# Epirubicin and Cyclophosphamide Followed by Docetaxel as Primary Systemic Chemotherapy in Locally Advanced Breast Cancer

AKIRA HIRANO<sup>1</sup>, TADAO SHIMIZU<sup>1</sup>, OSAMU WATANABE<sup>1</sup>, JUN KINOSHITA<sup>1</sup>, KIYOMI KIMURA<sup>1</sup>, MARI KAMIMURA<sup>1</sup>, KAORU DOMOTO<sup>1</sup>, MOTOHIKO AIBA<sup>2</sup> and KENJI OGAWA<sup>1</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Surgery and <sup>2</sup>Surgical Pathology, Tokyo Women's Medical University Medical Center East, Tokyo 116-8567, Japan

Abstract. Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate the activity and toxicity of epirubicin and cyclophosphamide (EC) followed by docetaxel as primary systemic chemotherapy (PST) in locally advanced breast cancer. Patients and Methods: In this phase II trial, 46 patients with locally advanced breast cancer (T>3 cm or N>1) received epirubicin (90 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) and cyclophosphamide  $(600 \text{ mg/m}^2)$  every 3 weeks for four cycles, followed by docetaxel (70 mg/ $m^2$ ) every 3 weeks for four cycles. Primary endpoints were pathological and objective response in the breast and axilla, and toxicities. Results: The clinical response rate was 80.4% (95% confidence interval, 68.9-91.9%). Pathological response evaluation revealed 6 complete responses (CR: 13.0%). Patients with ER-negative tumors had a significantly higher rate of pathological CR than the others (33.3% vs. 3.2%; p=0.0105). Febrile neutropenia occurred in 4 patients (8.7%). Conclusion: EC followed by docetaxel is an active and well-tolerated treatment as PST for locally advanced breast cancer.

Primary systemic chemotherapy (PST) is now widely used as the treatment of patients with operable as well as inoperable breast cancer (1-5). National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP) B-18 trial clarified that preoperative chemotherapy never shortens survival time compared with postoperative chemotherapy (6). This trial also demonstrated that pathological tumor response was predictive of overall survival, suggesting that preoperative chemotherapy would take advantage of controlling micrometastasis and drug delivery to cancer cells. Thus, PST

Correspondence to: Tadao Shimizu, MD, 2-1-10 Nishiogu, Arakawa-ku, Tokyo 116-8567, Japan. Tel: +81 3 3810 1111, Fax: +81 3 3894 5493, e-mail: shimitsu@dnh.twmu.ac.jp

*Key Words:* Breast cancer, primary systemic chemotherapy, epirubicin, docetaxel.

is now considered to be standard therapy for the treatment of high-risk breast cancer.

It is clear that the anthracyclines are the most standard and active drugs for breast cancer. Anthracycline-based regimens include doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide (AC), epirubicin and cyclophosphamide (EC), and 5-fluorouracil, epirubicin and cyclophosphamide (FEC). Although anthracycline-based preoperative chemotherapy showed a good response rate, a pathological complete response (pCR) rate was not achieved (6-9).

In an attempt to achieve a superior response rate as a surrogate endpoint, the taxanes have been added to anthracycline-containing regimens. Docetaxel, the semisynthetic taxoid, has been shown in several studies to be effective both in pretreated and treated disease (10-13). Furthermore, the combination of anthracycline plus taxane was demonstrated to have a superior clinical effect over conventional anthracycline-based regimens in metastatic and locally advanced breast cancer (3-19).

The polychemotherapy of anthracyclines and taxanes is divided into two methods, combination and sequential. In the German Preoperative Adriamycin Docetaxel Study Group (GEPARDUO) trial, the pCR rate was 14.3% in the sequential arm and 7.0% in the combination arm (p<0.001) (20). According to the recommendation of the data monitoring committee, recruitment to the study was ceased due to the significant difference in pCR rates observed between the treatment arms (21). This study indicated the superiority of sequential chemotherapy over combination one. Another sequential setting of AC followed by docetaxel (AC-T), the NSABP B-27 trial, has finished (22). In the preoperative AC-T arm, the pCR rate was 26.1% and 18.9% of these patients had no evidence of cancer in the breast, while another 7.2% had noninvasive cancer (23).

