Selumetinib Inhibits Melanoma Metastasis to Mouse Liver via Suppression of EMT-targeted Genes
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Abstract. Aim: We investigated the therapeutic effects of a mitogen-activated protein (MEK) inhibitor, selumetinib, in a hepatic melanoma metastasis model and studied its possible mechanism of action. Materials and Methods: Melanoma cell lines were exposed to selumetinib under different experimental conditions. We established a mouse model of liver metastasis and treated mice orally with vehicle or selumetinib and then evaluated metastasis progress. Results: Growth inhibition was observed in melanoma cells as a consequence of G₁-phase cell-cycle arrest and the subsequent induction of apoptosis in a dose- and time-dependent manner. Mice with established liver metastases that were treated with selumetinib exhibited significantly less tumor progression than vehicle-treated mice. c-Myc expression in metastasized liver tissues were suppressed by selumetinib. Moreover, oral treatment with selumetinib modulated expression of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition- and metastasis-related genes, including integrin alpha-5 (ITGA5), jagged 1 (JAG1), zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1 (ZEB1), NOTCH, and serpin peptidase inhibitor clade E (SERPINE1). Conclusion: We established a mouse model of hepatic metastasis using a human melanoma cell line, such models are essential in elucidating the therapeutic effects of anti-metastatic drugs. Our data suggest the possibility that selumetinib presents a new strategy to treat liver metastasis in patients with melanoma by suppressing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition-related genes.
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insufficient. Therefore, alternative aspects of BRAF signaling, such as MEK, had to be considered. Many MEK inhibitors are currently undergoing preclinical and early clinical evaluation for various cancer types, including melanoma (11–14). Several preclinical and clinical studies have indicated that the MEK inhibitor, selumetinib (AZD6244), which is a potent and selective inhibitor of MEK1 and MEK2, exhibits promising antitumor activity (7, 13). However, a phase II study reported disappointing results because of either suboptimal bioavailability or insufficient inhibition of the targeted signaling pathway (15). Moreover, it is unknown whether a MEK inhibitor can be effective against melanoma metastasis, especially in the liver. In this study, we investigated the effect of selumetinib on hepatic metastasis and elucidated the related mechanisms.

Materials and Methods

**Cell culture and reagents.** Melanoma cell lines M14 and UACC62 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 50 μg/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin in a humidified 37°C incubator with 5% CO₂. The MEK inhibitor, selumetinib (AZD6244, ARRY-142886) was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA). AZD6244 was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide and then diluted in fresh medium to adequate concentrations for in vitro experiments.

**Western blot analysis.** Melanoma cells were cultured in complete medium to ~70% confluence and then different concentrations (10⁻³-10 μM) of selumetinib were added for 24 or 48 h as appropriate. Cell lysates were prepared in cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails. Proteins were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate -polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Freiburg, Germany). Blots were probed with primary antibodies that recognized the following antigens: phospho-ERK (Cell Signaling), ERK (Cell Signaling), β-actin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), c-MYC (Cell Signaling), cyclin D1 (Cell Signaling), poly ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) (Cell Signaling), p27 (Cell Signaling), and survivin (Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Proteins were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence system (Amersham Biosciences, Freiburg, Germany). Protein expression levels in liver tissues were also assessed by western blot analysis. Tissue lysates were prepared in cell lysis buffer (Intron, Seongnam, Korea) and other procedures were carried out as described above.

**Cell-cycle distribution and annexin V staining.** Cells were treated with selumetinib for 24, 48, or 72 h and then stained using propidium iodide (PI) in appropriate buffer. DNA content was determined using a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) equipped with a 488 nm argon laser. The percentages of cells in subG1, G0/G1, S, and G2 phases were analyzed using ModFit LT software (Becton Dickinson, San Diego, CA, USA). To analyze apoptosis, cells were treated with selumetinib, stained with an Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA), and analyzed using a flow cytometer.

**RNA extraction and gene-expression analysis.** Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and subjected to reverse transcription using the PrimeScript™ reverse transcriptase kit (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Otsu, Japan) to generate first-strand cDNA.

