention rate after 15 minutes of intravenous ICG application is less than 14%, the tolerance of major hepatectomy is good. If the retention rate is greater than 20%, the patient should not undergo major liver surgery. If the retention rate is between 14-20%, the patient can undergo liver surgery if the future remnant liver volume (FRLV) is more than 50%. The FRLV is another very important factor for safe surgery in elderly patients. If the FRLV is lower than 20% for healthy liver tissue, lower than 30% after extensive chemotherapy (more than six cycles), or lower than 40% in patients with cirrhosis, then methods that increase the FRLV are indicated. In the elderly population, these most often involve portal vein embolization (21, 22). Because of our adhering to this policy, we have experienced zero liver insufficiency in our group of elderly patients and a rate of only 0.4% in our group of younger patients. Resectable extrahepatic metastases (e.g. lung, nodular metastases, peritoneal implants) are not contraindications for liver surgery in elderly patients, if they can be radically removed (25). Their surgical removal does not increase morbidity nor mortality in older patients (26). Moreover, repeated liver resections are not contraindicated in patients ≥75 years old who are in good condition, and can be performed with acceptable morbidity and mortality rates that are very similar to those for primary liver surgery (27, 28).

A drawback of this study is its retrospective design and the fact that we evaluated the significance of major resection procedures in relation to immediate postoperative complications in patients older than 75 years. In this group of patients, there were only 18 cases of major liver resection. This is not comparable to the number of major resections in patients younger than 75 years. Undoubtedly, a larger sample of operated older patients will be needed in order to accurately assess the influence of major liver resection on the immediate postoperative complications. Nonetheless, we may state that liver resection of CLMs in patients over the age of 75 years represents a safe method from which patients may profit therapeutically. The precondition for an uncomplicated postoperative course in such patients is the correct indication for surgery within a multidisciplinary team, taking into consideration not only the resectability of the CLMs but also mainly the patient’s health status based on an assessment of comorbidities and BMI in relation to their quality of life after liver resection.
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