We chose epirubicin, which is less cardiotoxic than doxorubicin, as an anthracycline and docetaxel as a taxane for sequential chemotherapy. Furthermore, cyclophosphamide is added to epirubicin in order to enhance the effect of anthracycline. We designed a phase II trial with patients receiving preoperative epirubicin and cyclophosphamide (EC) followed by docetaxel for locally advanced breast cancer to determine the activity and toxicity of this regimen. This is the first report of sequential EC and docetaxel as PST in breast cancer.

# **Patients and Methods**

*Endpoints*. In this single-center, phase II trial, the primary endpoints of the study were the pathological and objective response in the breast and axilla, and toxicities. Secondary endpoints involved the rate of breast-conserving surgery and the 5-year overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) rate.

*Patients*. Patients with histologically diagnosed invasive breast cancer by core-needle biopsy of the T2-4 (>3 cm) or N1-3 categories of the International Union Against Cancer (UICC) staging system (24) were included in this study. Eligible patients were age <75 years, had a performance status of 0 to 1 according to the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group scale, and had adequate organ functions [WBC count ≥4,000/mm<sup>3</sup> and ≤12,000/mm<sup>3</sup>; absolute neutrophil count ≥2,000/mm<sup>3</sup>, platelet count ≥100,000/mm<sup>3</sup>, hemoglobin level ≥9.5 g/dl, transaminase level ≤1.5 x upper limit of normal value (ULNV), total-bilirubin level ≤1.25 x ULNV, serum creatinine level ≤1.5 x ULNV, and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) ≥60% ]. Patients with inflammatory or bilateral breast cancer were excluded. All patients provided written informed consent.

*Treatment*. Each patient was to receive four cycles of EC followed by four cycles of docetaxel; cycles were repeated every 3 weeks for eight cycles. EC consisted of 90 mg/m<sup>2</sup> epirubicin intravenously (*i.v.*) and 600 mg/m<sup>2</sup> cyclophosphamide *i.v.* on day 1. Docetaxel was administered at 70 mg/m<sup>2</sup> over 1 hour. Premedication consisted of 16 mg dexamethasone, 20 mg famotidine, an intravenous 5-HT3 antagonist at a standard dose immediately *i.v.* before chemotherapy. Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) could be used in patients with febrile grade 3 to 4 leucopenia or grade 4 neutropenia. All patients treated with breast-conserving surgery received wholebreast irradiation.

Assessment of response and toxicity. Objective responses were defined clinically for the primary endpoint of this study (25) and evaluated according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST). A complete response (CR) was defined as the complete disappearance of all known disease. A partial response (PR) was defined as a >30% decrease in the sum of longest diameters of all target lesions and progressive disease (PD) as an increase of >20% in the sum of the longest diameters of all target lesions.

Pathological responses were graded as follows (26). Grade 0, no response: no histological change in the cancer cells after treatment; grade 1a, mild response: mild changes in cancer cells regardless of the area, or marked changes in less than one third of the cancer cells; grade 1b, moderate response: marked change in one third or more but less than two thirds of tumor cells; grade 2, marked response: marked change in two thirds or more of tumor cells including residual cancer cells only *in situ*; grade 3, complete

response: necrosis or disappearance of all tumor cells. pCR was defined as no evidence of residual invasive cancer, either in the breast and axillary lymph nodes. Therefore, pCR in this study was made up of all grade 3 responders and a part of grade 2 ones.

Toxicity was assessed through clinical examination at baseline and before each cycle of chemotherapy. Laboratory tests, including a complete biochemical routine and a complete blood cell count, were performed on day 1 of each cycle. Blood cell count was also repeated on day 8 of each cycle. To describe toxicity, the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events Version 3.0 (CTCAE) were applied (27).

*Statistical analysis*. It was determined that the expected rate of pCR in the trial was 25%, and the sample size was calculated using the Simon method, with a type I error of 5% and a study power of 80%. The target enrollment was estimated to be 40 evaluable patients. The association of pCR with immunohistochemical staining of ER, PgR and HER2 was analyzed with Chi-square test.

