# The Role of PET [18F]FDOPA in Evaluating Low-grade Glioma

VERONICA VILLANI<sup>1</sup>, CARMINE M. CARAPELLA<sup>2</sup>, AGOSTINO CHIARAVALLOTI<sup>3</sup>, IRENE TERRENATO<sup>4</sup>, FRANCESCA PILUDU<sup>5</sup>, ANTONELLO VIDIRI<sup>5</sup>, ORAZIO SCHILLACI<sup>3,8</sup>, ROBERTO FLORIS<sup>3</sup>, SIMONA MARZI<sup>6</sup>, ALESSANDRA FABI<sup>7</sup> and ANDREA PACE<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Neuro-Oncology Unit, <sup>2</sup>Division of Neurosurgery, <sup>4</sup>Biostatistic Unit, Scientific Direction, <sup>5</sup>Service of Neuroradiology, <sup>6</sup>Medical Physics Laboratory, <sup>7</sup>Division of Medical Oncology, Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Department of Biomedicine and Prevention, University Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy; <sup>8</sup>IRCSS Neuromed, Pozzilli, Italy

**Abstract.** Aim: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the prognostic value of 3,4-dihydroxy-6-[18F]fluoro-L-phenylalanine ( $[^{18}F]$ -FDOPA) positron-emission tomography (PET) in predicting the risk of radiological progression of disease in patients affected by low-grade glioma. Patients and Methods: Patients affected by grade II glioma were consecutively enrolled in a prospective observational study at the Department of Neurology of Regina Elena National Cancer Institute in Rome, Italy. At enrolment, all patients underwent PET [18F]-FDOPA and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), and clinical and radiological assessments with MRI every six months to evaluate the progression of disease. Results: A total of 50 patients affected by grade II glioma (30 males and 20 females) were included in the study. The multivariate analysis showed that standardized uptake value greater than 1.75 and disease duration were independent predictors of disease progression. Conclusion: These findings suggest that the PET [18F]-FDOPA may play an important prognostic role in evaluation of low-grade glioma.

Low-grade gliomas (LGGs) are slow-growing tumors including grade II oligodendrogliomas, astrocytomas and mixed oligo-astrocytomas according to the classification of the World Health Organization (WHO) (1). LGGs are clinically, histologically, and molecularly diverse (2). The natural history of LGGs results in transformation into highgrade tumors. This transformation is the main determinant of

Correspondence to: Veronica Villani, MD, Ph.D., Neuro-Oncology Unit, Regina Elena Cancer Institute, Via Elio Chianesi 53, 00144 Rome, Italy. Tel/Fax: +39 0652666975, +39 0652665536, e-mail: villani@ifo.it

*Key Words*: Low-grade glioma, PET <sup>18</sup>F-FDOPA, prognostic factors, progressive disease.

a patient's survival, but the timing of change into a highgrade tumor is still not predictable. Today, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides excellent morphological information on the localization of this kind of tumor (3), but is inadequate in providing the exact delineation of tumor volumes, treatment-induced changes, and recurrence (4, 5). LGG growth does not follow an exponential evolution because newly-produced tumor cells diffuse into the surrounding parenchyma, and their density does not reach the minimal threshold required to be detected on MRI (6, 7).

Given the limitations of morphological imaging for low-grade tumor assessment, metabolic imaging using positron-emission tomography (PET) with radiolabelled aminoacids may help overcome the aforementioned drawbacks. The most popular amino acid utilized for PET study of LGG is PET with L-[methyl-<sup>11</sup>C]-methionine ([<sup>11</sup>C]methionine). PET [<sup>11</sup>C]-methionine exhibits a sensitivity of 76%-95% in the diagnosis of gliomas, and is more efficient than FDG in delineating the tumor extent and detecting tumor progression and recurrence (8-13). However, its use is restricted to PET centers with an in-house cyclotron facility (8).

