Review

# State of the Art in the Treatment of Laryngeal Cancer

FRIEDERIKE JENCKEL and RAINALD KNECHT

Department of Head and Neck Surgery and Otolaryngology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

**Abstract.** Nowadays clinics can offer patients a variety of different therapy options when larvngeal squamous cell carcinoma is diagnosed histologically. Depending on a variety of different factors, including tumor size, possible metastasis, health status and age of the patient, but also economic factors, a treatment schedule is established. Aim of every treatment decision should be organ larynx preservation and preservation of laryngeal function. The objective of this review is to give an overview of treatment modalities for laryngeal cancer, based not only on traditional but also on the latest studies regarding the treatment of this disease. Surgical approaches, as well as conservative treatment options, such as chemoradiotherapy, induction therapy and target therapy, are discussed. The optimal combination of surgical treatment and conservative treatment modalities need to be further investigated. Furthermore optimal laryngeal function as well as laryngeal preservation must be re-defined.

The treatment concepts of laryngeal cancer have greatly changed throughout the past decades. Treatment decisions depend on stage of disease and preferred local treatment approaches. Early (I-II) and advanced (III-IV)-stage disease need to be differentiated. Earlier stage disease is more likely treated by surgery or definitive irradiation with a curative intent. Depending on health status, operability and consideration of laryngeal preservation more advanced disease stages are usually treated with surgery, radio(chemotherapy),

This article is freely accessible online.

Correspondence to: Friederike Jenckel and Rainald Knecht, Department of Head and Neck Surgery and Otolaryngology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Martinistr. 52, 20246 Hamburg, Germany. E-mail: F.Jenckel@uke.uni-hamburg.de; R.Knecht@uke.uni-hamburg.de

Key Words: Laryngeal cancer, larynx preservation, organpreservating surgery, radiochemotherapy. or radiochemotherapy/ radioimmunotherapy. Treatment approaches have changed as the focus on quality of life has increased. Treatment strategies today are focused on surgical and non-surgical procedures that have the aim not only of preserving the anatomic organ, but more importantly, also its function. Organ as well as functional preservation plays an important role in the quality of life of the patient. The historical gold standard for advanced laryngeal tumors was total laryngectomy. This procedure is still a therapy option for advanced cancer of the larvnx, but today, conservative treatment modalities, including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, targeted molecular therapies and organ-preservating surgery are more often used to achieve organ preservation. Before making a treatment decision, a clear analysis for the tumor stage and the condition of each patient needs to be made and the aim should be a highly individualized therapy for each patient. In the present review, the possible treatment modalities for lowto high-stage laryngeal cancer are described.

## **Surgical Treatment**

Total laryngectomy. The treatment of laryngeal malignancies remains challenging for head and neck surgeons as the chosen treatment modality must oncologically be the optimal treatment option and at the same time the laryngeal function must be best preserved. In recent decades, total laryngectomy has lost its importance. For years it was the only treatment option for patients with advanced laryngeal cancer. But nonsurgical, multimodal approaches with the aim of laryngeal preservation have become more and more important so that total laryngectomy is the treatment of choice in only a few selected cases nowadays. Different surgical approaches exist to treat early and advanced stages of laryngeal cancer. Nevertheless, in some selected intermediate and advanced cases, functional organ preservation is not possible and a total laryngectomy becomes mandatory. Total laryngectomy was first performed by Billroth in 1873 and has been an effective surgery for advanced laryngeal cancer. However the permanent tracheostoma and the mutilation of vocal function

0250-7005/2013 \$2.00+.40 4701

in these patients have a significant impact on the patients' lives (1). Silver et al. concluded in a large review article from 2009 that total laryngectomy remains an important and efficient tool either as initial treatment or for salvage in laryngeal cancer (2). There are situations where total laryngectomy is the appropriate optimal initial treatment for advanced laryngeal cancer. This includes unreliable patients, patients in undeveloped or underserved countries, or in areas where sophisticated multimodality treatment and prolonged follow-up may not be available. This also includes patients who do not wish to or who are not physically able to undergo the ordeal of chemoradiation and the follow-up. They stated that the costs of alternative treatment options, such as combined therapy with concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CRT, including its toxicity) and salvage surgery (including its morbidity), could be too expensive for developing countries. CRT is an expensive regimen. In many underdeveloped countries it may be difficult to consider the routine use of CRT. Even in countries such as India or Brazil, total laryngectomy is often used due to cost-related issues (2). Total laryngectomy is also an important tool in the treatment of recurrent laryngeal cancer following initial non-surgical therapy, and for patients who do not respond to non-surgical treatment or who are not feasible for chemotherapy due to comorbidities. Patients without distant metastasis at recurrence and with resectable locoregional disease are candidates for salvage surgery. For these patients, the only feasible treatment with curative intent is often total laryngectomy (3-5). Persistent disease or local recurrence of the primary tumor occurs in approximately 30% of patients with advanced laryngeal cancer submitted to non-surgical organ-preserving treatments (6, 7). For these patients the best treatment is total laryngectomy.

Partial laryngectomy, open approach. Transcutaneous open partial laryngectomy is a valuable tool for the treatment of early and advanced laryngeal carcinomas. Especially when laryngeal overview is impossible using a laryngoscope, the open transcutaneous approach is a safe surgical technique. Open partial laryngectomies often offer superior overview and oncological safety at the anterior commissure, especially in recurrence (8). Many types of open-function preservation surgery have been contemplated to avoid total laryngectomy. Horizontal and vertical partial laryngectomy were supported by Piquet and Piquet (9) in France, Ogura and Dedo (10) in the US and Hiroto et al. (11) in Japan, and others after 1960. Nowadays, with advances in alternative non-surgical approaches, partial laryngectomy is seldomly incorporated for untreated early-stage cancer. Partial laryngectomy can be used for salvage surgery, when radiotherapy or chemotherapy has failed (12). Head and neck surgeons should be familiar with the surgical technique and perioperative care.