## Results

Forty-six patients who were referred to the Tokyo Women's Medical University Medical Center East were enrolled from January 2003 to December 2005. The clinical characteristics of patients and tumor are shown in Table I. The median age of the patients was 55 years (range, 38-75 years). Two-thirds of the women were menopausal. Overall, 343 cycles (93.2%) of treatment were administered; 181 cycles (98.4%) of EC and 162 cycles (88.0%) of docetaxel were performed. Treatment was interrupted before its planned completion in 8 patients due to PD in 1 patient after the first cycle, allergic reaction to docetaxel in 1 patient, grade 3 nonhematological toxicities in 1 patient after the fifth cycle and patient refusal in 4 patients. Thirteen patients required G-CSF support according to protocol rules. Surgery was performed for almost all patients except one who refused surgery after chemotherapy with clinical evidence of complete response, and breast conserving surgery was undergone in 27 patients (60.0%). Clinical CRs were observed in 9 patients and PRs were observed in 28 patients (Table II). The clinical response rate was 80.4% (95%) confidence interval, 68.9-91.9%).

Pathological response evaluation showed 6 pCRs (13.0%): 4 patients were grade 3 responders with the complete disappearance of tumor cells both in breast and axillary nodes, 2 patients were grade 2 responders with the complete disappearance of invasive tumor cells and residual cancer cells only *in situ*. Pathological complete responses according to ER, PgR and HER2 status are shown in Table III. Patients with ER-negative tumors had a significantly higher rate of pathological CR than the others (33.3% vs. 3.2%; p=0.0105), as did patients with HER2-positive tumors (40.0% vs. 5.6%; p=0.0151).

The adverse events that were shown in more than one patient are summarized in Table IV. Grade 4 neutropenia was recorded in 24 patients (52.2%), and febrile neutropenia

Table I. Clinical and	d tumor ci	haracteristics	of 46	patients.
-----------------------	------------	----------------	-------	-----------

	No. of patients	%	
Age, years			
Median	55		
Range	38-75		
Menopausal status			
Premenopausal	16	34.8	
Postmenopausal	30	65.2	
Tumor status			
T1	3	6.5	
T2	35	76.1	
T3	2	4.3	
T4	6	13.0	
Nodal status			
NO	12	26.1	
N1	27	58.7	
N2	4	8.7	
N3	3	6.5	
Clinical stage			
IIA	12	26.1	
IIB	24	52.2	
IIIA	3	6.5	
IIIB	4	8.7	
IIIC	3	6.5	
Hormone receptor status			
ER and PgR both positive	26	56.5	
ER or PgR positive	5	10.9	
ER and PgR both negative	15	32.6	
HER2 status (Herceptest)			
3+	10	21.7	
2+	12	26.1	
0-1+	24	52.2	

Table II. Objective responses of breast and axilla.

	No. of patients	%	
Clinical response			
CR	9	19.6	
PR	28	60.9	
SD	7	15.2	
PD	2	4.3	
Overall response rate		80.4	
Pathological response			
Grade 3	4	8.7	
Grade 2	16	34.8	
Grade 1b	6	13.0	
Grade 1a	17	37.0	
Grade 0	2	4.3	

CR: Complete response; PR: partial response; SD: stable disease; PD: progressive disease.

occurred in 4 (8.7%). Grade 1-2 anemia was recorded in 80.4% and grade 3 thrombocytopenia was recorded in one patient. There were no toxicities recorded for the heart, lungs

Table III. Pathological complete responses according to ER, PgR and HER2 status.

	No. of patients	pCR rate (%)	<i>p</i> -Value	
ER				
Negative (n=15)	5	33.3		
Positive (n=31)	1	3.2	0.0105	
PgR				
Negative (n=20)	6	30.0		
Positive (n=26)	0	0.0	0.0041	
HER2				
3+ (n=10)	4	40.0		
(IHC)				
0~2+ (n=36)	2	5.6	0.0151	

or kidneys. Grade 3 liver dysfunction (AST and ALT) was recorded in one patient. All patients had grade 2 alopecia. Grade 1-2 nausea was experienced by 41.3% and vomiting was experienced by 17.4% of the patients. Grade 1-2 fatigue was experienced by 30.4%. Sensory neuropathy was recorded in 32.6%. Only one patient had dyspnea (grade 3).

