A New Predictive Tool for Optimization of the Treatment of Brain Metastases from Colorectal Cancer After Stereotactic Radiosurgery

DIRK RADES¹, MARKUS DAHLKE¹, NIKLAS GEBAUER², TOBIAS BARTSCHT², DAGMAR HORNUNG³, NGO THUY TRANG⁴, PHAM CAM PHUONG⁴, MAI TRONG KHOA^{4,5} and JAN GLIEMROTH⁶

Departments of ¹Radiation Oncology, ²Medical Oncology and Hematology, and ⁶Neurosurgery, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany; ³Department of Radiation Oncology, University Medical Center Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; ⁴Nuclear Medicine and Oncology Center, Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam; ⁵Department of Nuclear Medicine, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam

Abstract. Aim: To develop a predictive tool for survival after stereotactic radiosurgery of brain metastases from colorectal cancer. Patients and Methods: Out of nine factors analyzed for survival, those showing significance (p<0.05)or a trend ($p \le 0.06$) were included. For each factor, 0 (worse survival) or 1 (better survival) point was assigned. Total scores represented the sum of the factor scores. Results: Performance status (p=0.010) and interval from diagnosis of colorectal cancer until radiosurgery (p=0.026) achieved significance, extracranial metastases showed a trend (p=0.06). These factors were included in the tool. Total scores were 0-3 points. Six-month survival rates were 17% for patients with 0, 25% for those with 1, 67% for those with 2 and 100% for those with 3 points; 12-month rates were 0%, 0%, 33% and 67%, respectively. Two groups were created: 0-1 and 2-3 points. Six- and 12-month survival rates were 20% vs. 78% and 0% vs. 44% (p=0.002), respectively. Conclusion: This tool helps optimize the treatment of patients after stereotactic radiosurgery for brain metastases from colorectal cancer.

The liver is the most commonly affected organ in patients with metastatic colorectal disease (1-4). Brain metastases are less common and occur in 1%-23% of patients with colorectal cancer during the course of their malignant disease

Correspondence to: Professor Dirk Rades, MD, Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Ratzeburger Allee 160, 23538 Lübeck, Germany. Tel: +49 4515006661, Fax: +49 4515003324, e-mail: rades.dirk@gmx.net

Key Words: Colorectal cancer, stereotactic radiosurgery, cerebral oligo-metastases, survival, predictive tool, treatment optimization.

(5-7). The majority of these patients presents with multiple (more than three) cerebral lesions and receive whole-brain irradiation. The number of brain metastases was reported to be significantly associated with survival. In two studies of patients with brain metastases from a less radiosensitive tumor such as colorectal cancer, patients with up to three brain metastases had a significantly better survival than those patients with more than three lesions (8, 9). Thus, patients with 1-3 brain metastases may benefit from more intensive therapies including resection of the lesions or stereotactic radiosurgery (10-12). Many of these patients undergo stereotactic radiosurgery alone (without additional wholebrain irradiation) in order to minimize the risk of radiationrelated decline in neurocognition, which can occur several months following irradiation (13). The treatment following stereotactic radiosurgery should take into account an individual patient's specific situation, his personal needs and his survival prognosis. Therefore, it is very important to determine a patient's survival prognosis. This can be considerably facilitated with prognostic tools that should ideally be available for each tumor entity, since different tumor entities have different biology and prognoses. The present study was performed to develop a tool that allows for estimation of the survival prognosis of patients treated with stereotactic radiosurgery alone for brain metastases from colorectal cancer.

Patients and Methods

Nineteen patients treated with stereotactic radiosurgery alone for up to three brain metastases from colorectal cancer at two German University Hospitals between 2000 and 2014 were included in this study. In these patients, nine factors were retrospectively analyzed for potential associations with survival. These nine factors were: tumor type (rectal cancer *vs.* colon cancer), age (<66 *vs.* ≥66 years,

0250-7005/2015 \$2.00+.40 5515

median age=66 years), gender, Karnofsky performance score (70-80 vs. 90-100), number of brain metastases (1 vs. 2-3), maximum diameter of all brain metastases (\leq 15 mm vs. >15 mm), extracranial metastases (no vs. yes), interval from first diagnosis of colorectal cancer until radiosurgery (\leq 24 vs. >24 months), and radiosurgery dose (16-18 Gy vs. 20-22 Gy).

