Targeting Prostate Cancer with a Combination of WNT Inhibitors and a Bi-functional Peptide
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Abstract. Background/Aim: Prostate cancer is the most common cancer in the Western world. A bi-functional peptide was combined with wingless-related integration site (WNT) inhibitors to determine if there is an additive therapeutic effect when they are used against prostate cancer, since their efficacy has already been proven when used alone. Materials and Methods: A bi-functional peptide (TP-LYT) was designed with a target domain (LTVSPWY) and a lytic domain (KLAKLAK)\textsubscript{2}, and a second peptide with the same lytic domain but a random sequence instead of the target domain was used as a negative control. Two different WNT inhibitors were used, ethacrynic acid and ciclopiroxolamine. They were tested on prostate cancer cells using the WST-8 assay. Results: A synergistic effect of peptides and WNT inhibitors was demonstrated, increasing the toxicity against cancer cells. Conclusion: Our findings potentially allow safer treatment since lower concentrations of WNT inhibitors can be used in combination with this bi-functional peptide.

Prostate cancer is the third most common cause of cancer in Europe for males, and the sixth most common cause of cancer death overall, with around 92,300 deaths from prostate cancer in 2012 (1). There is increasing emphasis being placed on therapies that allow for eradication of cancer with fewer side-effects and complications. The wingless-related integration site (WNT)/\beta-catenin pathway is a hopeful target for therapy since it plays an important role during proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis of cells (2, 3). Deregulation of this pathway is frequently observed in several types of cancer and it is thought to be an initiator of tumorigenesis (4-7).

In the present study, we used two different WNT inhibitors, ethacrynic acid (EA) and ciclopirox olamine (CIC). EA, already used clinically as a diuretic agent, inhibits glutathione-S-transferase (GST). GST is overexpressed in human tumors leading to an excess of glutathione (GSH), which binds to electrophilic compounds in detoxification in the cell (8). EA binding to GSH enhances the cytotoxicity of chemotherapeutic agents (9). CIC is used as an antifungal agent for the treatment of yeast infections in humans. It is a chelator of polyvalent metal cations (e.g. $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ and $\text{Al}^{3+}$), inhibiting the metal-dependent enzymes in metabolism of the cell. Moreover, it blocks the cell cycle near the G1/S phase boundary (10).

In addition to WNT inhibitors, two peptides were used in this study. The design of peptides smaller than antibody–drug conjugates (ADC) is of paramount importance in overcoming size restrictions. TP-LYT is a bi-functional peptide with two different domains, one is the lytic domain (KLAKLAK)\textsubscript{2}, which has been shown to disrupt mitochondrial membranes once it has been internalized as it destroys negatively-charged membranes and this kind of membrane has a high content of anionic phospholipids (11). The second part of TP-LYT is the target domain (LTVSPWY), important for enhancing toxicity towards tumor cells (12-15). This particular sequence was chosen because it selectively binds to cancer cells but not to healthy cells (16-20). The two domains are joined using a glycine–glycine linker (Figure 1) (13). The second peptide was p34 and was used initially as the control peptide.

In this project, we wished to determine whether or not there is an additive effect on cytotoxicity when bi-functional peptide and WNT inhibitors are used in combination since they have different targets.

Materials and Methods

Cell and culture conditions. Five different prostate cancer cell lines (see below) and one primary cell line (CCD18co) were used. BM1604, 22Rv1, LNCaP and PC3 cell lines were obtained from Deutsches
Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ Heidelberg, Germany). DU145 and CDD18co were present in our laboratory (DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany). All the cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 and 90% humidity. The cancer cell lines DU-145, BM-1604, 22Rv1 and LNCaP were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium (PAN Biotech Aidenbach, Germany) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S). The cancer cell line PC-3 was cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s Medium (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% P/S. The primary cell line CDD18co was cultured in Eagle’s minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% P/S.

**Peptides and chemical agents.** All drugs and peptides were tested with an incubation time of 24 h at different concentrations. The peptides were synthesized by and purchased from ThermoFisher (Ulm, Germany) and delivered as lyophilized powder. Both peptides were dissolved in isotonic phosphate-buffer saline and stored at −80°C. EA and CIC were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). They were dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stored at −20°C.

**Cell viability assay with 2-(2-methoxy-4-nitrophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-(2,4-disulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, monosodium salt (WST-8) assay.** Viability and proliferation of the different cell lines, after treatment with WNT inhibitors and peptides, were assessed by WST-8 assay (Dojindo Molecular Technologies Inc., Rockville, MD, USA). WST-8 is reduced by dehydrogenases in cells giving an orange colored product and the amount of which is directly proportional to the number of living cells. All experiments were performed in triplicate wells for each condition. DU145, BM1604, 22Rv1, LNCaP and CDD18co were plated at 1×10⁴ cells in 100 μl/well in 96-well plates and left to adhere overnight in an incubator. PC3 cell line was plated at 5×10³ cells in 100 μl/well in 96-well plates. The following day, the medium was removed and fresh medium containing different concentrations of TP-LYT, p34, EA and CIC, singularly and in combination were added to the cells. After 22 h, 10 μl of tetrazolium solution was added to each well and after 2 h the absorbance was recorded at 450 nm using a BMG FLUOstar OPTIMA Microplate Reader and analyzed using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Media and cells without drugs were used as controls and only the media and PBS for the blanks.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Student t-test was used to compare cell viability under different treatments. The significance level in this study was set at 0.05.

**Results**

Cytotoxic effects of TP-LYT, p34, EA and CIC on prostate cancer cell lines DU145, BM1604, 22Rv1, LNCaP and PC3 were investigated and compared to the primary cell line CDD18co using the cytotoxicity assay cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) after exposure for 24 h. All results are shown as percentage of the cell viability.