# Discussion

Several trials indicated that the polychemotherapy of anthracycline plus docetaxel is an effective regimen of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (15-18). In order to assess the activity and toxicity of the combination chemotherapy of anthracycline and taxane as PST, we had performed a phase II trial of ET (epirubicin plus docetaxel) in 2002 (28). The results of this trial demonstrated that the pCR rate was 9.5% and the rate of breast-conserving surgery was 52.4%. We expected that the sequential anthracyclines and taxanes would provide a higher response rate than the combination as primary chemotherapy and consequently planned this phase II trial.

Although in Europe and the United States the standard dose of docetaxel is 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, the recommended dose of docetaxel for Japanese women is 60-70 mg/m<sup>2</sup> (29). Therefore, the dose of docetaxel was decided at 70 mg/m<sup>2</sup> in this study. Despite the lower dose of docetaxel as compared with other countries, a high clinical response rate (80.4%) was obtained in this study. Thus, this dose is recommended for Japanese women. However, the pCR rate (13.0%) was not as high as other anthracycline-based regimens (3.7% - 13%) (5-8). Although the difference in pCR rate between these two trials was not significant, the pCR rate of this trial was higher than the rate with ET (13.0% vs. 9.5%) and a tendency of superiority of the sequential therapy to the combination one was observed.

In comparison with B-27 trial, the overall pCR rate of this trial was inferior. However, among patients with negative ER, the pCR rate was higher than in the B-27 trial (33.3% vs.

Toxicity	No. of patients (%)							
	Grade 1		Grade 2		Grade 3		Grade 4	
Hematological								
Neutropenia	2	(4.3)	7	(15.2)	10	(21.7)	24	(52.2)
Leukopenia	2	(4.3)	13	(28.3)	17	(37.0)	12	(26.1)
Anemia	18	(39.1)	19	(41.3)	1	(2.2)	1	(2.2)
Thrombocytopenia	1	(2.2)	0	(0)	1	(2.2)	0	(0)
Nonhematological								
Alopecia	0	(0)	46	(100)				
Nausea	18	(39.1)	1	(2.2)	0	(0)	0	(0)
Vomiting	2	(2.2)	5	(10.9)	1	(2.2)	0	(0)
Dyspnea	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(2.2)	0	(0)
Fatigue	12	(26.1)	2	(4.3)	0	(0)	0	(0)
Taste alteration	11	(23.9)	5	(10.9)				
Mucositis	8	(17.4)	1	(2.2)	1	(2.2)	0	(0)
Sensory neuropathy	13	(28.3)	1	(2.2)	1	(2.2)	0	(0)
AST	2	(4.3)	1	(2.2)	1	(2.2)	0	(0)
ALT	6	(13.0)	2	(4.3)	1	(2.2)	0	(0)

Table IV. Hematological and nonhematological toxicities.

AST: Asparatate Aminotransferase; ALT: Alanine Aminotransferase.

22.8%). In general, primary chemotherapy shows a more effective and higher pCR rate for patients negative for hormone receptors, than these positive for hormone receptors (20, 23). In fact, patients with hormone-negative tumors had a significantly higher rate of pCR (Table IV). These factors could predict a good response to chemotherapy. Although there is a tendency that the observation of pCR is rare among patients with hormone receptor-positive or HER2 negative-tumors, these factors would not become negative prognostic markers that would indicate giving up the anthracycline/taxane chemotherapy. Thus, we need novel negative prognostic markers in order to predict any PD cases and avoid unnecessary chemotherapy.

The breast conservative rate of this study was 60.0%. The rate was consistent with the data reported in extended studies that employed other novel regimens, such as AC followed by docetaxel (23).

Myelosuppression was severe but reversible toxicity was achieved in the present study. Neutropenia was frequent and grade 4 neutropenia was recorded in 24 patients (52.2%). Neither motor neuropathy nor cardiotoxicity were seen however.