Vertical partial laryngectomies. The vertical partial laryngectomy is the grandfather of organ-preservating surgeries. This surgical technique has lasted over a century and clearly has real value. It is named vertical partial laryngectomy because the endolarynx is opened by a vertical incision through the thyroid cartilage near the anterior commissure. A number of variations of vertical partial laryngectomies have been described in the literature. The most common are the laryngofissur and cordectomy, vertical hemilaryngectomy (frontolateral vertical hemilaryngectomy, posterolateral hemilaryngectomy, extended hemilaryngectomy) and the epiglottic laryngoplasty (13). A feature common to all these procedures is vertical transection of the thyroid cartilage and resection of the glottis extended into the paraglottic space. This procedure is indicated for T1 and T2 tumors. However, in patients with vocal cord fixation invasion of the cricoarytenoid hemilaryngectomy should not be considered (14). With this technique the rate of local control and laryngeal preservation ranges between 82% and 95% for T1-T2 cases and the survival rate at five years is over 90% (15-16). In another study, conducted in France, the rate of local control in T1 cases was 91%, but decreased to 74% in cases with involvement of the anterior commissure and to 69% in T2 cases (17). The rate of local control and survival at five years were significantly lower for T3 cases, with local control rates between 73% and 85% (18-19). These results show that vertical partial laryngectomy is the therapy of choice only in selected cases. For many patients that could be treated by vertical partial laryngectomy, transoral laser surgery is the best therapy option. It has identical rates of local control, better voice quality and swallowing function, and lower complication rates (20).

Supraglottic laryngectomy. Alonso was a pioneer in the development of techniques for resection of supraglottic tumors (21-22). Supraglottic laryngectomy involves resection of the epiglottis, the bands, the arvepiglottic folds, the hyoid bone, the top of the thyroid cartilage and the contents of the pre-epiglottic space. The resection can be extended to include one arytenoid, the base of the tongue or the pyriform sinus. Depending on the extent of the lesion, standard supraglottic laryngectomy may be performed, sparing both arytenoids, both true cords, the tongue base and the hyoid bone. A variety of extensions of the standard procedure have been described in the literature. The rehabilitation process after surgery, depending on the extent of the resection, is often very long and complicated. Almost all patients need a feeding tube and a tracheostomy post-surgery. The indication for supraglottic laryngectomy is wider than for vertical partial laryngectomy. Not only can T1 and T2 tumors be treated by supraglottic laryngectomy, but also T3 and T4

Table I. Laryngeal-preservation und survival-rates in different surgical and conservative treatment regimens.

Trial (ref.)	Tumor-stage/ TNM	Approach	Laryngeal preservation rate	Disease- free survival rate	Overall survival rate
Johnson et al. (15) Thomas et al. (16)	T1-T2	Vertical partial laryngectomy	82-95%		>90%
Sevilla <i>et al.</i> (23) Herranz <i>et al.</i> (25-26) Sessions <i>et al.</i> (28)	Stage I-IV	Supraglottic laryngectomy	>85%		67-90%
Nakayama et al. (35)		Supracricoid partial laryngectomy	92%		85%
Peretti et al. (48, 52)	Tis-T3 Glottic cancer	Transoral laser surgery	97.1%	81.3%	87.5%
	Tis-T3 Supraglottic cancer		97.2%	88.3%	84.4%
Forastiere et al. (77)	Stage III-IV glottic or	Radiotherapy+induction chemotherapy	67.5%	20.4%	38.8%
	supraglottic cancer	Radiotherapy+concomitant chemotherapy	81.7%	21.6%	27.5%
		Radiotherapy-alone	63.8%	14.8%	31.5%
Levebvre et al. (90)	Stage III-IV larynx/	Induction chemotherapy+chemoradiotherapy	95%		92%
	hypopharynx cancer	Induction chemotherapy+bioradiotherapy	93%		89%

tumors affecting the pre-epiglottic space or one of the arytenoids, the pyriform sinus or the base of the tongue. On the other hand patients, with these large lesions still remain candidates for total laryngectomy. Furthermore, patients indicated for supraglottic partial laryngectomy need to have adequate pulmonary function. In general, the overall survival rate at five years after supraglottic laryngectomy is comparable to that obtained with total laryngectomy, ranging between 67% and 90%. This rate is over 85% for patients in stages I and II, between 75% and 80% for stage III, and between 55% and 70% for patients with stage IV tumors (23-30). The organ preservation rates with this surgical technique are very good. Overall laryngeal preservation rates are described as being over 85%. Furthermore, the functional results after this surgery are good: over 90% of patients can be decannulated and take oral food orally (23, 25, 26, 28). In conclusion, it can be stated that supraglottic laryngectomy is an acceptable surgical technique for selected cases of advanced and intermediate supraglottic cancer. Nevertheless, conventional supraglottic laryngectomy can be replaced by transoral laser surgery in many cases. The functional and oncological results of these two surgical techniques can be compared, but the endoscopic approach of transoral laser surgery offers functional advantages (31).

Supracricoid partial laryngectomy (SCL). SCL was first-reported by Majer and Rieder (32) and popularized by Laccoureye (33) after the 1970s. With this surgical technique one-fourth of the uninvolved larynx is saved. There are two forms of supracricoid partial laryngectomy: the cricohyoidoepiglottopexy (CHEP) and the cricohyoidopexy. The SCL in general is a more radical surgical means of laryngeal preservation in laryngeal cancer. During supracricoid partial

laryngectomy, the following structures are resected: the vocal folds, the bands, the aryepiglottic folds, the epiglottis, the part of the subglottis corresponding to the upper surface of the cricoid cartilage, the thyroid cartilage and the contents of the preglottic and paraglottic spaces. The resection may include one arytenoid but must preserve the hyoid bone. Depending on the involvement of the epiglottis, either a CHEP or a cricohyoidopexy is performed. SCL-CHEP has been reported to be beneficial in local control over conventional partial laryngectomy (34). SCL can also be employed as a salvage surgery following failure of concurrent chemoradiotherapy. In their review of 73 patients treated by SCL-CHEP over 14 years, Nakayama et al. reported 5-year larynx preservation rates and overall survival rates to be 92% and 85%, respectively. Oncological and functional outcomes were satisfactory and did not vary between irradiated and non-irradiated patients (35). In a large review article, Silver et al. concluded that in selected cases, SCL could be an alternative to a total laryngectomy (2). Hartl et al. questioned the best organ-preserving strategy for T3 and T4 glottis cancer. Again, SCL was mentioned as a possible alternative to total laryngectomy for selected T3 and T4a cases (36). In another report SCL was considered in 41 patients, but only 17 patients had pT3 disease. In this report the 5-year laryngoesophageal dysfunction-free survival for patients with T2-T3 tumors was 60.6% (37). After SCL, an intensive rehabilitation over weeks is needed until speech and swallowing is restored. However, voice quality is substantially different after the operation. Between 80% and 90% of patients are expected to recover swallowing function within the first year (38).

Endoscopic approach. In the past decade, endoscopic partial resection of the larynx has developed to be an accepted approach in the treatment of early laryngeal carcinoma. In

comparison, the use of open surgery has remarkably declined and the functional results of endoscopic procedures are often superior to open approaches with less morbidity.