### Table I. Primer sequences used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target gene</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Primer sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COL1A2</td>
<td>Collagen type I alpha 2 chain</td>
<td>F: CCGTGGATATCTGGAGGCAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FZD7</td>
<td>Frizzled-7</td>
<td>R: CAGACCCTTCCCATTCACTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGA5</td>
<td>Integrin alpha-5</td>
<td>F: CGACGCCCTTTACCCATTCACTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAG1</td>
<td>Jagged 1</td>
<td>R: GCCATGCGAAGAAGAATGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP9</td>
<td>Matrix metallopeptidase 9</td>
<td>F: CACTGGCCATGATGAGTTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notch</td>
<td>NOTCH</td>
<td>F: GGATATCCATTGCCATCCACCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERPINE1</td>
<td>Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade E</td>
<td>R: TGCGGAAGTCAATGTACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFβ1</td>
<td>Transforming growth factor beta 1</td>
<td>F: CTTCCTCTGCCCCCTACCCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEB1</td>
<td>Zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1</td>
<td>R: CAGATCCAGCTTCCCCCATTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>R: TGGAGAGGCTCTTGGTG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Primer sequences used in the study.
Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was then carried out for 30-35 cycles (depending on the primers) with the following cycling conditions: 95°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min to determine gene expression levels relative to those of a housekeeping gene, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). The primer sequences used for the genes analyzed are listed in Table I.

Animal studies. All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Asan Institute for Life Sciences, Korea (approval number 2015-02-170). For the mouse hepatic metastasis model, 8-week-old male Balb/c-nude mice (Central Animal Lab, Seoul, Korea) were anesthetized. Abdominal skin and wall tissues were incised, the median lobe was gently pulled up, and then the portal vein was exposed by carefully moving the intestine. An aliquot of 50 μl M14 cancer cells (2×10⁶) was injected via the portal vein and then the puncture site was pressed with a sterilized cotton swab to ensure that the bleeding stopped. After injecting tumor cells, the intestine was repositioned and the abdominal wall was closed using a two-layer technique with non-absorbable sutures. After 3 days, mice were divided into vehicle-treated group (n=11) and selumetinib-treated group (n=12). Animals were treated daily (5 days per week) with vehicle (20% Captisol®, Ligand Pharmaceuticals, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) or 10 mg/kg selumetinib orally for 3 weeks. Selumetinib dose was that determined from our preliminary experiment and reference (16). At the end of experiments, livers were removed, weighed, and stored at –80°C until usage.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Significance was analyzed using Student’s t-test with Prism 5 (Graph Pad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and p-values of 0.05 or less were considered as statistically significant.

Results

Selumetinib blocks constitutive ERK phosphorylation in human melanoma cell lines. In order to examine the inhibition efficacy of the MEK inhibitor selumetinib, we used human melanoma cell lines (M14 and UACC62). Cells were incubated with different concentrations of selumetinib for 24 h. As shown in Figure 1, treatment of human melanoma cell lines with selumetinib significantly reduced phosphorylated ERK expression in a dose-dependent manner. Constitutive ERK phosphorylation was completely inhibited at a dose of 0.1 μM selumetinib without notably affecting the total cellular level of ERK.

Selumetinib blocks cell-cycle progression and induces apoptosis in M14 cells. To determine the mechanism of actions of selumetinib, we analyzed the cell-cycle distribution and apoptosis in M14 cells. Cell-cycle analysis showed that M14 cells treated with 100 nM of selumetinib exhibited a time-dependent accumulation of cells at the G0/G1 phase and fewer cells in S and G2 phase (Figure 2A). Moreover, the population of G0/G1 cells was increased after treatment with selumetinib for 48 h in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2C). Potential effects on apoptosis of the MEK inhibitor were further evaluated by annexin V staining. After incubation with selumetinib for 72 h, apoptotic cells (early and late apoptosis) increased in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Figure 2B and D).

