

Review

Second-line Treatment of Advanced Gastric Cancer: Current Options and Future Perspectives

PAWEŁ CHROM, RAFAL STEC and CEZARY SZCZYLIK

Department of Oncology, Military Institute of Medicine, Warsaw, Poland

Abstract. *Due to its high incidence and poor prognosis, gastric cancer is an important health problem worldwide. The only possible curative treatment is to remove the primary tumor at an early stage of the disease. However, at diagnosis, most patients have unresectable or metastatic disease. Relapse in patients after primary surgery is frequent. In these patients, the aim of treatment is to extend the duration of survival and to improve quality of life and this accomplished by systemic therapies. Regimens containing fluoropyrimidine and platinum agents, in combination with trastuzumab in patients with overexpression of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), are recommended as the first-line treatment. Unfortunately, all patients develop progressive disease, but at least half of them are eligible for further treatment. This article presents current possibilities and near-future developments of chemotherapy and molecular targeted-therapy in patients with advanced gastric cancer after failure of prior regimens containing fluoropyrimidine and platinum.*

Gastric cancer is the fifth most common type of cancer and the second leading cause of death from malignant disease worldwide. The highest incidence and mortality rates are reported in Asia, Eastern Europe and South America. It is most frequently diagnosed during the sixth and seventh decade of life and almost two-times more often in men than in women (1). At the time of diagnosis, patients with disease limited to the stomach were found to constitute 26%, patients with involved regional lymph nodes 29% and patients with distant metastases 35% of total number of patients. The 5-year relative survival rates in these groups were 64.1%, 28.8% and 4.2%, respectively

Correspondence to: Pawel Chrom, MD, Department of Oncology, Military Institute of Medicine, PO Box: 04141, Szaserow 128 st, Warsaw, Poland. Tel: +48 600057413, Fax: +48 226103098, e-mail: pawel.chrom@gmail.com

Key Words: Advanced gastric cancer, second-line, chemotherapy, molecular targeted therapy, review.

(2). Surgical resection of the tumor in the early stage of the disease is the only potentially curative method of treatment. However, due to early oligosymptomatic clinical course, most patients at diagnosis suffer from inoperable or metastatic disease. Moreover, recurrence occurs in half patients who undergo curative gastrectomy (3). In patients with advanced gastric carcinoma (AGC), palliative treatment is used to improve survival and quality of life and is based on chemotherapy and molecular-targeted therapies. Nowadays, regimens containing both fluoropyrimidine and platinum, combined with trastuzumab in 10-15% of patients who show overexpression of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), are widely used in the first-line setting (4-7). Unfortunately, all patients develop progressive disease after median of about six months but at least half of them are candidates for receiving second-line treatment (8, 9). Clinical and pathological prognostic factors in patients who may benefit further treatment were described. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status >1, hemoglobin level <11.5 g/dl, carcinoembryonic antigen level >50 ng/ml, ≥ 3 metastatic sites and time-to-progression (TTP) of the first-line treatment of less than 6 months were associated with worse prognosis. Patients with one or two such factors had two-fold higher, and patients with three to five such factors had three-fold higher risk of death than patients with none of these factors (10). The development of first-line treatment based on fluoropyrimidine and platinum agents forced the use of second-line regimens containing substances of previously unused groups. We present possibilities for second-line systemic therapy in these patients. Prospective studies that introduced various regimens into today's practice are listed in Table I. Novel agents and regimens that are now being investigated in phase III trials are listed in Table II.

Chemotherapy

Irinotecan-containing regimens. Irinotecan is an analog of the natural alkaloid, camptothecin. It inhibits the function of topoisomerase I which is essential in DNA replication. It is used as a single agent or, more frequently, is combined with other

Table I. Phase II/III trials in the second-line treatment in patients with advanced gastric cancer after failure of therapy based on fluoropyrimidine/platinum.

Author	No. of pts	Second-line	Third-line (%)	PFS/TTP (months)	OS (months)	ORR (%)	DCR (%)
Assersohn <i>et al.</i> (13)	38	IRI (180 mg/m ² D1) + LV (125 mg/m ² D1) + 5-FU (400 mg/m ² D1 + 1200 mg/m ² D1,2) q2w	NR	3.7	6.4	29	63
Kim <i>et al.</i> (14)	57	IRI (150 mg/m ² D1) + LV (100 mg/m ² D1) + 5-FU (1000 mg/m ² D1,2) q2w	NR	2.5	7.6	21	46
Giuliani <i>et al.</i> (17)	38	IRI (150 mg/m ² D1,15) + MMC (8 mg/m ² D1) q4w	NR	4.0	8	32	53
Hartmann <i>et al.</i> (32)	34	MMC (10 mg/m ² D1,22) + 5-FU (2600 mg/m ²) + FA (500 mg/m ²) D1,8,15,22,29,36 q7w	NR	3.3	7.2	27	56
Kodera <i>et al.</i> (26)	45	PXL (80 mg/m ² D1,8,15) q4w	NR	2.6	7.8	16	48
Lee <i>et al.</i> (29)	49	DXL (75 mg/m ²) q3w	NR	2.5	8.3	16	57
Leary <i>et al.</i> (16)	29	IRI (250 mg/m ² D1) + CP (2x1000 mg/m ² D1-14) q3w	NR	3.1	6.4	17	41
Hamaguchi <i>et al.</i> (18)	45	IRI (150 mg/m ²) + MMC (5 mg/m ²) q2w	NR	4.1	10.1	29	67
Thuss-Patience <i>et al.</i> (12)	21	IRI (250-350 mg/m ²) q3w	NR	2.5	4.0*	0	53
	19	BSC	NR	NE	2.4*	NE	NE
Kang <i>et al.</i> (31)	66	DXL (60 mg/m ²) q3w	40	NE	5.2	11	38
	60	IRI (150 mg/m ²) q2w	22	NE	6.5	8	43
	62	BSC	NR	NE	3.8	NE	NE
Fuchs <i>et al.</i> (34)	238	RAM (8 mg/kg) q2w	32	2.1*	5.2*	3	49*
	117	BSC	39	1.3*	3.8*	3	23*
Sym <i>et al.</i> (15)	29	IRI (150 mg/m ²) q2w	52	2.2	5.8	17	48
	30	IRI (150 mg/m ²) + LV (20 mg/m ²) + 5-FU (2000 mg/m ²) q2w	57	3.0	6.7	20	57
Hironaka <i>et al.</i> (27)	108	PXL (80 mg/m ² , D1,8,15) q4w	90	3.6	9.5	21	NR
	111	IRI (150 mg/m ²) q2w	72	2.3	8.4	14	NR
Ueda <i>et al.</i> (19)	22	MMC (5 mg/m ²) + IRI (150 mg/m ²) q2w	86	3.9	9.6	19	86
	24	IRI (150 mg/m ²) q2w	78	3.7	8.7	10	62
Miranda <i>et al.</i> (33)	39	MMC (10 mg/m ² D1) + CP (2x1000 mg/m ² D1-14) q3w	NR	2.8	5.6	10	44
Ford <i>et al.</i> (30)	84	DXL (75 mg/m ²) q3w	8	3.0	5.2*	7	53
	84	BSC	19	NE	3.6*	NE	NE
Wilke <i>et al.</i> (35)	330	RAM (8 mg/kg D1,15) + PXL (80 mg/m ² D1,8,15) q4w	48	4.4*	9.6*	28*	80*
	335	PXL (80 mg/m ² D1,8,15) q4w	46	2.9*	7.4*	16*	64*
Higuchi <i>et al.</i> (20)	64	IRI (60 mg/m ²) + CIS (30 mg/m ²) q2w	75	3.8*	10.7	22	75*
	66	IRI (150 mg/m ²) q2w	75	2.8*	10.1	16	54*
Satoh <i>et al.</i> (36)	132	PXL (80 mg/m ² D1,8,15) q4w + LAP (1500 mg) daily	55	5.5	11.0	27*	NR
	129	PXL (80 mg/m ² D1,8,15) q4w	55	4.4	8.9	9*	NR