Transoral robotic surgery. Robotic surgery as a surgical technique has become more and more popular. It has also gained importance in head and neck surgery as so called transoral robotic surgery (TORS). The main advantages of this robot-assisted surgery are its three-dimensional visualization and the possibility of performing the surgery with two or three hands over a very small approach. One advantage compared to transoral laser surgery is that there is a better view of the surgical field due to a wider angle of vision and wide-angle lenses and not a straight view as with the microscope during laser surgery. Another advantage of the system is that it allows the surgeon to perform very precise and tremor-free movements. The surgical field is visualized in 3D-dimension on the console, where the surgeon performs the surgery. The robotic system allows work in a very limited and deep space that generally cannot be achieved using non-robotic instruments. Not many studies have been published yet about TORS in laryngeal cancer. Studies have been performed showing that TORS is a feasible instrument to approach the oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, supraglottis and glottis. Of course, long-term oncological results are still lacking. But the preliminary results of this surgical technique are encouraging. In fact some clinics have shown that transoral robotic surgery can be successfully established, offering excellent clinical results. In a multicenter study of Weinstein et al. in 2012, the safety, feasibility and the adequacy of surgical margins for TORS were determined (39). In this study, 177 patients were included, most with tumors arising in the oropharynx (78%) and larynx (15%). The tumor sizes ranged between T1 to T4 and the average follow-up was 345 days. The results were satisfactory. There was no intraoperative death during surgery or in the intermediate postoperative period. There was little blood loss during surgery (83 ml) and the rate of positive margins was only 4.3%. Tracheostomy was performed in 12.4% of all patients, but only 2.3% had a tracheostomy at the last follow-up. 5.0% needed percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy and the average hospital stay was 4.2 days. There have only been a few more studies showing the feasibility of TORS in laryngeal cancer. In a small trial of four patients with glottic carcinoma Park showed that the application of TORS for partial laryngectomy is technically feasible and safe (40). Before that, in 2007, Weinstein et al. were the ones first to publishe the results of transoral supraglottic partial laryngectomy with robotic instrumentation in three patients with supraglottic carcinoma (41). At that time, they already concluded that "TORS is a feasible and relatively safe surgical system for partial supraglottic laryngectomy. It provides excellent

surgical exposure that allows for complete tumor resection. Moreover it provides an alternative to open approaches and conventional transoral supraglottic partial laryngectomy. The role of TORS in the treatment of laryngeal cancer must be further investigated. Functional and oncological results after robotic surgery on laryngeal cancer remain to be seen. It is certain that TORS is an appropriate alternative for other endoscopic approaches, such as transoral laser surgery, for early-stage laryngeal cancer.

Transoral laser surgery. In recent years, the surgical treatment of laryngeal cancer has evolved towards transoral resections, especially towards transoral laser microsurgery (TLM). TLM offers precise cutting and coagulation capabilities, controlled by microscopic view and moreover this surgical technique leads to very good oncological and functional outcomes. TLM and supracricoid partial laryngectomy have emerged as function-preserving approaches for patients with laryngeal cancer. TLM is performed using a line-of-sight CO<sub>2</sub>-laser and a microscope. Steiner and Ambrosch (42), Rudert et al. (43) and other surgeons (44-46) were the pioneers of transoral laser surgery and their work evolved into today's radically more complex resections of advanced tumors. Since then, the laser surgical technique has greatly developed. Even large tumors can be operated by transoral laser surgery, since the tumor can be followed across traditional anatomic boundaries to assess for depth of invasion and margins and by cutting it into pieces it can be removed. The treatment of early glottic cancer (T1 and T2) is discussed controversly. There are centers that prefer radiotherapy and that consider radiotherapy as the standard therapy for early glottic cancer. There are some disadvantages in this way of treatment. For example, edema and histological sequelae of radiotherapy impair both visual and histological examination in the post-treatment period (47). This may result in delay of diagnosis of recurrent disease and may increase the chance that surgical salvage would require for total laryngectomy. An advantage of radiotherapy in early lesions is that it preserves a highquality voice without compromising the chance for a cure. Nevertheless many centers treat selected patients with T1 and T2 tumors with laser excision, despite the occasional poorer outcome in terms of voice quality. Many studies report good functional and oncological results after treatment of earlystage laryngeal carcinoma by laser surgery. Peretti, in their study of 595 patients undergoing transoral laser surgery for Tis-T3 glottic cancer, found an overall survival of 87.5%, disease-specific and disease-free survivals of 99% and 81.3% and local control of 92.7% (48). Locoregional rates, regional control and organ preservation rates were 98.9%, 98.2% and 97.1%, respectively. Univariate analysis showed a significant impact of pT category on local control with laser, organ preservation, locoregional and regional control. The voice

after laser surgery is, in most cases, especially for early lesions, not worse than the preoperative voice. A major advantage of TLM is that the excision can usually be performed in one session.

Steiner (49) and Hinni (50) reported excellent results even for large larvngeal lesions, even when the tumors involved the arytenoid, the ventricle or the anterior commissure. This definitely applies only to selected cases. Histological confirmation of the tumor margin is necessary to ensure removal of the disease and close follow-up is required. The treatment of supraglottic cancer by CO<sub>2</sub>-laser was first described by Vaughan in 1978 (51). Since then many reports have been published about this surgical endoscopic approach to treat supraglottic laryngeal cancer. For example Ambrosch reported on 48 patients with T1 and T2 supraglottic cancer treated with TLM. The 5-year local control rate was 100% for those with T1-carcinomas and 89% for those with T2carcinomas (92). More recently, Peretti published a study on 80 patients with Tis-T3 supraglottic squamous cell carcinoma. All underwent transoral laser surgery. The 5-year overall, disease-specific and disease-free survival, as well as local control with laser alone and organ-preservation rates were 84.4%, 97.4%, 88.3 %, 96% and 97.2%, respectively. Univariate analysis showed a statistically significant impact on disease-free survival and local control with laser alone. The results of this study showed the good overall oncologic outcomes obtained by transoral laser surgery for Tis, T1, T2 and selected T3 supraglottic cancer (52). Another study, published by Gonzalez-Marquez et al. in 2012 showed comparable results for laser surgery of supraglottic larynx carcinomas (53). Forty-nine patients with supraglottic carcinomas underwent transoral laser surgery as surgical treatment. Low-stage and high-stage tumors were included in this study and 13 patients received postoperative radiotherapy. Three- and five-year disease-specific survival rates were 93.2 and 82.2%. The most frequent complication after surgery was aspiration (16.32%). In conclusion, these studies show that transoral laser surgery is a safe treatment option for supraglottic cancer, with a low morbidity rate and good functional results. The smaller the tumor, the better the functional and oncological outcome.