Another <sup>18</sup>F-labelled amino acid which has been used in PET studies is 3,4-dihydroxy-6-[<sup>18</sup>F]-fluoro-L-phenylalanine ([<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA),with a longer half-life (110 min). [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA is brought into tumor cells *via* amino acid transporters and recent studies have established the diagnostic value of PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA in heterogeneous population affected by LGG and high-grade gliomas (14-28). The aim of the present study was to evaluate the prognostic value of PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA in predicting the risk of radiological progression of disease in patients affected by LGG.

#### **Patients and Methods**

Between December 2012 and February 2014, patients with histologically confirmed (grade II) newly diagnosed and progressive glioma, who were more than 18 years of age and had a Karnofsky

0250-7005/2015 \$2.00+.40 5117

Table I. Demographic and clinical features of patients.

Characteristic	N		%
Total sample		50	
Sex			
Male	30		60
Female	20		40
Age, years			
Mean (SD)		43.8 (14.0)	
Median (range)		41 (19-77)	
≤50 Years	36		72
>50 Years	14		28
KPS score, %			
Median (range)		100 (70-100)	
>90	45		90
70-80	5		10
Diagnosis			
Astrocytoma	34		68
Oligodendroglioma	16		32
Mean (SD) disease duration, years		5.7 (6.0)	
Radiotherapy	25		50
Type of patient			
Newly diagnosis	12		24
In chemotherapy treatment	21		42
Follow-up after chemotherapy treatment	17		34

Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS); Standard Deviation (SD).

Performance Scale (KPS) score of 60 or more, were consecutively enrolled in a prospective observational study at the Department of Neurology of the Regina Elena National Cancer Institute in Rome. The local Institutional Review Board approved the study (N. 4314) and each patient provided their valid informed consent before enrolment in the study. Clinical and demographic characteristics, including age, sex, histological diagnosis, grade of tumor, disease duration, symptoms at onset, surgery or biopsy, radiotherapy and chemotherapy (type and duration), were collected at enrolment.

At enrolment, all patients underwent PET[<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA and MRI examination over a 4-week span. All patients underwent clinical and radiological assessments with MRI every six months to evaluate the progression of disease, defined on the basis of the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) criteria (29).

Study procedures. MRI scans were carried out at the Department of Neuro-radiology of the Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome. MRI scans were performed with a 1.5T superconductive system (OptimaTM MR450w; GE Medical System, Waukesha, WI, USA). We used a head-coil. All patients were scanned with the following sequences: fast spin-echo (FSE) T2 on coronal plane, FSE T1, T2 and fluid attenuated inversion recovery images (FLAIR) in axial plane with 3-mm thick slices. After gadolinium infusion (Gd-DTPA; 0.1mmol/kg), volumetric T1 fast-sequences (3D FSPGR) were acquired.

Both FLAIR and contrast-enhanced weighted sequences were considered for evaluating the tumor volume, as well as assessing the response to treatment, according to the RANO criteria (29). According to RANO criteria, we considered: complete response (CR) as requiring all of the following: complete disappearance of all enhancing measurable and non-measurable disease sustained for at least 4 weeks; no new lesions; stable or improved non-enhancing (T2/FLAIR)

Table II. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis between contrast enhancement and maximum standardized uptake value (SUV max); diagnostic values were calculated on the total sample (n=50).

Contrast- enhancement/SUV max*	Value	SE	95% CI
Area under the curve	0.819	0.063	0.696-0.942
Sensitivity	0.769	0.01365	0.477-1.061
Specificity	0.842	0.05915	0.694-0.990
Accuracy	0.824	0.05338	0.690-0.957
Positive predictive value	0.625	0.12103	0.322-0.928
Negative predictive value	0.914	0.04732	0.796-1.033