PFS: Progression-free survival, OS: overall survival, ORR: objective response rate, DCR: disease control rate, TTP: time to progression, D: day, q: every, w: week, NR: not reported, NE: not evaluated, CIS: cisplatin, IRI: irinotecan, LV: leucovorin, 5-FU: 5-fluorouracil, MMC: mitomycin C, FA: folinic acid, PXL: paclitaxel, DXL: docetaxel, CP: capecitabine, RAM: ramucirumab, LAP: lapatinib, BSC: best supportive care, *statistically significant difference.

cytotoxic drugs. Irinotecan administered weekly at 125 mg/m² in patients after failure of previous therapy based on cisplatin and fluoropyrimidine showed decent efficacy [median TTP=2.6 months, median overall survival (OS)=5.2 months and objective response rate (ORR)=20%] with unacceptable hematological toxicity (grade 3-4 neutropenia, anemia and leukopenia were observed in 68%, 57% and 46% of patients, respectively) (11). In the study of Thuss-Patience *et al.*, irinotecan administered three-weekly at 350 mg/m² prolonged survival compared to best supportive care [4.0 vs. 2.4 months, hazard ratio (HR)=0.48, *p*=0.012] in patients with gastric (57%) or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) cancer (43%) who developed progression during

cisplatin-based therapy. Computed tomographic scans were performed only in the irinotecan-treated group, therefore progression-free survival (PFS) was not reported in the control arm. Adverse events of grade 3 or 4 were less frequent than in patients treated with a weekly schedule (diarrhea: 26%, leucopenia: 21%). Improvement in tumor-related symptoms was observed in 50% cases in the treatment arm and 7% in the control arm, however, no validated tool was used to assess it. Concomitantly, it was the first prospective trial that compared anti-neoplastic regimen with best supportive care only and showed that second-line chemotherapy is an effective strategy for AGC (12).

Table II. Ongoing phase III trials in the second-line treatment of advanced gastric cancer after failure of therapy based on fluoropyrimidine and platinum.

ClinicalTrials.gov identifier	Investigational arm	Control arm
NCT01641939 (47)	T-DM1	Taxane
NCT01813253 (50)	Nimotuzumab + irinotecan	Irinotecan
NCT01924533 (53)	Olaparib + paclitaxel	Placebo + paclitaxel
NCT02178956 (55)	BBI608 + paclitaxel	Placebo + paclitaxel
NCT01839773 (57)	DHP107	Paclitaxel
NCT01573468 (59)	Tesetaxel + capecitabine	Placebo + capecitabine
NCT01248403 (60)	Everolimus + paclitaxel	Placebo + paclitaxel

T-DM1: Trastuzumab emtansine, BBI608: cancer stem cell inhibitor, DHP107: oral paclitaxel.

In the study of Assersohn *et al.*, a bi-weekly combination of irinotecan and leucovorin plus bolus of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) followed by continuous intravenous infusion of 5-FU was applied to patients with unresectable esophageal (39%), GEJ (24%) or gastric cancer (37%) who failed prior therapy based on platinum. Fairly high activity (29% objective responses, median PFS reaching 3.7 months) was associated with neutropenia (26%), infections and lethargy (16% each) as most frequent grade 3 or 4 toxicities. Febrile neutropenia occurred in 5% of cases. The authors also showed that the treatment was associated with improvement in tumor-related symptoms (13). A similar regimen with lower doses of agents was tested by Kim *et al.* in patients with metastatic adenocarcinoma of the stomach who were previously treated with cisplatin and taxane. Among assessable patients, objective responses and stable disease were observed less frequently than in the study of Assersohn *et al.* but grade 3 and 4 toxicity was also lower (neutropenia: 11%, thrombocytopenia: 8%, febrile neutropenia: 3%) (14).

Sym *et al.* showed in a randomized phase II trial that addition of 5-FU and leucovorin to irinotecan in a bi-weekly schedule did not improve efficacy in terms of median PFS (2.2 months in monotherapy *vs.* 3.0 months in combined therapy, HR=1.20, $p=0.481$), median OS (5.8 *vs.* 6.7 months, HR=1.21, $p=0.514$) and ORR (17% *vs.* 20%, $p=0.525$). No significant difference was observed in grade 3-4 adverse events (21 *vs.* 28 cases, $p=0.067$). However, only 59 patients with metastatic GEJ or gastric adenocarcinoma participated in the study in both arms, hence any possible superiority of combined treatment over monotherapy could not be detected (15).