### **Conservative Treatment**

Radiotherapy. During the past decades, treatment of laryngeal cancer has changed substantially, mainly due to the advent of novel approaches such as combined modality therapy, as well as improvements in radiotherapeutic techniques. Radiotherapy is a treatment option for especially small tumors of the larynx. High rates of local control and laryngeal function preservation have been shown for patients with early glottis tumors (T1a) using radiotherapy. The reported rates of local control with radiotherapy-alone range from 84% to 95% (54-73). In more

advanced glottic carcinomas (T2) the local control rates in retrospective studies ranged between 50% and 85%. If there is impaired vocal fold mobility in cases with T2 tumors, the local control rates are worse than for cases of tumors with normal vocal fold mobility. Special cases among the small glottis carcinomas are tumors that infiltrate the anterior commissure. There are many studies that dealed with this kind of tumor. For example Bron et al. (74) and Zohar et al. (75) both found that surgery provided better initial control than radiotherapy. In contrast, Rucci et al. (76) retrospectively compared their surgical and radiotherapy cohorts of tumors with anterior commissur-involvement and found that surgery as first-line treatment provided significantly better local control (86% versus 74%), but for pure anterior commissure cancers, radiotherapy provided better initial local control, although salvage surgery was less effective after radiotherapy. The higher-classification tumors (T3/T4) can also be treated by radiotherapy. There are no studies yet that directly compare organ-preservating surgery with non-surgical organ-preservating protocols for advancedstage laryngeal tumors. But there are studies to show the advantages of combined therapy modalities (radiochemotherapy, induction chemotherapy and radiation, radiotherapy with cetuximab) compared to radiotherapy-alone in advanced laryngeal cancer.

Concurrent chemo-radiotherapy and induction chemotherapy. The Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 91-11 study (77, 78) reported in their trial from 2003 that concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy were superior to sequential therapy or radiotherapy-alone for achieving local and regional control when applied to stage III or stage IV larvngeal cancer. 547 patients with T2, T3, or low-volume T4 tumors were randomly assigned to one of the three study groups. After two years, the proportion of patients who had an intact larvnx after radiotherapy with concurrent chemotherapy (88%) was significantly higher than the proportions in the groups receiving sequential therapy (75%, p=0.005) or radiotherapy alone (70%, p<0.001). The rate of loco-regional control was also significantly higher with radiotherapy and concurrent cisplatin (78 versus 61% with induction chemotherapy followed by radiotherapy and 56% with radiotherapy alone). The 5-year results (78) differ from the 2-year analysis by a signifcant improvement in laryngectomy-free survival now seen for both sequential therapy and radiotherapy with concurrent chemotherapy treatments compared radiotherapy-alone. The 10-year results of this study, published in 2012, show that induction therapy with cisplatin/fluorouracil followed by radiotherapy concomitant CRT show similar efficacy for the composite end-point of laryngectomy-free survival. Locoregional control and laryngeal preservation were significantly improved with concomitant cisplatin/ radiotherapy compared with the induction therapy or radiotherapy alone (84). For the endpoints of laryngeal preservation and locoregional control, radiotherapy with concurrent chemotherapy is still the superior treatment with no advantage seen over the addition of induction chemotherapy to radiation alone and moreover there was no improvement on the rate of distant metastasis. The morbidity of non-surgical treatments of laryngeal cancer however, was significant in this trial, and associated with a 3% risk of treatment-related death. The authors of the RTOG 91-11-study concluded after publishing their long-term results, after 10 years, that new strategies for improving organ preservation and organ function are needed. The (EORTC) 24954 trial compared alternating CRT and induction chemotherapy but there was no significant difference between arms regarding survival and laryngeal preservation. The use of neoadjuvant or induction chemotherapy followed by concomitant CRT has been investigated with the aim of increasing survival and larvngeal preservation. Initially cisplatin and 5-fluoruracil were the main components of induction chemotherapy, mostly consisting of three cylces. Patients with residual disease after this induction received salvage surgery. So-called responders proceeded to concomitant chemo-radiotherapy (79). Mantz added leucovorin and interferon-alpha 2b to the induction scheme. Concomitant CRT consisted of seven or eight cycles of 5-fluouracil, hydroxyurea, and a total radiotherapy dose of 70 Gy. Out of 32 laryngeal cancer patients with predominantly stage IV disease, complete remission was observed in 59%. At five years, overall survival was 47%; locoregional control was achieved in 78% of patients. Voice preservation with disease control was 75% at five years. Only two larvngectomies were performed during treatment and follow-up and no distant metastases were observed. Treatment-related toxicity accounted for two deaths (80). However these results lack formal comparison with randomized or historical controls. The authors concluded that the regimen resulted in high rates of disease cure and voice preservation in a group of patients that has traditionally done poorly in both clinical and functional outcome. The GORTEC 2000-01 trial is a recently published French trial which compared a more intensive induction chemotherapy regimen: docetaxel was added to the conventional cisplatin/5fluoruracil regimen. With a median follow-up of 36 months, the 3-year laryngeal preservation rate of around 70% was significantly higher with the triplet induction chemotherapy (TPF) than with the doublet (PF) (81). Patients in the TPF group had more severe neutropenia, whereas patients in the PF group had more stomatitis, thrombocytopenia, and creatinine elevation. The overall response was 80.0% in the TPF group versus 59.2% in the PF group. The authors concluded that in patients with advanced laryngeal and hypopharyngeal carcinomas, TPF induction chemotherapy was superior to the PF regimen in terms of overall response rate. These results suggest that laryngeal preservation could

be achieved for a higher proportion of patients. Nevertheless, there was no significant difference in survival rates. Posner also showed in locally advanced laryngeal and hypopharyngeal cancer that sequential therapy with induction TPF significantly improved survival and progression-free survival versus PF. Among operable patients, TPF also significantly improved laryngectomy-free and progressionfree survival. They suggested the use of sequential TPF followed by carboplatin CRT as a treatment option for organ preservation or to improve survival in locally advanced laryngeal and hypopharyngeal cancer (82). The European TAX 323 study group (EORTC 24971) (83) also compared TPF with PF as induction chemotherapy in patients with locoregionally-advanced, unresectable disease. Because of the unresectable disease status this was not an organ-preservation study, but the median progression-free survival at a median follow-up of 32.5 months was 11.0 months in the TPF group in comparison to 8.2 months in the PF group. Treatment with TPF resulted in reduction in the risk of death of 27% (p=0.02), with a median overall survival of 18.8 months as compared with 14.5 months in the PF group. As compared to the standard regimen of cisplatin and fluorouracil, induction chemotherapy with the addition of docetaxel significantly improved progression-free and overall survival in these patients. If failure of these mentioned non-surgical treatments occurred, surgical total larvngectomy would be indicated. Salvage laryngectomy has been associated with an increased risk of wound complications in comparison to those performed before radiation.