\*SUV max cut-off value was obtained with Youden's index (J) where J=Sensitivity+ Specificity-1. Standard error (SE); maximum standardized uptake value (SUV max); 95% Confidence Interval (95% CI)

lesions; and no corticosteroids or on physiological replacement doses only, and stable or improved clinical condition. In the absence of a confirming scan 4 weeks later, this response was considered only stable disease. Partial response (PR) required all of the following: ≥50% decrease, compared with baseline, in the sum of products of perpendicular diameters of all measurable enhancing lesions sustained for at least 4 weeks; no progression of non-measurable disease; no new lesions; stable or improved non-enhancing (T2/FLAIR) lesions on same or lower dose of corticosteroids compared with baseline scan; and on a corticosteroid dose not greater than the dose at time of baseline scan and is stable or improved clinically. In the absence of a confirming scan 4 weeks later, this response was considered only stable disease (SD). Stable disease occurred if the patient did not qualify for CR, PR, or disease progression (see next section) and required the following: stable non-enhancing (T2/FLAIR) lesions on same or lower dose of corticosteroids compared with baseline scan and clinically stable status. In the event that the corticosteroid dose was increased for new symptoms and signs without confirmation of disease progression on neuroimaging, and subsequent follow-up imaging showed that this increase in corticosteroids was required because of disease progression, the last scan considered to show stable disease was that obtained when the corticosteroid dose was equivalent to the baseline dose. Progression was defined by any of the following: ≥25% increase in sum of the products of perpendicular diameters of enhancing lesions (compared with baseline if no decrease) on stable or increasing doses of corticosteroids; a significant increase in T2/FLAIR non-enhancing lesions on stable or increasing doses of corticosteroids compared with baseline scan or best response after initiation of therapy, not due to comorbid events; the appearance of any new lesions; clear progression of non-measurable lesions; or definite clinical deterioration not attributable to other causes apart from the tumor, or to decrease in corticosteroid dose. Failure to return for evaluation as a result of death or deteriorating condition was also considered as progression.

The PET scans were carried out at the Department of Biomedicine and Prevention, University Tor Vergata, Rome. The PET-computerized tomography (CT) was performed using a PET-CT Discovery VCT (GE Medical Systems, TN, USA) using a standard technique in 3D mode in 256×256 matrix. The images were reconstructed by using a OSEM algorithm (4 iterations, 20 subsets). The system combines a high-speed ultra 16-detector-row (912detectors per row) CT unit and a PET

Table III. Cox regression analyses for disease progression: Univariate and multivariate analyses-

Parameter	Univariate analyses				Multivariate analyses	
	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value	HR	95%CI	p-Value
MRI response						
NED	1.00					
FLAIR with CE	6.12	0.77-48.42	0.09			
FLAIR without CE	3.39	0.41-27.80	0.26			
Histology						
Astrocytoma	1					
Oligodendroglioma	0.55	0.17-1.82	0.331			
Basal KPS (each point increase)	0.97	0.92-1.03	0.347			
Basal KPS						
>90	1.00					
70-80	0.63	0.2-1.98	0.427			
Age (each year)	0.99	0.95-1.03	0.626			
Age						
<50 Years	1.00					
≥50 Years	1.06	0.28-4.03	0.928			
Residual tumour(cc)	1.00	0.99-1.02	0.465			
SUV max (each unit increase)	2.92	1.38-6.15	0.005			
SUV max						
<1.75	1.00			1.00		
≥1.75	8.26	1.82-37.5	0.006	9.10	1.92-42.96	0.005
Years of disease (each year)	0.67	0.47-0.95	0.025	0.66	0.45-0.95	0.025
[ <sup>18</sup> F]-FDOPA uptake						
Positive	1.00					
Negative	0.20	0.03-1.58	0.128			

95% Confidence Interval (95% CI); Contrast-enhancement (CE); Fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR); 3,4-dihydroxy-6-[<sup>18</sup>F] -fluoro-L-phenylalanine ([<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA); Hazard Ratio (HR); Karnosfky Performance status (KPS); Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); Not evidence of disease (NED); Maximum Standardized Uptake Value (SUV max).

scanner with 13440 bismuth germanate crystals in 24 rings (axial full width at half-maximum 1-cm radius, 5.2 mm in 3D mode, axial field of view 157 mm). A low-amperage CT scan of the head for attenuation correction (40 mA;120 kV) was performed before PET image acquisition. All the patients fasted for at least 5 hours before intravenous injection of [18F]-FDOPA. The dose administered was 185-210 MBq. After the injection, the patients were hydrated with 500 ml of saline (0.9% sodium chloride). PET-CT acquisition started at least 15 minutes after [18F]-FDOPA injection. The PET-CT images were interpreted as positive when the lesion definitely showed increased [18F]-FDOPA accumulation, taking into consideration the background and the contralateral site. The slices with a maximal [18F]-FDOPA uptake in the region of interest (ROI) were chosen for quantitative measurement of metabolic activity of the tracer [standardized uptake value]. From the volume of interest (VOI), the standardized uptake value was calculated using standard body weight method.

Statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize pertinent study information. Categorical variables are reported as frequencies and percentage values, while continuous variables are summarized through mean values and the relative standard deviation (SD), or median (range), as appropriate.

To determine the optimal maximum standardized uptake value ratio cut-off value, we used the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis. Across various cut-off points, Youden's index maximized the differences between real-positive and false-positive

subjects; thus, the optimal cut-off value was calculated. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) and accuracy were then obtained in order to evaluate the diagnostic performance of the contrast enhancement.

The prognostic value of PET [18F]-FDOPA in predicting the risk of radiological progression of disease was evaluated using a Cox proportional hazards univariate model, where disease progression was the dependent variable, while and age, disease duration, Karnofsky Performance Status, residual volume, maximum standardized uptake value, and qualitative [18F]-FDOPA uptake were inserted as covariates. A multivariate Cox model was also carried out using a stepwise approach (forward selection; enter limit and remove limit p=0.10 and p=0.15, respectively), with predictive variables that were significant in the univariate analyses being inserted into the final multivariate model as covariates.

Two-sided *p*-values of less than 0.05 denote statistically significant associations. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS software (SPSS version 21.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## Results

Characteristic of patients, MRI and PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA at enrolment. Fifty patients (30 males and 20 females) with LGG were enrolled in the study. In Table I, we report on the demographic and clinical data of the whole sample cohort.

The mean±SD age at diagnosis was 43.8±14 years; the mean±SD of disease duration was5.7±6.0years. Out of the 50 patients recruited in this study, 12 (24%) were newly-diagnosed, 17 (34%) were in follow-up after previous treatment and 21 (42%) were receiving chemotherapy treatment. The majority of patients (n=34, 68%) were affected by astrocytoma, while 16 patients (32%) were affected by oligodendroglioma.

At enrolment, MRI features were: nine(18%) patients had a negative scan (without evidence of disease); 26 (52%) had residual disease without contrast enhancement, and 15 (30%) patients had residual disease with areas of contrast enhancement. PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA showed increased uptake in 35 (70%) patients, and was negative in the remaining 15 (30%), based on interpretation criteria mentioned in the Patients and Methods section. The mean standardized uptake value was 1.55 (0.62).

Follow-up data. All patients had a median of 16 (range=8-29) months of follow-up after entering the study with MRI examination. At the end of follow-up, 18 (36%) patients showed disease progression, according to the RANO criteria. The median time from PET examination to radiological progression disease was six months.

Prognostic value of PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA. The area under the ROC curve for the maximum standardized uptake value predicting the presence of contrast enhancement at baseline (*i.e.* at study entry) was 0.819. According to the ROC analysis, the best possible predictive cut-off value for maximum standardized uptake value was 1.75. The diagnostic values, expressed as sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and overall accuracy are reported in Table II.

Table III shows the findings of the Cox regression model. The univariate analysis demonstrates that the variables useful in predicting disease progression were: the disease duration [(Hazzard Ratio-HR)=0.67, 95% confidence interval (CI)=0.47-0.95; p=0.025], and the maximum standardized uptake value with cut-off value of 1.75 found by means of the ROC analysis (HR=8.26, 95%CI=1.82-37.5; p=0.006). No significant difference was observed between patients with and those without contrast enhancement. The multivariate analysis confirmed that a maximum standardized uptake value greater than 1.75 (HR=9.1095% CI=1.92-42.96; p=0.005), and the disease duration (HR=0.66 for each year, 95% CI=0.45-0.95; p=0.025) were independent predictors of disease progression. This implies that a patient with a maximum standardized uptake value higher than 1.75 had an almost 9-fold increased risk of disease progression, regardless of clinical and basal MRI features.