Irinotecan was also tested in combination with capecitabine, an oral prodrug of 5-FU, in patients with unresectable squamous cell carcinoma or adenocarcinoma of the esophagus (45%), GEJ (38%), or stomach (17%) who failed prior platinum-based chemotherapy. Efficacy of this therapy was similar to that of irinotecan plus 5-FU regimens. Improvement in disease-related symptoms was observed as was an increase in global and functional quality-of-life

scores. Most common grade 3 or 4 toxicities were neutropenia and lethargy (31% each) (16).

Regimens containing irinotecan and mitomycin C were assessed in few phase II trials in patients with AGC. In the study of Giuliani *et al.*, after failure of previous therapies including cisplatin and fluoropyrimidine, patients received biweekly irinotecan plus mitomycin C at a dose of 8 mg/m² every 4 weeks (17). Hamaguchi *et al.* used similar regimen but with mitomycin C at a dose of 5 mg/m² administered biweekly in patients with fluoropyrimidine-resistant disease (18). Median TTP/PFS reached 4 months and ORR was about 30% in both studies. Toxicity included mainly hematological disturbances, which happened more often in Hamaguchi *et al.*'s study. In a non-randomized trial, there was no significant increase in efficacy of biweekly regimen containing irinotecan plus mitomycin C than irinotecan alone, however, significant differences might not have been found due to a small number of patients who participated in the study. Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia (45% *vs.* 25%), anemia (36% *vs.* 4%), febrile neutropenia (14% *vs.* 8%), anorexia (14% *vs.* 8%) tended to be higher in patients receiving combined treatment (19).

Higuchi *et al.* conducted a randomized phase III study of biweekly irinotecan plus cisplatin *versus* irinotecan alone in patients with metastatic or recurrent gastric cancer refractory to S-1-based first-line chemotherapy, mainly combined with platinum. The median PFS was significantly higher for patients receiving combined therapy than those receiving irinotecan alone (3.8 *vs.* 2.8 months, HR=0.68, $p=0.0398$). However, there was no significant difference in median OS (10.7 *vs.* 10.1 months, HR=1.00, $p=0.9823$) or ORR (22% *vs.* 16%, $p=0.4975$). The incidences of grade 3 and 4 adverse events did not differ between the two groups. A total of 75% of patients in each group received third line-chemotherapy (20).

Taxane-containing regimens. Taxanes, paclitaxel and docetaxel bind microtubules of the mitotic spindle. This leads to inhibition of mitosis and directs the cell to apoptosis. Paclitaxel was used in monotherapy of AGC in a three-

weekly schedule at doses ranging from 200 to 225 mg/m² (21-23). However, pharmacokinetic studies and clinical reports for other types of neoplasms showed less toxicity and greater effectiveness of weekly administration of paclitaxel at lower doses (24, 25). Kodera *et al.* tested weekly paclitaxel at 80 mg/m² in patients whose disease was refractory to fluoropyrimidine and the reported median PFS was 2.6 months, while partial responses were observed in 16% of cases. The most frequent grade 3 or 4 toxicities were leukopenia and neutropenia, occurring in 18% and 16% of patients, respectively (26). Hironaka *et al.* performed a phase III study to compare weekly paclitaxel with bi-weekly irinotecan in patients with disease resistant to previous therapy containing fluoropyrimidine plus platinum. A total of 219 patients who were eligible for analysis underwent randomization in a 1:1 ratio. No statistically significant difference was found between paclitaxel- and irinotecan-treated groups for median PFS (3.6 vs. 2.3 months, HR=1.14, $p=0.33$), median OS (9.5 vs. 8.4 months, HR=1.13, $p=0.38$), and ORR (21% vs. 14%, $p=0.24$, respectively). Most common grade 3 or 4 adverse events were neutropenia (29% with paclitaxel vs. 39% with irinotecan) and anemia (21% vs. 30%, respectively). Patients in the irinotecan-treated group developed febrile neutropenia three times more often than patients in the paclitaxel-treated group (9% vs. 3%). It should be noted that patients recruited in the study were in good condition and had no severe peritoneal metastases, thus, most of them received third-line chemotherapy (27).

On the other hand, weekly docetaxel disappointed as second-line chemotherapy in AGC, according to the study of Graziano *et al.*, where patients treated with docetaxel administered at 36 mg/m² achieved a median OS of 3.6 months, with only one partial response (28). Meanwhile, Lee *et al.* justified the use of three-weekly docetaxel at 75 mg/m² in patients after failure of fluoropyrimidine plus platinum chemotherapy, but also reported a high frequency of febrile neutropenia (19%) related to this treatment (29). The same regimen was repeated in a randomized phase III study by Ford *et al.*, where patients in docetaxel arm achieved statistically significant improvement in median OS than patients in the active symptom control arm (5.2 vs. 3.6 months, HR=0.67, $p=0.01$). Moreover, patients treated with docetaxel reported less pain ($p=0.0008$), less nausea and vomiting ($p=0.02$) and less constipation ($p=0.02$). Incidence of grade 3 or 4 adverse events was higher in the treatment arm than in the control arm (neutropenia: 15% of patients vs. no patients, infection: 19% vs. 3%, febrile neutropenia: 7% vs. no patients, respectively) (30).

Kang *et al.* compared three-weekly docetaxel at 60 mg/m² versus biweekly irinotecan at 150 mg/m² versus best supportive care only in 188 patients with AGC with one or two prior chemotherapy regimens involving both fluoropyrimidine and platinum. The median OS was 5.3

months among the 133 patients in both treatment arms and 3.8 months among 69 patients in the observational arm (HR=0.657; $p=0.007$). However, no statistically significant difference in survival was found between the docetaxel-treated and irinotecan-treated groups (5.2 vs. 6.5 months, respectively; $p=0.116$) (31).

Mitomycin C-containing regimens. Mitomycin C, a natural antibiotic, is also a multifunctional alkylating agent. The drug inhibits DNA synthesis and cross-links DNA. Attempts to repair DNA lead to strand breaks. In addition to the previously mentioned combination with irinotecan, mitomycin C may be used with fluoropyrimidines for AGC. Hartmann *et al.* showed high activity but with average median TTP and OS of a regimen containing mitomycin C plus folinic acid and 5-FU in patients after one or two prior chemotherapies. Most common grade 3 or 4 adverse events were thrombocytopenia (16%), mucositis and diarrhea (9% each) (32). In the study by Miranda *et al.*, 5-FU was replaced by capecitabine. Efficacy was slightly lower than in the study of Hartmann *et al.* but the profile and frequency of grade 3 and 4 toxicities were similar (33). In these studies, the total dose of mitomycin C was limited to 50 mg/m² due to the risk of pulmonary fibrosis and hemolytic-uremic syndrome.