Target therapy. EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) inhibition is a strategy focusing on molecular targets. EGFR and its ligands are critical proteins in the development and survival of epithelial tissue. Squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck in particular, tend to express high levels of EGFR. Inhibition of EGFR signaling by small molecules, monoclonal antibodies or antisense oligonucleotides has demonstrated important effects in different models of these cancer types (85). Cetuximab, a monoclonal antibody against EGFR, and small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor, have yet to be proven effective in clinical applications. The publication of a randomized controlled trial of radiotherapy with and without concomitant cetuximab showing significantly improved overall survival (55% versus 45% at three years, p=0.03) led to the FDA approval of cetuximab in combination with radiotherapy for the primary treatment of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (86). The median duration of locoregional control was 24.4 months among patients treated with cetuximab plus radiotherapy and 14.9 months among those given radiotherapy alone. These results were most prominent in patients with oropharyngeal primary tumors and with little difference in outcome for patients with laryngeal or hypopharyngeal cancer. In the Tremplin

randomized phase II study, published in 2013, the efficacy and safety of induction chemotherapy followed by CRT or bioradiotherapy for laryngeal preservation was analyzed and 153 patients were enrolled in this study. The results showed, that there was no evidence that one treatment was superior to the other. There was no significant difference in laryngeal preservation at three months and no difference in overall survival at 18 months between the two arms. There were fewer local treatment failures in the chemoradiation arm, but salvage surgery was only feasible in the bioradiotherapy arm (91). This is one of a number of clinical trials that are in progress evaluating combinations of cetuximab and cisplatin-based chemotherapy as induction or concomitant CRT.

#### Conclusion

During the past decades paradigms in the treatment of laryngeal cancer have changed. There is a trend for decline in use of open surgery (87). There is yet no randomized trial of organ preservation in advanced laryngeal cancer that shows improved survival with nonsurgical treatment (77, 88). New CRT strategies are offered as alternative treatment options to improve quality of life for patients with laryngeal cancer in the form of laryngeal preservation. The discussion about laryngeal preservation remains a controversial discussion because its benefits should be well balanced with cancer control and possible adverse events. The terminology of laryngeal preservation has not yet been clearly defined. A very simple definition for laryngeal preservation is for example a larynx without tumor, tracheotomy, or use of a feeding tube (79). Anatomic organ preservation in a patient who will remain tracheotomy tube- and gastrostomy tubedependent is senseless. But some studies only consider laryngeal preservation as "larynx in place" without taking other data such as tracheotomy or the need for a feeding tube into consideration. The function of the larynx is often neglected and only few studies have included survival as the primary end-point.

In 2006, a multidisciplinary expert panel extensively reviewed the literature and developed evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of laryngeal cancer with the intent of preserving the larynx (either the organ itself or its function) (89). They pointed out that the use of laryngeal-preservating approaches for appropriately selected patients should be without any compromise in survival.

However, no laryngeal-preservating approach (either surgical or non-surgical) offers a survival advantage compared to total laryngectomy and adjuvant therapy with rehabilitation. Their recommendation for patients with T1 or T2 laryngeal cancer, with rare exception, is an initial treatment with intent to preserve the larynx. For most patients with T3 or T4 disease without tumor invasion through cartilage into soft tissues, a laryngeal-preservating approach is an appropriate, standard

treatment option, and concurrent CRT is the most widely applicable approach (89). Nevertheless, the comparison between induction chemotherapy and concomitant CRT show that locoregional control and laryngeal preservation were significantly improved with concomitant cisplatin/radiotherapy compared with induction therapy or radiotherapy alone (84). For the end-points of laryngeal preservation and locoregional control, radiotherapy with concurrent chemotherapy is still the superior treatment, with no advantage seen over the addition of induction chemotherapy to radiation alone, moreover, there is no improvement in the rate of distant metastasis (84). In the study of Forastiere, where the long-term results of RTOG 91-11 are published, no differences in late toxicity, speech or swallowing function were demonstrated, but there was an increase in deaths unrelated to cancer in patients who received concomitant cisplatin/radiotherapy compared to induction therapy or radiotherapy alone. With this in mind, induction chemotherapy should still be considered experimental and performed only within the setting of clinical trials (90). It is not accepted that concurrent CRT is the only standard of treatment for advanced laryngeal cancer, but is an alternative to total laryngectomy since concurrent CRT has not yet been directly compared to total laryngectomy in studies. To ensure an optimum outcome for the patient, special expertise and a multidisciplinary team are necessary, and the team should always discuss with the patient the advantages and disadvantages of laryngeal-preservating options compared with treatments that include partial and total laryngectomy. Treating physicians must re-define their treatment recommendations constantly, since many new treatment variables, such as chemotherapy, robotic surgery and biomarkers, are offered.

#### References

- 1 Terrell J, Fisher S and Wolf G: Long-term quality of life after treatment of laryngeal cancer. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 124: 964-971, 2011.
- 2 Silver CE, Beitler JJ, Shaha AR, Rinaldo A and Ferlito A: Current trends in initial management of laryngeal cancer: the declining use of open surgery. Eur Arch Otolaryngol 266: 1333-1352, 2009.
- 3 Stoeckli SJ, Pawlik AB, Lipp M, Huber A and Schmid S: Salvage surgery after failure of nonsurgical therapy for carcinoma of the larynx and hypopharynx. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg *126*: 1473-1477, 2000.
- 4 Holsinger FC, Funk E, Roberts DB and Diaz EM Jr.: Conservation laryngeal surgery versus total laryngectomy for radiation failure in laryngeal cancer. Head Neck 28: 779-784, 2006.
- 5 Ganly I, Patel SG, Matsuo J, Singh B, Kraus DH, Boyle JO, Wong RJ, Lee N, Shaha AR and Shah JP: Results of surgical salvage after failure of definitive radiation therapy for early-stage squamous cell carcinoma of the glottic larynx. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 132: 59-66, 2006.