## Discussion

Early identification of anaplastic modifications in the management of glioma may drive different clinical and therapeutical approaches. Today, MRI is inadequate in exactly delineating tumor volumes, treatment-induced changes, and recurrence, especially in LGG (4, 5). Given these limitations regarding the use of MRI, PET has been advocated for evaluating LGG in clinical practice. Previous studies using [<sup>11</sup>C]-methionine PET have shown that the intensity of amino acid uptake correlates, in general, with the grade of malignancy (30).

Several studies have shown that PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA has a higher sensitivity and specificity for identifying gliomas compared to other tracers, mainly in evaluating the recurrence of LGG or high-grade malignant gliomas (16-19, 23, 25). Becherer *et al.* also demonstrated that [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA gave similar values to [<sup>11</sup>C]-methionine and concluded that [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA might therefore be applied as a substitute for [<sup>11</sup>C]-methionine in the diagnosis of residual or recurrent tumors, particularly in PET centers without a cyclotron (26).

Ledezema *et al.* observed that the metabolic alteration observed with PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA preceded the tumor modifications detected by MRI (24). A more recent study on glioma recurrence reported that the results of MRI and PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA were concordant in 74.3% and discordant in 17.1% of cases (16). The authors also concluded that this diagnostic tool is more accurate than MRI for high and LGGs (16).

Our results confirm that PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA has a high sensitivity and specificity in the prospective evaluation of LGG. PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA provides a linear quantification of tumor activity, whereas the maximum standardized uptake value can provide useful information. In our cohort, an maximum standardized uptake value of 1.75 exhibited sufficient sensitivity (81%) and excellent specificity (85%) in early prediction of radiological progression.

Fueger *et al.* demonstrated that in patients with newly-diagnosed tumors, an [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA maximum standardized uptake value of 2.72 discriminated between LGG and high-grade tumors, with sensitivity and specificity of 85% and 89%, respectively (22). Overall sensitivity of [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA ranges from 85% to 100%, and specificity varies from 89% to 100% (26-27). All these findings suggest that the value of maximum standardized uptake value of the PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA may help in the early detection of risk of progression in patients with LGG.

In our study, the univariate analysis showed that the duration of disease and a maximum standardized uptake value cut-off >1.75 were predictors of progression in patients with glioma.

Only few studies evaluated the potential prognostic role of PET in recurrent glioma (21, 31-35). Nyazi *et al.* found that uptake kinetics of [<sup>18</sup>F]-Fluoroethyltyrosine PET is an independent determinant of overall and progression-free survival (34). [<sup>11</sup>C]-Methionine PET has not only been used for diagnosis, but also as a prognostic tool in recurrent glioma (35).

In a retrospective analysis on patients treated with bevacizumab, the authors concluded that the voxel-wise changes in [18F]-FDOPA uptake may be a predictor for progression-free survival and overall survival in recurrent malignant glioma (21). Our study confirms the role of PET [18F]-FDOPA as a useful diagnostic tool in predicting progression. In the multivariate analysis, the presence of an maximum standardized uptake value >1.75 and disease duration were independent predictors of disease progression, irrespective of other clinical characteristics and MRI findings. In our study, we did not observe any statistically significant differences in terms of disease progression between patients presenting contrast enhancement areas at basal MRI compared to those without contrast enhancement. Recently, it was demonstrated that the controlateral normal hemispheric brain tissue ratio of PET [18F]-FDOPA is an independent predictor of survival in patients with suspected recurrent glioma. A controlateral normal hemispheric brain tissue ratio greater than 1.5 or size exceeding 2.5 cm of recurrent tumor on contrast enhancement sequences were predictors of poor survival (14). Walter et al. found that patients with a positive PET [18F]-FDOPA had significantly shorter survival than those with negative [18F]-FDOPA imaging (36). We have not considered survival in our study because the number of patients who died was low.

The present study has some limitations, including the heterogeneity of patients who presented histologically-different tumors, with different stages and history of disease. Moreover, a longer follow-up is warranted to explore whether imaging by PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA may predict survival. Lastly, our findings need to be replicated in larger patient samples. Despite these limitations, the present study may provide further insights into the role of PET [<sup>18</sup>F]-FDOPA in glioma, encompassing both its diagnostic and prognostic value.