The survival analysis for each factor was performed with the Kaplan–Meier method and the log-rank test. The factors that achieved significance (p<0.05) or showed a strong trend (p<0.06) were included in the predictive tool for estimation of survival. For each of these factors, 0 points were assigned to the parameter associated with worse survival and 1 point to the parameter with better survival. The survival score for each patient represented the sum of the scores of the factors included in the predictive tool.

Results

The results of the survival analysis are summarized in Table I. In this analysis, the Karnofsky performance score (p=0.01)and the interval from the first diagnosis of colorectal cancer until radiosurgery (p=0.026) achieved significance, and extracranial metastases showed a strong trend (p=0.06) for being associated with survival. Therefore, these three factors were included in the predictive tool. The corresponding scoring points are given in Table II. The addition of the scoring points resulted in sums of 0 (n=6), 1 (n=4), 2 (n=6) and 3 (n=3) points. The 6-month survival rates were 17%, 25%, 67% and 100%, respectively, while the 12-month survival rates were 0%, 0%, 33% and 67%, respectively, and the median survival times were 2.0, 3.0, 10.5 and 18.0 months, respectively. According to the survival data associated with the total scores, two prognostic groups were created: those with 0 or 1 points (n=10), and those with 2 or 3 points (n=9). The survival rates were 20% vs. 78% at 6 months, and 0% vs. 44% at 12 months, respectively (p=0.002) while the median survival times were 2.0 and 11.0 months, respectively.

Discussion

Many patients with metastatic colorectal cancer have a poor survival prognosis. This applies particularly to patients with brain metastases. Therefore, much experimental, translational and clinical research has been and is being still performed for metastatic colorectal cancer (14-17). New combinations of anticancer drugs have been introduced (2-4). However, most systemic agents are not able to pass the blood–brain barrier. Therefore, radiotherapy is still the most frequently used treatment modality for brain metastases. In order to optimize the radiotherapy of cerebral metastases from colorectal cancer, personalized treatment strategies tailoring the therapy to individual situations are important. Such strategies need to take into account the patient's survival prognosis. For example, long-term intracerebral control and radiation-related late toxicities are less important in patients

with a very limited survival prognosis (18). In general, patients with up to three cerebral metastases live longer than patients with more than three lesions and, therefore, often receive stereotactic radiosurgery with whole-brain irradiation rather than whole-brain irradiation alone (10-12). However, a certain proportion of patients with 1-3 brain metastases have a poor survival prognosis, mostly due to the presence of visceral metastases (8, 9, 18). Since stereotactic radiosurgery may be associated with discomfort for patients, it may be questioned whether patients with a poor survival prognosis are really appropriate candidates for stereotactic radiosurgery. These patients may be better treated with short-course whole-brain irradiation alone or even best supportive care alone (18).

In order to choose the best treatment for an individual patient, a predictive tool for estimation of the remaining survival time would be of great benefit. In the current study, we created such a predictive tool for patients with no more than three brain metastases from colorectal cancer. In order to avoid selection bias caused by different types of treatment, only patients treated with stereotactic radiosurgery were included. According to the results of the study, the Karnofsky performance score and the interval from first diagnosis of colorectal cancer until radiosurgery were significantly positively associated with survival. In addition, the presence of extracranial metastases showed a strong trend for an association with poorer survival. These results mostly agree with the findings of previous studies including patients with brain metastases from colorectal cancer. In the study of Heisterkamp et al. in patients receiving whole-brain irradiation alone, survival was significantly associated with the Karnofsky performance score and extracranial metastases (8). In the studies of Meyners et al. (9) and Dziggel et al. (18) of patients receiving whole-brain irradiation for brain metastases from less radiosensitive tumors including colorectal cancer, the Karnofsky performance score and extracranial metastases had a significant impact on survival. The prognostic significance of the interval between the first diagnosis of colorectal cancer and radiotherapy has not yet been described for patients with brain metastases from colorectal cancer but for patients with cerebral metastases from other primary tumors (19, 20).