- 6 The Department of Veterans Affairs Laryngeal Cancer Study Group: Induction chemotherapy plus radiation compared with surgery plus radiation in patients with advanced laryngeal cancer. N Engl J Med 324: 1685-1690, 1991.
- 7 Lefebvre JL, Chevalier D, Luboinski B, Kirkpatrick A, Collette L and Sahmoud T: Larynx preservation in pyriform sinus cancer: preliminary results of a European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer phase III trial. EORTC Head and Neck Cancer Cooperative Group. J Natl Cancer Inst 88: 890-899, 1996.
- 8 Gößler UR: Grundlagen und klinische Bedeutung der klassischen transzervikalen Chirurgie von Kopf-Hals-Tumoren -Teilresektionen, Laryngektomie, Rekonstruktionstechniken, Rettungschirurgie. Laryngo-Rhino-Otol 91(S 01): S63- S85, 2012.
- 9 Piquet JC and Piquet JJ: Partial vertical laryngectomy in cancer of the larynx. Laryngoscope 73: 1351-1369, 1963.
- 10 Ogura J and Dedo H: Glottic reconstruction following subtotal glottic-supraglottic laryngectomy. Laryngoscope 75: 865-878, 1965.
- 11 Hiroto I, Mitsuhashi S, Ichikawa A and Kurokawa H: Functional conservative surgery for laryngeal carcinoma—partial laryngectomy. Gan no Rinsho *17*: 121-124, 1971 (in Japanese).
- 12 Yiotakis J, Stavroulaki P, Nikolopoulos T, Manolopoulos L, Kandiloros D, Ferekidis E and Adamopoulos G: Partial laryngectomy after irradiation failure. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 128: 200-209, 2003.
- 13 Weinstein GS, Laccourreye O, Brasnu D and Laccoureye H: Organ Preservation Surgery for Laryngeal Cancer. Singular Publishing Group, San Diego, Ca, USA, 2000.
- 14 Rodrigo JP, Coca-Pelaz A and Suarez C: The current role of partial surgery as a strategy for functional preservation in laryngeal carcinoma. Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp *62(3)*: 231-238, 2011.
- 15 Johnson JT, Hao S, Myers EN and Wagner RL: Outcome of open surgical therapy for glottic carcinoma. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 102: 752-755, 1993.
- 16 Thomas JV, Olsen KD, Neel HB, DeSanto LW and Suman VJ: Early glottic carcinoma treated with open laryngeal procedures. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 120: 264-268, 1994.
- 17 Brumund KT, Gutierrez-Fonseca R, Garcia D, Babin E, Hans S and Laccourreye O: Frontolateral vertical partial laryngectomy without tracheotomy for invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the true vocal cord: A 25-year experience. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 114: 314-322, 2005.
- 18 Kessler DJ, Trapp TK and Calcaterra TC: The treatment of T3 glottic carcinoma with vertical partial laryngectomy. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 113: 1196-1199, 1987.
- 19 Biller HF and Lawson W: Partial laryngectomy for vocal cord cancer with marked limitation or fixation of the vocal cord. Laryngoscope 96: 61-64, 1986.
- 20 Karatzanis AD, Psychogios G, Zenk J, Waldfahrer F, Hornung J and Velegrakis GA: Comparison among different available surgical approaches in T1 glottic cancer. Laryngoscope 119: 1704-1708, 2009.
- 21 Alonso JM: Conservative surgery of the larynx. Trans Am Acad Ophthalm Otolaryngol 51: 633-642, 1947.
- 22 Alonso CM and Jackson CL: Conservation of function in surgery of cancer of the Larynx: Bases, technique and results. Trans Am Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol 56: 722, 1952.

- 23 Sevilla MA, Rodrigo JP, Llorente JL, Cabanillas R, López F and Suárez C: Supraglottic laryngectomy: analysis of 267 cases. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 265: 11-16, 2008.
- 24 Scola B, Fernandez-Vega M, Martinez T, Fernandez-Vega S and Ramirez C: Management of cancer of the supraglottis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 124: 195-198, 2001.
- 25 Herranz J, Martinez Vidal J and Martinez Moran A: Supraglottic laryngectomy. Still on-going. Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp 57: 235-241, 2006.
- 26 Herranz González-Botas J, Gavilan Bouzas J and Martínez Vidal J: Laringuectomía supraglótica: resultados a 3 y 5 anos. Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp 50: 269-275, 1999.
- 27 Robbins KT, Davidson W, Peters LJ and Goepfert H: Conservation surgery for T2 and T3 carcinomas of the supraglottic larynx. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 114: 421-426, 1988.
- 28 Sessions DG, Lenox J and Spector GJ: Supraglottic laryngeal cancer: analysis of treatment results. Laryngoscope 115: 1402-1410, 2005.
- 29 Prades JM, Simon PG, Timoshenko AP, Dumollard JM, Schmitt T and Martin C: Extended and standard supraglottic laryngectomies: A review of 110 patients. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 262: 947-952, 2005.
- 30 Suárez C, Rodrigo JP, Herranz J, Llorente JL and Martínez JA: Supraglottic laryngectomy with or without postoperative radiotherapy in supraglottic carcinomas. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 104: 358-363, 1995.
- 31 Rodrigo JP, Suárez C, Silver CE, Rinaldo A, Ambrosch P, Fagan JJ, Genden EM and Ferlito A: Transoral laser surgery for supraglottic cancer. Head Neck 30: 658-666, 2008.
- 32 Majer H and Rieder A: Technique de laryngectomie permetant de conserver la permeabilite respiratoire. (La crico-hyoidopexie). Ann Otolaryngol Chir Cervicofac 76: 677-683, 1959.
- 33 Laccourreye O, Salzer S, Brasnu D, Shen W, Laccourreye H and Weinstein G: Glottic carcinoma with a fixed true vocal cord—outcomes following chemotherapy and supracricoid partial laryngectomy with cricohyoidoepiglottopexy. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 3: 400-406, 1996.
- 34 Laccourreye O, Laccourreye L, Garcia D, Gutierrez-Fonseca R, Brasnu D and Weinstein G: Vertical partial laryngectomy versus supracricoid partial laryngectomy for selected carcinomas of the true cord classified as T2N0. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 109: 965-971, 2000.
- 35 Nakayama M, Laccourreye O, Holsinger FC, Okamoto M and Hayakawa K: Functional organ preservation for laryngeal cancer: Past, present and future. J Clin Oncol *42*(*3*): 155-160, 2012.
- 36 Hartl DM, Ferlito A, Brasnu DF, Langendijk JA, Rinaldo A, Silver E and Wolf GT: Evidence-based review of treatment options for patients with glottis cancer. Head Neck 33, 2011
- 37 Castro A, Sanchez-Cuadrado I, Bernaldez R, Del Palacio A and Gavilan J: Larynx function preservation following supracricoid partial laryngectomy. Head Neck 34: 162-167, 2012.
- 38 Naudo P, Laccourreye O, Weinstein GS, Hans S, Laccourreye H, Brasnu D. Functional outcome and pronostic factors after supracricoid partial laryngectomy with cricohyoidopexy. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol *106*: 291-296, 1997.
- 39 Weinstein GS, O'Malley BW, Magnuson JS, Carroll WR, Olsen KD, Daio L, Moore EJ and Holsinger FC: Transoral robotic surgery: A multicenter study to assess feasibility, safety, and surgical margins. Laryngoscope *122(8)*: 1701-1707, 2012.