## Conclusion

We suggest that neuro-oncologists should rely not only on clinical, biomolecular and MRI radiological features, but also on PET [18F]-FDOPA for the assessment of patients with LGG.

#### References

- 1 Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK, Burger PC, Jouvet A, Scheithauer BW and Kleihues P: The 2007 WHO Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System Acta Neuropathol 114: 97-109, 2007.
- 2 Central Brain Tumor Registry of the United States: Statistical report: Primary brain tumors in the United States, 200-2004, Available at http://www.cbts.org/reports//2007-2008/2007 reportpdf.Accessed:February 2010.
- 3 Henson JW, Gaviani P and Gonzalez RG: MRI in treatment of adult gliomas. Lancet Oncology 6: 167-175, 2005.
- 4 Kondziolka D, Lunsford LD and Martinez AJ: Unreliability of contemporary neurodiagnostic imaging in evaluating suspected adult supratentorial (low-grade) astrocytoma. Journal of Neurosurgery 79: 533-536, 1993.

- 5 Jansen EP, Dewit LG, van Herk M and Bartelink H: Target volumes in radiotherapy for high-grade malignant glioma of the brain. Radiotherapy and Oncology *56*: 151-156, 2000.
- 6 Mandonnet E, Delattre JY, Tanguy ML, Swanson KR, Carpentier AF, Duffau H, Cornu P, Van Effenterre R, Alvord EC Jr and Capelle L: Continuous growth of mean tumor diameter in a subset of grade II gliomas. Annals of Neurology 53: 524-528, 2003.
- 7 Swanson KR, Bridge C, Murray JD and Alvord EC Jr: Virtual and real brain tumors: using mathematical modeling to quantify glioma growth and invasion. Journal of the Neurological Sciences 216: 1-10, 2003.
- 8 Singhal T, Narayanan TK, Jain V, Mukherjee J and Mantil J: <sup>11</sup>C-L-methionine positron-emission tomography in the clinical management of cerebral gliomas. Molecular Imaging and Biology 10: 1-18, 2008.
- 9 Bergström M, Collins VP, Ehrin E, Ericson K, Eriksson L, Greitz T, Halldin C, von Holst H, Långström B and Lilja A: Discrepancies in brain tumor extent as shown by computed tomography and positron-emission tomography using [68Ga]EDTA, [11C]glucose, and [11C]-methionine. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography 7: 1062-1066, 1983.
- 10 Ericson K, Lilja A, Bergström M, Collins VP, Eriksson L, Ehrin E, von Holst H, Lundqvist H, Långsrom B B and Mosskin M: Positron emission tomography with ([11C]methyl)-L-methionine, [11C]D-glucose, and [68Ga]EDTA in supratentorial tumors. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography 9: 683-689, 1985.
- 11 Herholz K, Hölzer T, Bauer B, Schröder R, Voges J, Ernestus RI, Mendoza G, Weber-Luxenburger G, Löttgen J, Thiel A, Wienhard K and Heiss WD: <sup>11</sup>C-Methionine PET for differential diagnosis of low-grade gliomas. Neurology 5: 1316-1322, 1998.
- 12 Braun V, Dempf S, Weller R, Reske SN, Schachenmayr W and Richter HP: Cranial neuronavigation with direct integration of (11)C-methionine positron-emission tomography (PET) data results of a pilot study in 32 surgical cases. Acta Neurochirurgica 144: 777-782, 2002.
- 13 Kracht LW, Miletic H, Busch S, Jacobs AH, Voges J, Hoevels M, Klein JC, Herholz K and Heiss WD: Delineation of brain tumor extent with [11C]L-methionine positron-emission tomography: local comparison with stereotactic histopathology. Clinical Cancer Research 10: 7163-7170, 2004.
- 14 Karunanithi S, Sharma P, Kumar A, Gupta DK, Khangembam BC, Ballal S, Kumar R, Kumar R and Bal C: Can <sup>18</sup>F-FDOPAPET/CT predict survival in patients with suspected recurrent glioma? A prospective study. European Journal of Radiology 83: 219-225, 2014.
- 15 Kosztyla R, Chan EK, Hsu F, Wilson D, Ma R, Cheung A, Zhang S, Moiseenko V, Benard F and Nichol A: High-grade glioma radiation therapy target volumes and patterns of failure obtained from magnetic resonance imaging and (18)F-FDOPA positron-emission tomography delineations from multiple observers. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics 87: 1100-1106, 2013.
- 16 Karunanithi S, Sharma P, Kumar A, Khangembam BC, Bandopadhyaya GP, Kumar R, Goenka A, Gupta DK, Malhotra A and Bal C: Comparative diagnostic accuracy of contrast-enhanced MRI and (18)F-FDOPAPET-CT in recurrent glioma. European Radiology 23: 2628-2635, 2013.