Molecular Targeted Therapy

Disturbances in genes and cellular signaling pathways observed in many types of malignancies are widely studied as therapeutic targets. Currently, ramucirumab, a fully human monoclonal antibody (IgG1) directed against vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) is the only molecular-targeted drug approved in the second-line therapy of gastric cancer. It was tested in two large, double-blinded phase III trials. In the REGARD study 355 patients with advanced gastric (75%) or GEJ carcinoma (25%) and disease progression after first-line platinum- or fluoropyrimidine-containing chemotherapy were randomly assigned in a 2:1 ratio to receive best supportive care plus either ramucirumab or placebo. Patients in the ramucirumab arm achieved significantly longer median PFS (2.1 vs. 1.3 months, HR=0.46, $p<0.001$) and median OS (5.2 vs. 3.8 months, HR=0.78, $p=0.047$) than patients in the placebo arm. Objective responses were low in both groups. Rates of adverse events were mostly similar for the two groups, except hypertension, which occurred more frequently in the ramucirumab arm than in the placebo arm (16% vs. 8%). After six weeks from treatment initiation, a larger proportion of patients in the ramucirumab group reported stable or improved global quality of life than those in the placebo group; however, this difference was not statistically significant (34). In the RAINBOW trial, 665 patients with advanced gastric (80%) or GEJ adenocarcinoma (20%) and disease progression on or

within 4 months after first-line chemotherapy (platinum plus fluoropyrimidine with or without an anthracycline) were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive paclitaxel plus either ramucirumab or placebo. The median OS was higher in the investigational arm than in the control arm (9.6 *vs.* 7.4 months, HR=0.81, $p=0.017$) as was the median PFS (4.4 *vs.* 2.9 months, HR=0.635, $p<0.001$). Moreover, ramucirumab plus paclitaxel was more active than placebo plus paclitaxel. Grade 3 and 4 adverse events were more common in the ramucirumab group than in the placebo group (neutropenia: 41% *vs.* 19%; hypertension: 14% *vs.* 2%; fatigue: 12% *vs.* 5%, respectively). Baseline and end-of-treatment results for global quality of life were similar in the treatment groups. Almost half of the patients in both arms received third-line treatment. However, this percentage was higher in the Asian population (nearly 70%) than in other populations (European, North and South American, almost 40%), thus, it could lead to longer survival of Asian patients than those in other regions (35).

Lapatinib, a dual-tyrosine kinase inhibitor which interrupts the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and HER2, was tested in Asian patients who were HER2 positive by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization after failure of first-line chemotherapy. A total of 261 patients randomized in a 1:1 ratio received lapatinib-plus-paclitaxel or paclitaxel-alone. No significant difference was found in median PFS and OS. However, in the subgroup analysis, better efficacy with lapatinib plus paclitaxel was demonstrated in patients with an immunohistochemistry (IHC) score 3+ compared with those with IHC score 0/1+ or 2+. It should be also noted that about 95% of patients in both arms did not receive trastuzumab in the first-line therapy (36).

Everolimus, an oral inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin was tested at 10 mg a day in a randomized phase III GRANITE-1 study. Compared with best supportive care, it did not improve outcomes for patients whose disease progressed after one or two lines of previous systemic chemotherapy (37).

Sunitinib, an oral multi-targeted receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor administered by standard schedule (50 mg a day for 4 consecutive weeks, then 2 weeks without drug) showed poor efficacy in two phase II trials (38, 39). In a randomized phase II study, sunitinib used at 37.5 mg daily with three-weekly docetaxel was not superior to docetaxel alone in terms of median TTP and OS (40).

Sorafenib, another oral multi-targeted receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, was rejected due to low response rates and no evidence of improvement in median PFS or OS, both as a single agent and in combination with oxaliplatin, according to phase II studies (41, 42).

Other molecular-targeted drugs, bevacizumab, cetuximab and panitumumab, also did not improve outcomes in the first-line therapy of AGC (43-45) and have not been widely studied in the second-line setting.

Novel Agents and Regimens

With median PFS ranging from 3 to 4 months and ORR not exceeding one-third of patients, current options for the second-line treatment of AGC remain insufficient. Thus, new agents and drug combinations with promising results in preclinical and phase I studies are being investigated in phase III trials.

Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1), an antibody–drug conjugate consisting of trastuzumab and cytotoxic agent DM1 is approved for advanced HER2-positive breast cancer in women whose disease is already resistant to trastuzumab (46). Now it is being evaluated in patients with HER2-positive gastric or GEJ adenocarcinoma who experience disease progression during or after fluoropyrimidine- and platinum- based first-line therapy. Prior therapy does not need to have included HER2-directed therapy. Patients are randomized to one of three arms: T-DM1 at 3.6 mg/kg every 3 weeks, T-DM1 at 2.4 mg/kg every week, or physicians choice of paclitaxel or docetaxel (47).

Nimotuzumab, a monoclonal antibody against EGFR, was found to be effective against squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (48). In a phase II study, nimotuzumab at 400 mg weekly plus biweekly irinotecan showed no superiority over irinotecan monotherapy in patients with AGC, however, an improvement in PFS, OS, and ORR was seen in subgroup of patients with EGFR overexpression (IHC score 2+ or 3+) (49). Based on these results, a phase III trial is being conducted in patients with EGFR-overexpressing advanced gastric or GEJ cancer who failed on first-line therapy consisting of 5-FU and platinum (50).

Olaparib, an inhibitor of poly ADP ribose polymerase (PARP), an enzyme involved in DNA repair, is approved for women with platinum-sensitive, recurrent, high-grade serous ovarian cancer and mutation of breast cancer gene (BRCA) (51). In a phase II study, patients with recurrent or metastatic gastric cancer who received second-line therapy consisting of olaparib and paclitaxel achieved increased survival when compared to patients receiving paclitaxel alone (13.1 *vs.* 8.3 months, HR=0.56; $p=0.010$), without a difference in median PFS (52). This led investigators to continue research in a placebo-controlled phase III trial in an Asian population (53).