- 40 Park YM, Lee WJ, Lee JG, Lee WS et al: Transoral robotic surgery (TORS) in laryngeal and hypopharyngeal cancer. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 19(3): 361-368, 2009.
- 41 Weinstein GS, O'Malley BW, Snyder W and Hockstein N: Transoral robotic surgery: supraglottic partial laryngectomy. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 116(1): 19-23, 2007.
- 42 Steiner W and Ambrosch P: Endoscopic Laser Surgery of the Upper Aerodigestive tract—with Special Emphasis on Cancer Surgery. New York, Georg Thieme, 2000.
- 43 Rudert HH, Werner JA and Höft S: Transoral carbon dioxide laser resection of supraglottic carcinoma. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 108: 819-827, 1999.
- 44 American Society of Clinical Oncology, Pfister DG, Laurie SA, et al: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline for the use of larynx-preservation strategies in the treatment of laryngeal cancer. J Clin Oncol 24: 3693-3704, 2006.
- 45 Eckel HE: Endoscopic laser resection of supraglottic carcinoma. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg *117*: 681-687, 1997.
- 46 Iro H, Waldfahrer F, Altendorf-Hofmann A, Weidenbecher M, Sauer R and Steiner W: Transoral laser surgery of supraglottic cancer: follow-up of 141 patients. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 124: 1245-1250, 1998.
- 47 Steiner W, Vogt P, Ambrosch P and Kron M: Transoral carbon dioxide laser microsurgery for recurrent glottic carcinoma after radiotherapy. Head Neck 26: 477-484, 2004.
- 48 Peretti G, Piazza C, Cocco D, De Benedetto L, Del Bon F, Redaelli De Zinis LO and Nicolai P: Transoral CO<sub>2</sub> laser treatment for T(is)-T3 glottic cancer: the University of Brescia experience on 595 patients. Head Neck 32(8): 977-983, 2010.
- 49 Steiner W, Ambrosch P, Rödel RM and Kron M: Impact of anterior commissure involvement on local control of early glottic carcinoma treated by laser microresection. Laryngoscope 114: 1485-1491, 2004.
- 50 Hinni ML, Salassa JR, Grant DG, Pearson BW, Hayden RE, Martin A, Christiansen H, Haughey B, Nussenbaum B and Steine W: Transoral laser microsurgery for advanced laryngeal cancer. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 133: 1198-1204, 2007.
- 51 Vaughan CW: Transoral laryngeal surgery using the CO<sub>2</sub> laser: Laboratory experiments and clinical experience. Laryngoscope 88(9 Pt 1): 1399-1420, 1978.
- 52 Peretti G, Piazza C, Ansarin M, De Benedetto L, Cocco D, Cattaneo A, Nicolai P and Chiesa F: Transoral CO<sub>2</sub> laser microsurgery for Tis-T3 supraglottic squamous cell carcinomas. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 267(11): 1735-1742, 2010.
- 53 Gonzales-Márquez R, Rodrigo JP, Lorente JL et al: Transoral CO<sub>2</sub> laser surgery for supraglottic cancer. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngology 269(9): 2081-2086, 2012.
- 54 Mendenhall WM, Amdur RJ, Morris CG and Hinerman RW: T1–T2N0 squamous cell carcinoma of the glottic larynx treated with radiation therapy. J Clin Oncol 19: 4029-4036, 2001.
- 55 Smee RI, Meagher NS, Williams JR, Broadley K and Bridger GP: Role of radiotherapy in early glottic carcinoma. Head Neck 32: 850-859, 2009.
- 56 Cellai E, Frata P, Magrini SM et al: Radical radiotherapy for early glottic cancer: results in a series of 1087 patients from two Italian radiation oncology centers. I. The case of T1N0 disease. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 63: 1378-1386, 2005.
- 57 Jones DA, Mendenhall CM, Kirwan J, Morris CG, Donnan A, Kraus ST, Mann CJ, Holwerda S, Grant JR, Donnan B and

- Mendenhall WM: Radiation therapy for management of T1-T2 glottic cancer at a private practice. Am J Clin Oncol *33(6)*: 587-590, 2010.
- 58 Le QT, Fu KK, Kroll S, Ryu JK, Quivey JM, Meyler TS, Krieg RM and Philipps TL: Influence of time and fractionation on local control of T1–T2 glottic carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 39: 1-2, 1997.
- 59 Johansen LV, Overgaard J, Hjelan-Hansen N and Gadeberg CC: Primary radiotherapy for T1 squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx: analysis of 478 patients treated from 1963 to 1985. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 18: 1307-1313, 1990.
- 60 Wang CC and Efird JT: Does prolonged treatment course adversely affect local control of carcinoma of the larynx? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 29: 657-660, 1994.
- 61 Mittal B, Rao DV, Marks JE and Perez CA: Role of radiation in the management of early vocal carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 9: 997-1002, 1983.
- 62 Harwood AR: Cancer of the larynx. The Toronto experience. J Otolaryngol Suppl 11: 3-21, 1982.
- 63 Fernberg JO, Ringborg U, Silversward C, Ewert G, Haglund S, Schiratzki H and Strander H: Radiation therapy in early glottic cancer. Analysis of 177 consecutive cases. Acta Otolaryngol 108: 478-481, 1989.
- 64 Sjögren EV, Wiggenraad RG, Le Cessie S, Snijder S, Pomp J and de Jong RJ: Outcome of radiotherapy in T1 glottic carcinoma: a population-based study. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 266: 735-744, 2009.
- 65 Dinshaw KA, Sharma V, Agarwal JP, Ghoshi S and Havaldar R: Radiation therapy in T1–T2 glottic carcinoma: influence of various treatment parameters on local control/complications. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 48: 723-735, 2000.
- 66 Groome PA, O'Sullivan B, Mackillop WJ et al: Compromised local control due to treatment interruptions and late treatment breaks in early glottic cancer: population-based outcomes study supporting need for intensified treatment schedules. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 64: 1002-1012, 2006.
- 67 Jin J, Liao ZM, Gao L, Huang XD and Xu GZ: Analysis of prognostic factors for T1N0M0 glottic cancer treated with definitive radiotherapy alone: experience of the cancer hospital of Peking Union Medical College and the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 54: 471-478, 2002.
- 68 Howell-Burke D, Peters LJ, Goepfert H and Oswald MJ: T2 glottic carcinoma: recurrence, salvage, and survival after definitive radiotherapy. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 116: 830-835, 1990.
- 69 Karim ABMF, Kralendonk JH, Yap LY, Njo KH, Tierie AH, Tiwari RM, Snow GB, Gerritsen GJ and hasman A: Heterogeneity of stage II glottic carcinoma and its therapeutic implications. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 13: 313-317, 1987.
- 70 Kaplan MJ, Johns ME, McLean WC, Fitz-Hugh GS, Clark DA, Boyd JC and Cantrell RW: Stage II glottic carcinoma: prognostic factors and management. Laryngoscope 93: 725-728, 1983.
- 71 Mantravadi RVP, Liebner EJ, Haas RE, Skolnik EM and Appelbaum EL: Cancer of the glottis: prognostic factors in radiation therapy. Radiology 149: 311-314, 1983.
- 72 Harwood AR and DeBoer G: Prognostic factors in T2 glottic cancer. Cancer 45: 991-995, 1980.
- 73 Hendrickson FR: Radiation therapy treatment of larynx cancers. Cancer 55: 2058-2061, 1985.