The GEPARDUO study suggested the superiority of sequential chemotherapy, however, the B-27 trial could not prove the hypothesis that improving the pCR rate might produce a direct survival benefit (22). Thus, we cannot conclude that preoperative chemotherapy is superior to adjuvant chemotherapy until the evidence of survival benefit is provided.

We have not yet conclusively determined which taxane is more effective for breast cancer, docetaxel or paclitaxel. Molecular targeting drugs are hoped to improve the prognosis of postoperative and preoperative breast cancer. Buzdar *et al.* reported the surprisingly higher pCR rate after primary systemic therapy with trastuzumab, paclitaxel and FEC in HER2-positive breast cancer (30). In order to achieve a higher rate of pCR as a surrogate endpoint, a new trial adding trastuzumab should be explored.

# Conclusion

In conclusion, the sequential setting of epirubicin and docetaxel was an active and well-tolerated treatment for locally advanced breast cancer.

## References

- 1 Hortobagyi GN: Comprehensive management of locally advanced breast cancer. Cancer 66: 1387-1391, 1990.
- 2 Bear HD: Indications for neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. Semin Oncol 25: 3-12, 1998.
- 3 Kaufmann M, von Minckwitz G, Smith R, Valero V, Gianni L, Eiermann W, Howell A, Costa SD, Beuzeboc P, Untch M, Blohmer JU, Sinn HP, Sittek R, Souchon R, Tulusan AH, Volm T and Senn HJ: International Expert Panel on the use of primary (preoperative) systemic treatment of operable breast cancer: review and recommendations. J Clin Oncol 21: 2600-2608, 2003.
- 4 Goldhirsch A, Wood WC, Gelber RD, Coates AS, Thurlimann B and Senn HJ: Meeting highlights: updated International Expert Consensus on the primary therapy of early breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 21: 3357-3365, 2003.
- 5 Goldhirsch A, Wood WC, Gelber RD, Coates AS, Thurlimann B and Senn HJ: Progress and promise: highlights of the international expert consensus on the primary therapy of early breast cancer 2007. Ann Oncol 18: 1133-1144, 2007.