BBI608 is an oral first-in-class cancer stem cell inhibitor which inhibits signal transducer and activator of transcription 3, β -catenin and Nanog transcription factor pathways. Encouraging antitumor activity of BBI608 plus paclitaxel was observed across several tumor types, particularly in patients with gastric and GEJ adenocarcinoma (54). A study to determine if weekly paclitaxel given together with twice daily BBI608 at 480 mg as second-line therapy will prolong survival compared to paclitaxel alone is currently recruiting (55).

In a phase II trial, DHP107, an oral paclitaxel, administered twice daily at 200 mg/m² was generally well tolerated and showed antitumor activity comparable to intravenous

paclitaxel as a second-line chemotherapy in AGC (56). Based on these results, a phase III study is ongoing (57).

Tesetaxel is a new oral taxane that is not a substrate for P-glycoprotein, a major cause of taxane resistance in tumor models. As a single agent, it is not associated with hypersensitivity and causes less neuropathy compared to standard taxanes without a decrease in antitumor activity (58). Combination of capecitabine at 1750 mg/m²/day on days 1-14 plus tesetaxel at 27 mg/m² once on day 1 or placebo in a 21-day cycle is now being investigated (59).

Conclusion

Recent years have greatly expanded our understanding over the palliative treatment of AGC after failure of first-line therapy based on fluoropyrimidine and platinum agents. Primarily, it was demonstrated that the continuation of systemic treatment in eligible patients prolongs survival and may improve quality of life when compared to best supportive care only. Sufficient antitumor activity and acceptable toxicity is observed in monotherapies with irinotecan, paclitaxel, docetaxel and ramucirumab and combination regimens containing irinotecan plus fluoropyrimidine, irinotecan plus mitomycin C, mitomycin C plus fluoropyrimidine, and ramucirumab plus paclitaxel. Significant increase in efficacy was shown only for ramucirumab plus paclitaxel compared with paclitaxel alone. Moreover, second-line treatment may improve a patient's quality of life and relieve disease-related symptoms. However, the efficacy of currently used therapies is still highly unsatisfactory. Ongoing phase III trials that focus on anti-HER2 and anti-EGFR therapies, PARP and cancer stem cell inhibitors, and oral taxanes may bring more efficient options in the near future.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1 Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D and Bray F: GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. Available from: <http://globocan.iarc.fr>, accessed on 08/01/2015.
- 2 Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program (www.seer.cancer.gov) Research Data (1973-2011), National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Surveillance Systems Branch, released April 2014, based on the November 2013 submission.
- 3 Roviello F, Marrelli D, de Manzoni G, Morgagni P, Di Leo A, Saragoni L and De Stefano A: Prospective study of peritoneal recurrence after curative surgery for gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 90(9): 1113-1119, 2003.
- 4 Kang YK, Kang WK, Shin DB, Chen J, Xiong J, Wang J, Lichinitser M, Guan Z, Khasanov R, Zheng L, Philco-Salas M, Suarez T, Santamaria J, Forster G and McCloud PI: Capecitabine/cisplatin *versus* 5-fluorouracil/cisplatin as first-line therapy in patients with advanced gastric cancer: a randomised phase III noninferiority trial. *Ann Oncol* 20(4): 666-673, 2009.
- 5 Cunningham D, Starling N, Rao S, Iveson T, Nicolson N, Coxon F, Middleton G, Daniel F, Oates J and Norman AR: Capecitabine and oxaliplatin for advanced esophagogastric cancer. *N Engl J Med* 358: 36-46, 2008.
- 6 Van Cutsem E, Moiseyenko VM, Tjulandin S, Majlis A, Constenla M, Boni C, Rodrigues A, Fodor M, Chao Y, Voznyi E, Risse ML and Ajani JA: Phase III study of docetaxel and cisplatin plus fluorouracil compared with cisplatin and fluorouracil as first-line therapy for advanced gastric cancer: a report of the V325 Study Group. *J Clin Oncol* 24(31): 4991-4997, 2006.
- 7 Bang YJ, Van Cutsem E, Feyereislova A, Chung HC, Shen L, Sawaki A, Lordick F, Ohtsu A, Omuro Y, Satoh T, Aprile G, Kulikov E, Hill J, Lehle M, Rüschoff J and Kang YK: Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy *versus* chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (ToGA): a phase III, open label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 376(9742): 687-697, 2010.
- 8 Lee J, Lim T, Uhm JE, Park KW, Park SH, Lee SC, Park JO, Park YS, Lim HY, Sohn TS, Noh JH, Heo JS, Park CK, Kim S and Kang WK: Prognostic model to predict survival following first-line chemotherapy in patients with metastatic gastric adenocarcinoma. *Ann Oncol* 18(5): 886-891, 2007.
- 9 Koizumi W, Narahara H, Hara T, Takagane A, Akiya T, Takagi M, Miyashita K, Nishizaki T, Kobayashi O, Takiyama W, Toh Y, Nagaie T, Takagi S, Yamamura Y, Yanaoka K, Orita H and Takeuchi M: S-1 plus cisplatin *versus* S-1 alone for the first-line treatment of advanced gastric cancer (SPIRITS trial): a phase III trial. *Lancet Oncol* 9(3): 215-221, 2008.
- 10 Catalano V, Graziano F, Santini D, D'Emidio S, Baldelli AM, Rossi D, Vincenzi B, Giordani P, Alessandrini P, Testa E, Tonini G and Catalano G: Second-line chemotherapy for patients with advanced gastric cancer: Who may benefit? *Brit J Cancer* 99(9): 1402-1407, 2008.
- 11 Chun JH, Kim HK, Lee JS, Choi JY, Lee HG, Yoon SM, Yoon SM, Choi IJ, Ryu KW, Kim YW and Bae JM: Weekly irinotecan in patients with metastatic gastric cancer failing cisplatin-based chemotherapy. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 34(1): 8-13, 2004.
- 12 Thuss-Patience PC, Kretzschmar A, Bichev D, Deist T, Hinke A, Breithaupt K, Dogan Y, Gebauer B, Schumacher G and Reichardt P: Survival advantage for irinotecan *versus* best supportive care as second-line chemotherapy in gastric cancer—a randomised phase III study of the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Internistische Onkologie (AIO). *Eur J Cancer* 47(15): 2306-2314, 2011.
- 13 Assersohn L, Brown G, Cunningham D, Ward C, Oates J, Waters JS, Hill ME and Norman AR: Phase II study of irinotecan and 5-fluorouracil/leucovorin in patients with primary refractory or relapsed advanced oesophageal and gastric carcinoma. *Ann Oncol* 15(1): 64-69, 2004.
- 14 Kim ST, Kang WK, Kang JH, Park KW, Lee J, Lee SH, Park JO, Kim K, Kim WS, Jung CW, Park YS, Im YH and Park K: Salvage chemotherapy with irinotecan, 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin for taxane- and cisplatin-refractory, metastatic gastric cancer. *Brit J Cancer* 92(10): 1850-1854, 2005.