We further investigated the effects of selumetinib on multiple signaling pathways that regulate cell cycle- and apoptosis-related proteins. M14 and UACC62 cells were treated with 50, 100, or 200 nM of selumetinib for 48 h and the expression levels of cell-cycle regulators were determined by western blot analysis (Figure 3). Consistent with the accumulation of cells in G1 phase, the protein expression levels of c-MYC and cyclin D1 were significantly reduced in selumetinib-treated melanoma cells. Conversely, the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27KIP1 accumulated after MEK blockade in a dose-dependent manner. Consistent with the annexin V staining pattern, PARP cleavage was induced in selumetinib-treated melanoma cells and the protein expression of survivin was reduced. Taken together, these results suggest that selumetinib induces the inhibition of cell-cycle progression and the activation of apoptosis in human melanoma cells.
Effects of selumetinib on transforming growth factor β-1 (TGFβ1)-mediated transcription of metastasis-associated genes. TGFβ1 regulates the expression of various genes involved in biological phenomena, tissue remodeling, tumor initiation and progression. In addition, TGFβ1 can induce the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and induces signaling pathways such as the SMAD and MAPK pathways. TGFβ1 is critical for EMT during tumor metastasis, and EMT results in the change in expression of many genes. Thus, to confirm the inhibition of cancer cell growth and EMT by selumetinib, we measured the expression of EMT-related genes in the TGFβ1-treated melanoma cell lines, M14 and UACC62, treated with selumetinib or not using reverse transcription-PCR. Strikingly, selumetinib was able to inhibit the expression of NOTCH and FZD7 in both M14 and UACC62 cells (Figure 4). Serpin peptidase inhibitor clade E1 (SERPINE1) and matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9) expression were activated by TGFβ1, whereas selumetinib suppressed the up-regulation of those genes. As a result, we propose that selumetinib reduces expression of EMT markers in TGFβ1-stimulated melanoma cells and is a potential therapeutic agent leading to growth inhibition and death of melanoma cells.

Selumetinib inhibits the progression of melanoma liver metastasis via suppression of metastasis-related genes. A melanoma liver metastasis model was established by

Figure 2. Blockade of cell-cycle progression and induction of apoptosis in M14 cells by selumetinib. A: Cell-cycle analysis revealed a proportional increase in sub-G1 phase cells after treatment with 100 nM of selumetinib for 24, 48 and 72 h. B: Induction of apoptosis in M14 cells by selumetinib treatment for 72 h based on annexin V staining. C: The population of G1/G0 cells was increased after treatment with selumetinib for 48 h in a dose-dependent manner. D: Percentages of early and late apoptosis were calculated from flow cytometric analysis and selumetinib induced apoptosis in a time- and dose-dependent manner. Data are representative of two independent experiments.
injecting tumor cells into the portal vein of mice. Mice were randomly divided into vehicle- or selumetinib-treated groups 3 days after surgery. Administration of selumetinib for 3 weeks significantly blocked M14 cell liver metastasis compared with vehicle treatment. The numbers of tumor nodules of metastasized liver were reduced by selumetinib treatment (Figure 5A). To confirm this observation, mouse livers were removed and weighed (including both the liver and tumor nodules), and the liver weight per body weight was determined. The metastatic liver burden was 130.1±54.6 mg/g in vehicle-treated mice compared with 71.7±14.9 mg/g in selumetinib-treated mice, which exhibited significantly less tumor progression (p=0.0026; Figure 5B). From this result, we suggest that selumetinib inhibits liver metastasis of melanoma cells in the mouse model of melanoma metastasis.