- 17 Karunanithi S, Bandopadhyaya GP, Sharma P, Kumar A, Singla S, Malhotra A, Gupta DK and Bal C: Prospective comparison of 99mtc-GH SPECT/CT and <sup>18</sup>F-FDOPAPET/CT for detection of recurrent glioma: a pilot study. Clinical Nuclear Medicine 39: 121-128, 2014.
- 18 Karunanithi S, Sharma P, Kumar A, Khangembam BC, Bandopadhyaya GP, Kumar R, Gupta DK, Malhotra A and Bal C: <sup>18</sup>F-FDOPAPET/CT for detection of recurrence in patients with glioma: prospective comparison with <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging 40: 1025-1035, 2013.
- 19 Nioche C, Soret M, Gontier E, Lahutte M, Dutertre G, Dulou R, Capelle L, Guillevin R, Foehrenbach H and Buvat I: Evaluation of quantitative criteria for glioma grading with static and dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FDopaPET/CT. Clinical Nuclear Medicine *38*: 81-87, 2013.
- 20 Rose S, Fay M, Thomas P, Bourgeat P, Dowson N, Salvado O, Gal Y, Coulthard A and Crozier S: Correlation of MRI-derived apparent diffusion coefficients in newly diagnosed gliomas with [18F]-fluoro-L-DOPA PET: What are we really measuring with minimum ADC? AJNR American Journal of Neuroradiology 34: 758-764, 2013.
- 21 Harris RJ, Cloughesy TF, Pope WB, Nghiemphu PL, Lai A, Zaw T, Czernin J, Phelps ME, Chen W and Ellingson BM:<sup>18</sup>F-FDOPA and <sup>18</sup>F-FLT positron-emission tomography parametric response maps predict response in recurrent malignant gliomas treated with bevacizumab. Neuro-Oncology 14: 1079-1089, 2012.
- 22 Fueger BJ, Czernin J, Cloughesy T, Silverman DH, Geist CL, Walter MA, Schiepers C, Nghiemphu P, Lai A, Phelps ME and Chen W: Correlation of 6-18F-fluoro-L-DOPAPET uptake with proliferation and tumor grade in newly diagnosed and recurrent gliomas. Journal of Nuclear Medicine 51: 1532-1538, 2010.
- 23 Tripathi M, Sharma R, D'Souza M, Jaimini A, Panwar P, Varshney R, Datta A, Kumar N, Garg G, Singh D, Grover RK, Mishra AK and Mondal A: Comparative evaluation of F-18 FDOPA, F-18 FDG, and F-18 FLT-PET/CT for metabolic imaging of low-grade gliomas. Clinical Nuclear Medicine 34: 878-883, 2009.
- 24 Ledezma CJ, Chen W, Sai V, Freitas B, Cloughesy T, Czernin J and Pope W: <sup>18</sup>F-FDOPAPET/MRI fusion in patients with primary/recurrent gliomas: initial experience. European Journal of Radiology 71: 242-248, 2009.
- 25 Chen W, Silverman DH, Delaloye S, Czernin J, Kamdar N, Pope W, Satyamurthy N, Schiepers C and Cloughesy T: <sup>18</sup>F-FDOPA PET imaging of brain tumors: comparison study with <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET and evaluation of diagnostic accuracy. Journal of Nuclear Medicine 47: 904-911, 2006.
- 26 Becherer A, Karanikas G, Szabó M, Zettinig G, Asenbaum S, Marosi C, Henk C, Wunderbaldinger P, Czech T, Wadsak W and Kletter K: Brain tumour imaging with PET: a comparison between [18F]-fluorodopa and [11C]methionine. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging30:1561-1567, 2003.
- 27 Schiepers C, Chen W, Cloughesy T, Dahlbom M and Huang SC: <sup>18</sup>F-FDOPA kinetics in brain tumors. Journal of Nuclear Medicine 48: 1651-1661, 2007.