- 15 Sym SJ, Hong J, Park J, Cho EK, Lee JH, Park YH, Lee WK, Chung M, Kim HS, Park SH and Shin DB: A randomized phase II study of biweekly irinotecan monotherapy or a combination of irinotecan plus 5-fluorouracil/leucovorin (mFOLFIRI) in patients with metastatic gastric adenocarcinoma refractory to or progressive after first-line chemotherapy. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* **71**(2): 481-488, 2013.
- 16 Leary A, Assersohn L, Cunningham D, Norman AR, Chong G, Brown G, Ross PJ, Costello C, Higgins L and Oates J: A phase II trial evaluating capecitabine and irinotecan as second-line treatment in patients with oesophago-gastric cancer who have progressed on, or within 3 months of platinum-based chemotherapy. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* **64**(3): 455-462, 2009.
- 17 Giuliani F, Molica S, Maiello E, Battaglia C, Gebbia V, Di Bisceglie M, Vinciarelli G, Gebbia N and Colucci G: Irinotecan (CPT-11) and mitomycin-C (MMC) as second-line therapy in advanced gastric cancer: A phase II study of the Gruppo Oncologico dell' Italia Meridionale (prot. 2106). *Am J Clin Oncol* **28**(6): 581-585, 2005.
- 18 Hamaguchi T, Shirao K, Ohtsu A, Hyodo I, Arai Y, Takiuchi H, Fujii H, Yoshida M, Saito H, Denda T, Koizumi W, Iwase H and Boku N: A phase II study of biweekly mitomycin C and irinotecan combination therapy in patients with fluoropyrimidine-resistant advanced gastric cancer: a report from the Gastrointestinal Oncology Group of the Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG0109-DI Trial). *Gastric Cancer* **14**(3): 226-233, 2011.
- 19 Ueda A, Hosokawa A, Ogawa K, Yoshita H, Mihara H, Ando T, Kajiura S, Fujinami H, Nishikawa J, Minemura M, Terada M, Kobayashi T, Horikawa N, Yabushita K, Note M and Sugiyama T: Non-randomized comparison between irinotecan plus mitomycin C and irinotecan alone in patients with advanced gastric cancer refractory to fluoropyrimidine and platinum. *Anticancer Res* **33**(11): 5107-5111, 2013.
- 20 Higuchi K, Tanabe S, Shimada K, Hosaka H, Sasaki E, Nakayama N, Takeda Y, Moriwaki T, Amagai K, Sekikawa T, Sakuyama T, Kanda T, Sasaki T, Azuma M, Takahashi F, Takeuchi M and Koizumi W: Biweekly irinotecan plus cisplatin *versus* irinotecan alone as second-line treatment for advanced gastric cancer: a randomised phase III trial (TCOG GI-0801/BIRIP trial). *Eur J Cancer* **50**(8): 1437-1445, 2014.
- 21 Ajani JA, Fairweather J, Dumas P, Patt YZ, Pazdur R and Mansfield PF: Phase II study of Taxol in patients with advanced gastric carcinoma. *Cancer J Sci Am* **4**(4): 269-274, 1998.
- 22 Yamada Y, Shirao K, Ohtsu A, Boku N, Hyodo I, Saitoh H, Miyata Y and Taguchi T: Phase II trial of paclitaxel by three-hour infusion for advanced gastric cancer with short premedication for prophylaxis against paclitaxel-associated hypersensitivity reactions. *Ann Oncol* **12**(8): 1133-1137, 2001.
- 23 Cascinu S, Graziano F, Cardarelli N, Marcellini M, Giordani P, Menichetti ET and Catalano G: Phase II study of paclitaxel in pretreated advanced gastric cancer. *Anticancer Drugs* **9**(4): 307-310, 1998.
- 24 Fennelly D, Aghajanian C, Shapiro F, O'Flaherty C, McKenzie M, O'Connor C, Tong W, Norton L and Spriggs D: Phase I and pharmacologic study of paclitaxel administered weekly in patients with relapsed ovarian cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **15**(1): 187-192, 1997.
- 25 Seidman AD, Berry D, Cirrincione C, Harris L, Muss H, Marcom PK, Gipson G, Burstein H, Lake D, Shapiro CL, Ungaro P, Norton L, Winer E and Hudis C: Randomized phase III trial of weekly compared with every-3-weeks paclitaxel for metastatic breast cancer, with trastuzumab for all HER-2 overexpressors and random assignment to trastuzumab or not in HER-2 nonoverexpressors: final results of Cancer and Leukemia Group B protocol 9840. *J Clin Oncol* **26**(10): 1642-1649, 2008.
- 26 Koda Y, Ito S, Mochizuki Y, Fujitake S, Koshikawa K, Kanyama Y, Matsui T, Kojima H, Takase T, Ohashi N, Fujiwara M, Sakamoto J and Akimasa N: A phase II study of weekly paclitaxel as second-line chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer (CCOG0302 Study). *Anticancer Res* **27**(4C): 2667-2671, 2007.
- 27 Hironaka S, Ueda S, Yasui H, Nishina T, Tsuda M, Tsumura T, Sugimoto N, Shimodaira H, Tokunaga S, Moriwaki T, Esaki T, Nagase M, Fujitani K, Yamaguchi K, Ura T, Hamamoto Y, Morita S, Okamoto I, Boku N and Hyodo I: Randomized, open-label, phase III study comparing irinotecan with paclitaxel in patients with advanced gastric cancer without severe peritoneal metastasis after failure of prior combination chemotherapy using fluoropyrimidine plus platinum: WJOG 4007 Trial. *J Clin Oncol* **31**(35): 4438-4444, 2013.
- 28 Graziano F, Catalano V, Baldelli AM, Giordani P, Testa E, Lai V, Catalano G, Battelli N and Cascinu S: A phase II study of weekly docetaxel as salvage chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer. *Ann Oncol* **11**(10): 1263-1266, 2000.
- 29 Lee JL, Ryu MH, Chang HM, Kim TW, Yook JH, Oh ST, Kim BS, Kim M, Chun YJ, Lee JS and Kang YK: A phase II study of docetaxel as salvage chemotherapy in advanced gastric cancer after failure of fluoropyrimidine and platinum combination chemotherapy. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* **61**(4): 631-637, 2008.
- 30 Ford HER, Marshall A, Bridgewater JA, Janowitz T, Coxon FY, Wadsley J, Mansoor W, Fyfe D, Madhusudan S, Middleton GW, Swinson D, Falk S, Chau I, Cunningham D, Kareclas P, Cook N, Blazeby JM and Dunn JA: Docetaxel *versus* active symptom control for refractory oesophagogastric adenocarcinoma (COUGAR-02): an open-label, phase III randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* **15**(1): 78-86, 2014.
- 31 Kang JH, Lee SI, Lim DH, Park KW, Oh SY, Kwon HC, Hwang IG, Lee SC, Nam E, Shin DB, Lee J, Park JO, Park YS, Lim HY, Kang WK and Park SH: Salvage chemotherapy for pretreated gastric cancer: a randomized phase III trial comparing chemotherapy plus best supportive care with best supportive care alone. *J Clin Oncol* **30**(13): 1513-1518, 2012.
- 32 Hartmann JT, Pintoffl JP, Al-Batran SE, Quetzsch D, Meisinger I, Horger M, Nehls O, Bokemeyer C, Königsrainer A, Jäger E and Kanz L: Mitomycin C plus infusional 5-fluorouracil in platinum-refractory gastric adenocarcinoma: an extended multicenter phase II study. *Onkologie* **30**(5): 235-240, 2007.
- 33 Miranda MB, Hartmann JT, Al-Batran SE, Kripp M, Gencer D, Hochhaus A, Hofheinz RD and Merx K: Mitomycin C and capecitabine in pretreated patients with metastatic gastric cancer: a multicenter phase II study. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* **140**(5): 829-837, 2014.
- 34 Fuchs CS, Tomasek J, Yong CJ, Dumitru F, Passalacqua R, Goswami C, Safran H, dos Santos LV, Aprile G, Ferry DR, Melichar B, Tehfe M, Topuzov E, Zalberg JR, Chau I, Campbell W, Sivanandan C, Pikiel J, Koshiji M, Hsu Y, Liepa AM, Gao L, Schwartz JD and Tabernero J: Ramucirumab monotherapy for previously treated advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (REGARD): an international, randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled, phase III trial. *Lancet* **383**(9911): 31-39, 2014.