To strengthen this finding, we evaluated the expression levels of specific proteins and genes involved in tumor progression and metastasis. Firstly, in metastasized liver tissues, the protein expression levels of c-MYC were found to be elevated and this was suppressed by selumetinib (Figure 5C). Phosphorylated ERK was also detected in livers from vehicle-treated mice, whereas it was completely blocked by the administration of selumetinib, consistent with data from in vitro studies. Because metastasis was dramatically blocked in the selumetinib-treated group, we further evaluated the expression of metastasis-related genes in livers from normal control, vehicle-, and selumetinib-treated mice. In vehicle-treated metastasized liver tissues, levels of TGFβ1 expression were significantly elevated (Figure 5D). However, this change in expression was not detected in normal liver tissues and was expression decreased in the selumetinib-treated group. Because we used human TGFβ1 primers for RT-PCR, this finding can potentially explain why different expression levels were detected between normal and metastatic liver tissues. Thus, we identified that EMT-related genes were up-regulated in metastatic liver tissues. Interestingly, oral treatment with selumetinib blocked melanoma metastasis to the liver and inhibited the expression of EMT-metastasis-target genes in this mouse model of melanoma metastasis.
Discussion

In our present study, we showed that a MEK inhibitor (selumetinib) prevents melanoma metastasis to the liver in a mouse model. Malignant melanoma exhibits strong resistance to traditional chemotherapeutic drugs and radiation treatment (17). MEK inhibition is an effective method for blocking the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK signalling pathway in the treatment of melanoma (17). Previously, selumetinib was shown to block MEK activity and ERK phosphorylation in melanoma cell lines in vitro and in a mouse xenograft model in vivo (18, 19). We interpret those results as indicators that selumetinib has cytostatic rather than cytotoxic effects and they also suggest the potential clinical utility of a MEK inhibitor in preventing the early stages of metastasis. CI-1040, another type of MEK inhibitor, has also been shown to exhibit a cytostatic effect that can prevent lung metastasis in melanoma (20).

Metastasis is defined as the migration of cancer cells from the primary site to distant organs and represents the major cause of cancer-related deaths. To more fully elucidate the anti-metastatic effects of MEK inhibition, establishing an animal model is essential. Herein, we successfully established a mouse model of liver metastasis using a human melanoma cell line. Generally, hepatic metastasis models, which involve the injection of tumor cells into the liver...
parenchyma, spleen, or portal vein, have been widely used for studies of metastasis. Among these models, the intra-portal vein injection model has been considered to be an ideal model for hepatic metastasis, but survival rates for this approach are often variable because of the difficulty in performing hemostasis. In the current study, to achieve a stable model of portal vein injection, we used adhesive glue (histo-bond) after the injection of tumor cells into the portal vein, which prevented excessive bleeding from the injection site. However, a limitation of this experimental metastasis model was that the metastasis that developed was not induced from a primary tumor, as shown in clinical cases. MEK inhibition can prevent liver metastasis by inhibiting the proliferation of M14 cells in the liver, and this finding is also supported by previous in vitro results. However, it remains unclear whether the MEK inhibitor blocked M14 cell proliferation in the blood stream, and then consequently reduced liver metastasis.

Many of the molecular changes associated with the transition of melanoma cells to the most advanced phases are unknown. The EMT of cancer cells is known to be a key event in the process of cancer metastasis. The RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway is a major signaling pathway for EMT and metastasis (21-23). We also studied EMT-related genes, which might be inhibited by a MEK inhibitor. We found that MEK inhibition suppressed the expression of NOTCH, FZD7, SERPINE1, and MMP9. Cole et al. reported that MEK inhibitors suppressed the expression of metastasis-related genes in tumor cells (24). We propose that suppression of MMP expression and activity may represent an effective therapeutic approach for preventing cancer cell metastasis. The MMPs are a major class of molecules which modulate the extracellular matrix, which is a key step in the formation of metastasis. MMP activity has been considered to be an index of tumor invasion and metastatic potential (25, 26). In the present study, we observed that the suppression of MMP induced by MEK inhibition reduced liver metastasis. The MEK inhibitor suppressed MMP9 activity in vitro and liver metastasis in vivo. Expression of both MMP9 and SERPINE1 has been detected in more invasive and metastatic melanomas (27, 28).

In summary, mice with established liver metastases treated with a MEK inhibitor experienced significantly less tumor progression compared with mice that received vehicle alone. Our findings suggest that blockade of MEK signaling may prevent the progression of melanoma liver metastases and that MEK inhibitors maybe used in the treatment of metastatic cancer.
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