- 28 González-Forero M, Prieto E, Domínguez I, Vigil C, Peñuelas I and Arbizu J:Dual time point <sup>18</sup>F-FDOPA PET as a tool for characterizing brain tumors. Revista Espaniolade Medicina Nuclear 30: 88-93, 2011.
- 29 Wen PY, Macdonald DR, Reardon DA, Cloughesy TF, Sorensen AG, Galanis E, Degroot J, Wick W, Gilbert MR, Lassman AB, Tsien C, Mikkelsen T, Wong ET, Chamberlain MC, Stupp R, Lamborn KR, Vogelbaum MA, van den Bent MJ and Chang SM: Updated Response Assessment Criteria for High-Grade Gliomas: Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology Working Group. Journal of Clinical Oncology 28: 1963-1972, 2010.
- 30 Jacobs AH, Thomas A, Kracht LW, Li H, Dittmar C, Garlip G, Galldiks N, Klein JC, Sobesky J, Hilker R, Vollmar S, Herholz K, Wienhard K and Heiss WD: <sup>18</sup>F-fluoro-L-thymidine and 11 C-methylmethionine as markers of increate transport and proliferation in brain tumors. Journal of Nuclear Medicine 46: 1948-1958, 2005
- 31 Nariai T, Tanaka Y, Wakimoto H, Aoyagi M, Tamaki M, Ishiwata K, Senda M, Ishii K, Hirakawa K and Ohno K:Usefulness of L-[methyl-11C]-methionine positron-emission tomography as a biological monitoring tool in the treatment of glioma. Journal of Neurosurgery 103: 498-507, 2005.
- 32 Floeth FW, Pauleit D, Sabel M, Stoffels G, Reifenberger G, Riemenschneider MJ, Jansen P, Coenen HH, Steiger HJ and Langen KJ: Prognostic value of O-(2-18Ffluoroethyl)- L-tyrosine PET and MRI in low-grade glioma. Journal of Nuclear Medicinec 48: 519–527, 2007.
- 33 Santra A, Kumar R, Sharma P, Bal C, Julka PK and Malhotra A: F-18 FDG PET-CT for predicting survival in patients with recurrent glioma: a prospective study. Neuroradiologyc 53: 1017-1024, 2011.
- 34 Niyazi M, Jansen N, Ganswindt U, Schwarz SB, Geisler J, Schnell O, Büsing K, Eigenbrod S, la Fougère C and Belka C: Re-irradiation in recurrent malignant glioma: prognostic value of [18F]-FET-PET. Journal of Neurooncology 110: 389-395, 2012
- 35 Van Laere K, Ceyssens S, Van Calenbergh F, de Groot T, Menten J, Flamen P, Bormans G and Mortelmans L: Direct comparison of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG and <sup>11</sup>C-methionine PET in suspected recurrence of glioma: sensitivity, inter-observer variability and prognostic value. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine Molecular Imaging 32: 39-51, 2005.
- 36 Walter F, Cloughesy T, Walter MA, Lai A, Nghiemphu P, Wagle N, Fueger B, Satyamurthy N, Phelps ME and Czernin J: Impact of 3,4-dihydroxy-6-<sup>18</sup>F-fluoro-L-phenylalanine PET/CT on managing patients with brain tumors: the referring physician's perspective. Journal of Nuclear Medicine *53*: 393-398, 2012.

Received April 29, 2015 Revised May 29, 2015 Accepted June 3, 2015