- 35 Wilke H, Muro K, Van Cutsem E, Oh SC, Bodoky G, Shimada Y, Hironaka S, Sugimoto N, Lipatov O, Kim TY, Cunningham D, Rougier P, Komatsu Y, Ajani J, Emig M, Carlesi R, Ferry D, Chandrawansa K, Schwartz JD and Ohtsu A: Ramucirumab plus paclitaxel *versus* placebo plus paclitaxel in patients with previously treated advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (RAINBOW): a double-blind, randomised phase III trial. *Lancet Oncol* 15(11): 1224-1235, 2014.
- 36 Satoh T, Xu RH, Chung HC, Sun GP, Doi T, Xu JM, Tsuji A, Omuro Y, Li J, Wang JW, Miwa H, Qin SK, Chung IJ, Yeh KH, Feng JF, Mukaiyama A, Kobayashi M, Ohtsu A and Bang YJ: Lapatinib plus paclitaxel *versus* paclitaxel alone in the second-line treatment of HER2-amplified advanced gastric cancer in Asian populations: TyTAN--a randomized, phase III study. *J Clin Oncol* 32(19): 2039-2049, 2014.
- 37 Ohtsu A, Ajani JA, Bai YX, Bang YJ, Chung H-C, Pan HM, Sahmoud T, Shen L, Yeh KH, Chin K, Muro K, Kim YH, Ferry D, Tebbutt NC, Al-Batran SE, Smith H, Costantini C, Rizvi S, Lebwohl D and Van Cutsem E: Everolimus for previously treated advanced gastric cancer: results of the randomized, double-blind, phase III GRANITE-1 study. *J Clin Oncol* 31(31): 3935-3943, 2013.
- 38 Bang YJ, Kang YK, Kang WK, Boku N, Chung HC, Chen JS, Doi T, Sun Y, Shen L, Qin S, Ng WT, Tursi JM, Lechuga MJ, Lu DR, Ruiz-Garcia A and Sobrero A: A Phase II study of sunitinib as second-line treatment for advanced gastric cancer. *Invest New Drugs* 29(6): 1449-1458, 2010.
- 39 Moehler M, Mueller A, Hartmann JT, Ebert MP, Al-Batran SE, Reimer P, Weihrauch M, Lordick F, Trarbach T, Biesterfeld S, Kabisch M, Wachtlin D and Galle PR: An open-label, multicentre biomarker-oriented AIO phase II trial of sunitinib for patients with chemo-refractory advanced gastric cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 47(10): 1511-1520, 2011.
- 40 Yi JH, Lee J, Lee J, Park SH, Park JO, Yim DS, Park YS, Lim HY and Kang WK: Randomised phase II trial of docetaxel and sunitinib in patients with metastatic gastric cancer who were previously treated with fluoropyrimidine and platinum. *Br J Cancer* 106(9): 1469-1474, 2012.
- 41 Martin-Richard M, Gallego R, Pericay C, Garcia Foncillas J, Queralt B, Casado E, Barriuso J, Iranzo V, Juez I, Visa L, Saigi E, Barnadas A, Garcia-Albeniz X and Maurel J: Multicenter phase II study of oxaliplatin and sorafenib in advanced gastric adenocarcinoma after failure of cisplatin and fluoropyrimidine treatment. A GEMCAD study. *Invest New Drugs* 31(6): 1573-1579, 2013.
- 42 Sorafenib as a second line treatment in patients with advanced or metastatic gastric cancer. In: *ClinicalTrials.gov* [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2015 May 08]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT00595985>. NLM Identifier: NCT00595985.
- 43 Ohtsu A, Shah MA, Van Cutsem E, Rha SY, Sawaki A, Park SR, Lim HY, Yamada Y, Wu J, Langer B, Starnawski M and Kang YK: Bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy as first-line therapy in advanced gastric cancer: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III study. *J Clin Oncol* 29(30): 3968-3976, 2011.
- 44 Lordick F, Kang YK, Chung HC, Salman P, Oh SC, Bodoky G, Kurteva G, Volovat C, Moiseyenko VM, Gorbunova V, Park JO, Sawaki A, Celik I, Götte H, Melezínková H and Moehler M: Capecitabine and cisplatin with or without cetuximab for patients with previously untreated advanced gastric cancer (EXPAND): a randomised, open-label phase III trial. *Lancet Oncol* 14(6): 490-499, 2013.
- 45 Waddell T, Chau I, Cunningham D, Gonzales D, Okines AF, Okines C, Wotherspoon A, Saffery C, Middleton G, Wadsley J, Ferry D, Mansoor W, Crosby T, Coxon F, Smith D, Waters J, Iveson T, Falk S, Slater S, Peckitt C and Barbachano Y: Epirubicin, oxaliplatin, and capecitabine with or without panitumumab for patients with previously untreated advanced oesophagogastric cancer (REAL3): a randomised, open-label phase III trial. *Lancet Oncol* 14(6): 481-489, 2013.
- 46 Verma S, Miles D, Gianni L, Krop IE, Welslau M, Baselga J, Pegram M, Oh DY, Diéras V, Guardino E, Fang L, Lu MW, Olsen S and Blackwell K: Trastuzumab emtansine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 367: 1783-1791, 2012.
- 47 A Study of Trastuzumab Emtansine *versus* Taxane in Patients with Advanced Gastric Cancer. In: *ClinicalTrials.gov* [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2015 May 08]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01641939>. NLM Identifier: NCT01641939.
- 48 Reddy BK, Lokesh V, Vidyasagar MS, Shenoy K, Babu KG, Shenoy A, Naveen T, Joseph B, Bonanthaya R, Nanjundappa, Bapsy PP, Loknatha, Shetty J, Prasad K and Tanvir Pasha CR: Nimotuzumab provides survival benefit to patients with inoperable advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a randomized, open-label, phase IIb, 5-year study in Indian patients. *Oral Oncol* 50(5): 498-505, 2014.
- 49 Satoh T, Lee KH, Rha SY, Sasaki Y, Park SH, Komatsu Y, Yasui H, Kim TY, Yamaguchi K, Fuse N, Yamada Y, Ura T, Kim SY, Munakata M, Saitoh S, Nishio K, Morita S, Yamamoto E, Zhang Q, Kim JM, Kim YH and Sakata Y: Randomized phase II trial of nimotuzumab plus irinotecan *versus* irinotecan alone as second-line therapy for patients with advanced gastric cancer. [Published online ahead of print September 5, 2014]. *Gastric Cancer*, 2014.
- 50 Phase III Study of Nimotuzumab and Irinotecan as Second Line with Advanced or Recurrent Gastric and Gastroesophageal Junction Cancer. In: *ClinicalTrials.gov* [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2015 May 08]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01813253>. NLM Identifier: NCT01813253.
- 51 Ledermann J, Harter P, Gourley C, Friedlander M, Vergote I, Rustin G, Scott C, Meier W, Shapira-Frommer R, Safra T, Matei D, Macpherson E, Watkins C, Carmichael J and Matulonis U: Olaparib maintenance therapy in platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian cancer. *N Engl J Med* 366(15): 1382-1392, 2012.
- 52 Bang YJ, Im SA, Lee KW, Cho JY, Song E-K, Lee KH, Kim YH, Park JO, Chun HG, Zang DY, Fielding A, Rowbottom J and Kim WH: Olaparib plus paclitaxel in patients with recurrent or metastatic gastric cancer: A randomized, double-blind phase II study. *J Clin Oncol* 31: suppl, abstr 4013, 2013.
- 53 Efficacy and Safety Study of Olaparib in Combination With Paclitaxel to Treat Advanced Gastric Cancer. In: *ClinicalTrials.gov* [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2015 May 08]. Available from: <https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01924533>. NLM Identifier: NCT01924533.
- 54 Hitron M, Stephenson J, Chi KN, Edenfield WJ, Legett D, Li Y, Li W, Gada K and Li C: A phase 1b study of the cancer stem cell inhibitor BBI608 administered with paclitaxel in patients with advanced malignancies. *J Clin Oncol* 32: suppl 5s, abstr 2530, 2014.