Based on the three factors Karnofsky performance score, interval from first diagnosis of colorectal cancer until radiosurgery, and presence of extracranial metastases, a predictive tool was designed to estimate the survival time of patients treated with stereotactic radiosurgery for brain metastases from colorectal cancer. Two prognostic groups were identified, one for patients with 0 or 1 point and another for those with 2 or 3 points. Only 20% of the patients with 0 or 1 point survived six months, and no patient of this group was alive at one year following stereotactic radiosurgery. Therefore, such patients may be candidates for a short course

Table I. Potential prognostic factors related to survival rates at 6 and 12 months.

	At 6 months (%)	At 12 months (%)	<i>p</i> -Value*
Tumor type			
Rectal cancer (n=5)	20	20	
Colon cancer (n=14)	57	21	0.22
Age			
<66 Years (n=9)	56	22	
≥66 Years (n=10)	40	20	0.75
Gender			
Female (n=6)	33	17	
Male (n=13)	54	23	0.86
Karnofsky performance score			
70-80 (n=10)	30	0	
90-100 (n=9)	67	44	0.010
Number of brain metastases			
1 (n=11)	55	27	
2-3 (n=8)	38	13	0.31
Maximum diameter of			
all brain metastases			
≤15 mm (n=9)	67	33	
>15 mm (n=10)	30	10	0.18
Extracranial metastases			
No (n=5)	100	40	
Yes (n=14)	29	14	0.06
Interval from first diagnosis of			
colorectal cancer until radiosurgery			
≤24 months (n=8)	25	0	
>24 months (n=11)	64	36	0.026
Radiosurgery dose			
16-18 Gy (n=12)	50	8	
20-22 Gy (n=7)	43	43	0.30

^{*}Significant factors and those showing a strong trend for association with survival are shown in bold.

of whole-brain irradiation or for best supportive care (18). Out of the patients who achieved scores of 2 or 3 points, 78% survived at least six months and almost half of the patients were alive at one year following stereotactic radiosurgery. Since 78% of the patients with 2 or 3 points died from extracranial metastatic disease and not from an intracerebral recurrence, intensification of systemic treatment is important for this group, whereas whole-brain irradiation in addition to stereotactic radiosurgery appears dispensable.

In conclusion, the present study provides a new predictive tool that allows for estimation of the survival prognosis of patients with up to three brain metastases from colorectal cancer treated with stereotactic radiosurgery. Patients with 0 or 1 point have a very poor survival and appear better-treated with short-course whole-brain irradiation or best supportive care following stereotactic radiosurgery. Patients with 2 or 3 points have significantly more favorable prognoses and

Table II. Significant prognostic factors and survival according to score.

	Score	Survival at 6 months (%)	Survival at 12 months (%)
Karnofsky performance score			
70-80 (n=10)	0	30	0
90-100 (n=9)	1	67	44
Extracranial metastases			
No (n=5)	1	100	40
Yes (n=14)	0	29	14
Interval from the first diagnosis of			
colorectal cancer until radiosurgery			
≤24 months (n=8)	0	25	0
>24 months (n=11)	1	64	36

should receive stereotactic radiosurgery plus intensive systemic treatment.

Conflicts of Interest

On behalf of all Authors, the corresponding Author states that there exist no conflicts of interest related to this study.

References

- 1 Tanaka K, Murakami T, Yabushita Y, Hiroshima Y, Matsuo K, Endo I and Koda K: Maximal debulking liver resection as a beneficial treatment strategy for advanced and aggressive colorectal liver metastases. Anticancer Res 34: 5547-5554, 2014.
- 2 Beppu T, Emi Y, Tokunaga S, Oki E, Shirabe K, Ueno S, Kuramoto M, Kabashima A, Takahashi I, Samura H, Eguchi S, Akagi Y, Natsugoe S, Ogata Y, Kakeji Y, Baba H and Maehara Y; Kyushu Study group of Clinical Cancer (KSCC): Liver resectability of advanced liver-limited colorectal liver metastases following mFOLFOX6 with bevacizumab (KSCC0802 Study). Anticancer Res 34: 6655-6662, 2014.
- 3 Akinwande O, Miller A, Hayes D, O'Hara R, Tomalty D and Martin RC: Concomitant capecitabine with hepatic delivery of drug-eluting beads in metastatic colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res 34: 7239-7245, 2014.
- 4 Agarwal A, Daly KP, Butler-Bowen H and Saif MW: Safety and efficacy of radiofrequency ablation with aflibercept and FOLFIRI in a patient with metastatic colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res 34: 6775-6778, 2014.
- 5 Kruser TJ, Chao ST, Elson P, Barnett GH, Vogelbaum MA, Angelov L, Weil RJ, Pelley R and Suh JH: Multidisciplinary management of colorectal brain metastases. A retrospective study. Cancer 113: 158-165, 2008.
- 6 Tan WS, Ho KS and Eu KW: Brain metastases in colorectal cancers. World J Surg 33: 817-821, 2009.
- Mege D, Ouaissi M, Fuks D, Metellus P, Peltier J, Dufour H, Regimbeau JM, Dahan L, Sielezneff I and Sastre B: Patients with brain metastases from colorectal cancer are not condemned. Anticancer Res 33: 5645-5648, 2013.