- 74 Bron LP, Soldati D, Zouhair A, Ozsahin M, Brossard E, Monnier P and Pasche P: Treatment of early stage squamous-cell carcinoma of the glottic larynx: endoscopic surgery or cricohyoidoepiglottopexy versus radiotherapy. Head Neck 23: 823-829, 2001.
- 75 Zohar Y, Rahima M, Shvili Y, Talmi YP and Lurie H: The controversial treatment of anterior commissure carcinoma of the larynx. Laryngoscope 102: 69-72, 1992.
- 76 Rucci L, Gallo O and Fini-Storchi O: Glottic cancer involving anterior commissur: surgery vs. radiotherapy. Head Neck 13(5): 403-410, 1991.
- 77 Forastiere AA, Goepfert H, Maor M, Pajak TF, Weber R, Morrison W et al: Concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy for organ preservation in advanced laryngeal cancer. N Engl J Med 349(22): 2091-2098, 2003.
- 78 Forastiere AA, Maor M, Weber RS, Pajak T, Glisson B, Trotti A et al: (2006) Long-term results of Intergroup RTOG 91-11: A phase Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol (2011) 268: 481-487 487 III trial to preserve the larynx-induction cisplatin/5-FU and radiation therapy versus concurrent cisplatin and radiation therapy versus radiation therapy. ASCO Meeting Abstracts 24(18 Suppl): 5517.
- 79 Lefebvre JL, Rolland F, Tesselaar M, Bardet E, Leemans CR, GeoVrois L et al: Phase III randomized trial on larynx preservation comparing sequential vs. alternating chemotherapy and radiotherapy. J Natl Cancer Inst 101(3): 142-152, 2009.
- 80 Mantz CA, Vokes EE, Kies MS, Mittal B, Witt ME, List MA, Weichselbaum RR and Haraf DJ: Sequential induction chemotherapy and concomitant chemoradiotherapy in the management of locoregionally advanced laryngeal cancer. Ann Oncol 12(3): 343-347, 2001.
- 81 Pointreau Y, Garaud P, Chapet S, Sire C, Tuchais C, Tortochaux J, Faivre S, Guerrif S, Alfonsi M and Calais G: Randomized trial of induction chemotherapy with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil with or without docetaxel for larynx preservation. J Natl Cancer Inst 101(7): 498-506, 2009.
- 82 Posner MR, Norris CM, Wirth LJ, Shin DM, Cullen KJ, Winquist EW *et al*: Sequential therapy for the locally advanced larynx and hypopharynx cancer subgroup in TAX 324: survival, surgery, and organ preservation. Ann Oncol 20(5): 921-927, 2009.
- 83 Vermorken JB, Remenar E, van Herpen C, Gorlia T, Mesia R, Degardin M *et al*: Cisplatin, fluorouracil, and docetaxel in unresectable head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med *357(17)*: 1695-1704, 2007.

- 84 Forastiere AA, Weber RS, Maor M, Goepfert H et al: Long-term Results of RTOG 91-11: A Comparison of Three Nonsurgical Treatment strategies to Preserve the Larynx in Patients With Locally advanced Larynx Cancer. J Clin Oncol 30: 1-9, 2012.
- 85 Pomerantz RG and Grandis JR: The epidermal growth factor receptor signaling network in head and neck carcinogenesis and implications for targeted therapy. Semin Oncol 31(6): 734-743, 2004.
- 86 Bonner JA, Harari PM, Giralt J, Azarnia N, Shin DM, Cohen RB *et al*: Radiotherapy plus cetuximab for squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N Engl J Med *354*(*6*): 567-578, 2006.
- 87 Silver CE, Beitler JJ, Shaha AR, Rinaldo A and Ferlito A: Current trends in initial management of laryngeal cancer: the declining use of open surgery. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 266(9): 1333-1352, 2009.
- 88 Wolf GTHW, Gross Fisher S: Induction chemotherapy plus radiation compared with surgery plus radiation in patients with advanced laryngeal cancer (The Department of Veterans AVairs [VA] Laryngeal Cancer Study Group). N Engl J Med 324: 1685-1690, 1991.
- 89 Pfister DG, Laurie SA, Weinstein GS, Mendenhall WM, Adelstein DJ, Ang KK et al: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline for the use of larynxpreservation strategies in the treatment of laryngeal cancer. J Clin Oncol 24(22): 3693-3704, 2006.
- 90 Beitler JJ and Cooper JS: Seduction by induction? J Clin Oncol 27(1): 9-10, 2009.
- 91 Levebvre JL, Pointreau Y and Rolland F: Induction chemotherapy followed either chemoradiotherapy or bioradiotherapy for larynx Preservation: the TREMPLIN randomized phase II study. J CliniOncol 31: 853-859, 2013.
- 92 Ambrosch P, Kron M and Steiner W: Carbon dioxide laser microsuergery for early supraglottic carcinoma. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 107(8): 680-688, 1998.

Received August 27, 2013 Revised September 30, 2013 Accepted October 1, 2013