**Toxicity of the bi-functional peptide towards prostate cancer cells.** Firstly, the different cell lines were treated with TP-LYT and p34 separately. Figure 2 shows the viability after 24 h of incubation with the peptides. p34, initially used as control peptide, surprisingly exerted higher cytotoxicity towards most cell lines than TP-LYT. Both peptides were toxic towards the different prostate cancer cell lines, and TP-LYT also reduced the viability of the control cell line CDD18co. The concentrations used were the same as used in previous work (21, 22).

**Toxicity of the WNT inhibitors towards prostate cancer cells.** Secondly, EA and CIC were used to treat the prostate cancer cell lines and CDD18co to monitor the cytotoxicity when used alone. Figure 2 shows the viability after 24 h of incubation with EA, and CIC alone. Both exhibited toxicity towards the different prostate cancer cell lines, and CIC also reduced the viability of the control cell line CDD18co by 50%. The concentrations used were the same as used in previous work (21, 22).

**Combination of WNT inhibitors and the peptides.** After testing the effects of the single active substances, the five different cell lines were treated using the peptides in combination with the WNT inhibitors to investigate if the cytotoxic effects were additional and if the effectiveness was different from cell line to cell line.

As depicted in Figure 2, it is evident that the combined treatment of peptide TP-Lyt and WNT inhibitors led to more potent killing activity than when cells were treated with only one compound. Analogously, when p34 and WNT inhibitors were combined, cytotoxicity was also stronger. In both cases, this activity was stronger against prostate cancer cells than control cells (Figure 2). The concentrations used were the same as those used in previous work (21, 22).

**Discussion**

TP-LYT, p34, EA and CIC were clearly cytotoxic towards the five prostate cancer cell lines used in this study (Figure 2). Cytotoxicity of TP-LYT could be expected since is made up of two already known domains. TP (LTVSPWY) is the targeting domain since it has been shown to bind to cancer cells and not to normal cells (16-20), and the lytic domain (KLAKLAK) 2 which is able to induce cell death after disrupting mitochondrial membranes, due to their negatively
Figure 2. Combination of inhibitors of wingless-related integration site (WNT) and peptides increases cytotoxicity towards prostate cancer cells. Toxicity of the single peptides (p34 and TP-LYT), single WNT inhibitors [ethacrynic acid (EA) and ciclopiroxolamine (CIC)] and their combinations was evaluated using WST-8 24 h after treatment. Healthy colonic fibroblasts (CCD18co) were used as control. Data shown are the mean±SEM of experiments performed in triplicate. A: DU145 cell line, drug concentrations: 160 μM TP-LYT, 40 μM p34, 50 μM EA, 50 μM CIC. B: BM1604 cell line, drug concentrations: 320 μM TP-LYT, 160 μM p34, 75 μM EA, 150 μM CIC. C: 22Rv1 cell line, drug concentrations: 320 μM TP-LYT, 160 μM p34, 75 μM EA, 150 μM CIC. D: LNCaP cell line, drug concentrations: 320 μM TP-LYT, 320 μM p34, 150 μM EA, 150 μM CIC. E: PC3 cell line, drug concentrations: 80 μM TP-LYT, 80 μM p34, 75 μM EA, 75 μM CIC. F: CCD18co cell line, drug concentrations: 160 μM TP-LYT, 160 μM p34, 75 μM EA, 50 μM CIC. Significantly different at *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 and ****p<0.0001 compared to the control.
charged structure. The strong cytotoxicity of p34 was surprising since it was designed to be an inert peptide. It consists of the same lytic domain as TP-LYT, but should exhibit a non-functional target domain. However, in many cases, the toxicity of p34 was higher than that of TP-LYT. This should be studied better in further experiments.

EA and CIC are already used in humans. EA is a diuretic agent and has been shown to induce cell death in different cancer cells (23, 24). EA inhibits GST leading to higher oxidized GSH levels in cells, which could be the reason for cell death since oxidative stress is increased. However, (8) studying the mode of action of EA, showed that apoptosis is independent of the GSH level. Another way in which EA might be able to reduce cell viability is through interaction with the lymphoid enhancer-binding factor-1 (LEF1) leading to destabilization of the LEF1–β-catenin complex (25).

CIC has antifungal effects and has been shown to be cytotoxic towards different cancer cells such as myeloma and lymphoma cells (26–29). CIC can affect cells in different ways. As mentioned above, it is an iron chelator and can inhibit ribonucleotide reductase, resulting in apoptosis (30). CIC also plays a role in blocking or slowing down the cell cycle because cyclins (A, B1, D1 and E) and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK2 and CDK4) are down-regulated, arresting cancer cells in G1/G0 phase (31). Furthermore, the same group demonstrated that cell death in cancer cells after treatment with CIC may be due to a decrease of anti-apoptotic proteins (B-cell lymphoma-XL and survivin) and an increased cleavage of B-cell lymphoma 2. Cell viability after treatment with EA or CIC differed in all prostate cancer cell lines, whereas in the control cell line CCD18co, EA did not reduce the number of viable cells, CIC reduced cell viability by 50%. The reason for this could be that the doses used in our experiments may have been too high. Finally, an additive effect of EA and CIC when used in combination with TP-LYT and p34 was demonstrated. This is because the peptides and WNT inhibitors used in this study lead to cell death in different ways. These results could be clinically important because using combinations of targeted peptides and WNT inhibitors may allow the use lower of concentrations of each drug, thereby reducing toxic side-effects. Further studies including in vivo experiments are necessary to determine the actual value of these findings.
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