- 8 Heisterkamp C, Haatanen T, Schild SE and Rades D: Dose escalation in patients receiving whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases from colorectal cancer. Strahlenther Onkol 186: 70-75, 2010.
- 9 Meyners T, Heisterkamp C, Kueter JD, Veninga T, Stalpers LJ, Schild SE and Rades D: Prognostic factors for outcomes after whole-brain irradiation of brain metastases from relatively radioresistant tumors: a retrospective analysis. BMC Cancer 10: 582, 2010.
- 10 Rades D, Kueter JD, Hornung D, Veninga T, Hanssens P, Schild SE and Dunst J: Comparison of stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) alone and whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT) plus a stereotactic boost (WBRT+SRS) for one to three brain metastases. Strahlenther Onkol 184: 655-662, 2008.
- 11 Rades D, Veninga T, Hornung D, Wittkugel O, Schild SE and Gliemroth J: Single brain metastasis: whole-brain irradiation plus either radiosurgery or neurosurgical resection. Cancer *118*: 1138-1144, 2012.
- 12 Rades D, Kueter JD, Gliemroth J, Veninga T, Pluemer A and Schild SE: Resection plus whole-brain irradiation *versus* resection plus whole-brain irradiation plus boost for the treatment of single brain metastasis. Strahlenther Onkol *188*: 143-147, 2012.
- 13 Chang EL, Wefel JS, Hess KR, Allen PK, Lang FF, Kornguth DG, Arbuckle RB, Swint JM, Shiu AS, Maor MH and Meyers CA: Neurocognition in patients with brain metastases treated with radiosurgery or radiosurgery plus whole-brain irradiation: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol 10: 1037-1044, 2009.
- 14 Dziggel L, Segedin B, Podvrsnik NH, Oblak I, Schild SE and Rades D: A survival score for patients with brain metastases from less radiosensitive tumors treated with whole-brain radiotherapy alone. Strahlenther Onkol *190*: 54-58, 2014.

- 15 Rubio CA, Rodesjö M, Duvander A, Mathies M, Garberg L and Shetye J: p53 up-regulation during colorectal carcinogenesis. Anticancer Res 34: 6973-6979, 2014.
- 16 Gazouli M, Bouziotis P, Lyberopoulou A, Ikonomopoulos J, Papalois A, Anagnou NP and Efstathopoulos EP: Quantum dotsbevacizumab complexes for *in vivo* imaging of tumors. In Vivo 28: 1091-1095, 2014.
- 17 Melichar B, Kalábová H, Krčmová LK, Trivedi SV, Králíčková P, Malířová E, Pecka M, Študentová H, Zezulová M, Holečková P and Solichová D: Urinary neopterin concentrations during combination therapy with cetuximab in previously treated patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma. In Vivo 28: 953-959, 2014.
- 18 Lazarev I, Leibovitch L, Czeiger D, Sion-Vardi N, Geffen DB, Douvdevani A and Ariad S: Cell-free DNA blood levels in colorectal cancer patients do not correlate with mismatch repairproficiency. In Vivo 28: 349-354, 2014.
- 19 Bartscht T and Rades D: Predicting survival after whole-brain irradiation for cerebral metastases from prostate cancer. Anticancer Res 34: 4357-4360, 2014.
- 20 Dziggel L, Segedin B, Podvrsnik NH, Oblak I, Schild SE and Rades D: Validation of a survival score for patients treated with whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases. Strahlenther Onkol 189: 364-366, 2013.

Received May 20, 2015 Revised June 22, 2015 Accepted June 25, 2015