- 55 A Study of BBI608 plus Weekly Paclitaxel to Treat Gastric and Gastro-Esophageal Junction Cancer (BRIGHTER). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2015 May 08]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT02178956>. NLM Identifier: NCT02178956.
- 56 Ryu MH, Ryoo BY, Kim TW, Kim SB, Lim HS, Bae KS, Park SR, Jo YW, Cho HJ and Kang YK: A phase I/II study of DHP107, a novel oral paclitaxel formulation, in patients with advanced solid tumors (phase I) and gastric cancer (phase II). *J Clin Oncol* 32: suppl 3, abstr 101, 2014.
- 57 A Phase III Study to Compare Efficacy and Safety of DHP107 Versus Taxol® in Patients with Metastatic or Recurrent Gastric Cancer after Failure of First-line Chemotherapy (DREAM). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2015 May 08]. Available from: <https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01839773>. NLM Identifier: NCT01839773.
- 58 Mulcahy MF, Baker JS, Sun W, Rha SY, Danesi H, Itri L and Ajani JA: Phase II trial of tesetaxel, an oral taxane, as second-line therapy for patients with advanced gastroesophageal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 30: suppl, abstr 4077, 2012.
- 59 Capecitabine/Tesetaxel *versus* Capecitabine/Placebo as Second-line Therapy for Gastric Cancer (TESEGAST). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2015 May 08]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01573468>. NLM Identifier: NCT01573468.
- 60 A Randomized, Double Blind Study Evaluating Paclitaxel With and Without RAD001 in Patients With Gastric Carcinoma After Prior Chemotherapy (AIO-STO-0111). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2015 May 08]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01248403>. NLM Identifier: NCT01248403.

Received May 12, 2015

Revised June 14, 2015

Accepted June 16, 2015