- 6 Fisher B, Bryant J, Wolmark N, Mamounas E, Brown A, Fisher ER, Wickerham DL, Begovic M, DeCillis A, Robidoux A, Margolese RG, Cruz AB Jr, Hoehn JL, Lees AW, Dimitrov NV and Bear HD: Effect of preoperative chemotherapy on the outcome of women with operable breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 16: 2672-2685, 1998.
- 7 Belembaogo E, Feillel V, Chollet P, Cure H, Verrelle P, Kwiatkowski F, Achard JL, Le Bouedec G, Chassagne J and Bignon YJ: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in 126 operable breast cancers. Eur J Cancer 28A: 896-900, 1992.
- 8 van der Hage JA, van de Velde CJ, Julien JP, Tubiana-Hulin M, Vanderveiden C, Duchateau L and Cooperating investigators: Preoperative chemotherapy in primary operable breast cancer: results from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer trial 10902. J Clin Oncol 19: 4224-4237, 2001.
- 9 Kuerer HM, Newman LA, Smith TL, Ames FC, Hunt KK, Dhingra K, Theriault RL, Singh G, Binkley SM, Sneige N, Buchholz TA, Ross MI, McNeese MD, Buzdar AU, Hortobagyi GN and Singletary SE: Clinical course of breast cancer patients with complete pathologic primary tumor and axillary lymph node response to doxorubicin-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 17: 460-469, 1999.
- 10 Fumoleau P, Chevallier B, Kerbrat P, Krakowski Y, Misset JL, Maugard-Louboutin C, Dieras V, Azli N, Bougon N, Riva A and Roche H: A multicentre phase II study of the efficacy and safety of docetaxel as first-line treatment of advanced breast cancer: report of the Clinical Screening Group of the EORTC. Ann Oncol 7: 165-171, 1996.
- 11 Amat S, Bougnoux P, Penault-Llorca F, Fetissof F, Cure H, Kwiatkowski F, Achard JL, Body G, Dauplat J and Chollet P: Neoadjuvant docetaxel for operable breast cancer induces a high pathological response and breast-conservation rate. Br J Cancer 88: 339-1345, 2003.
- 12 Sjostrom J, Blomqvist C, Mouridsen H, Pluzanska A, Ottosson-Lonn S, Bengtsson NO, Ostenstad B, Mjaaland I, Palm-Sjovall M, Wist E, Valvere V, Anderson H and Bergh J: Docetaxel compared with sequential methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil in patients with advanced breast cancer after anthracycline failure: a randomised phase III study with crossover on progression by the Scandinavian Breast Group. Eur J Cancer 35: 1194-1201, 1999.
- 13 Nabholtz JM, Senn HJ, Bezwoda WR, Melnychuk D, Deschenes L, Douma J, Vandenberg TA, Rapoport B, Rosso R, Trillet-Lenoir V, Drbal J, Molino A, Nortier JW, Richel DJ, Nagykalnai T, Siedlecki P, Wilking N, Genot JY, Hupperets PS, Pannuti F, Skarlos D, Tomiak EM, Murawsky M, Alakl M and Aapro M: Prospective randomized trial of docetaxel *versus* mitomycin plus vinblastine in patients with metastatic breast cancer progressing despite previous anthracycline-containing chemotherapy. 304 Study Group. J Clin Oncol *17*: 1413-1424, 1999.
- 14 Bonneterre J, Dieras V, Tubiana-Hulin M, Bougnoux P, Bonneterre ME, Delozier T, Mayer F, Culine S, Dohoulou N and Bendahmane B: Phase II multicentre randomised study of docetaxel plus epirubicin vs. 5-fluorouracil plus epirubicin and cyclophosphamide in metastatic breast cancer. Br J Cancer 91: 1466-1471, 2004.
- 15 Pagani O, Sessa C, Martinelli G, Crivellari D, Buonadonna A, Thurlimann B, Hess D, Borner M, Bauer J, Zampino G, Zimatore M, Graffeo R, Riva A and Goldhirsch A: Dose-finding study of epirubicin and docetaxel as first-line chemotherapy in patients with advanced breast cancer. Ann Oncol 10: 539-545, 1999.

- 16 Ganem G, Tubiana-Hulin M, Fumoleau P, Combe M, Misset JL, Vannetzel JM, Bachelot T, De Ybarlucea LR, Lotz V, Bendahmane B and Dieras V: Phase II trial combining docetaxel and doxorubicin as neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with operable breast cancer. Ann Oncol 14: 1623-1628, 2003.
- 17 de Matteis A, Nuzzo F, D'Aiuto G, Labonia V, Landi G, Rossi E, Mastro AA, Botti G, De Maio E and Perrone F: Docetaxel plus epidoxorubicin as neoadjuvant treatment in patients with large operable or locally advanced carcinoma of the breast: a singlecenter, phase II study. Cancer 94: 895-901, 2002.
- 18 Matsuo K, Fukutomi T, Watanabe T, Hasegawa T, Tsuda H and Akashi-Tanaka S: Concordance in pathological responses to neoadjuvant chemotherapy between invasive and noninvasive components of primary breast carcinomas. Breast Cancer 9: 75-81, 2002.
- 19 Toi M, Nakamura S, Kuroi K, Iwata H, Ohno S, Masuda N, Kusama M, Yamazaki K, Hisamatsu K, Sato Y, Kashiwaba M, Kaise H, Kurosumi M, Tsuda H, Akiyama F, Ohashi Y, Takatsuka Y; for Japan Breast Cancer Research Group (JBCRG): Phase II study of preoperative sequential FEC and docetaxel predicts of pathological response and disease-free survival. Breast Cancer Res Treat *110*: 531-539, 2008.
- 20 von Minckwitz G, Raab G, Caputo A, Schutte M, Hilfrich J, Blohmer JU, Gerber B, Costa S, Merkle E, Eidtmann H, Lampe D, Jackisch C, du Bois A and Kaufmann M: Doxorubicin with cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel every 21 days compared with doxorubicin and docetaxel every 14 days as preoperative treatment in operable breast cancer: the GEPARDUO study of the German Breast Group. J Clin Oncol 23: 2676-2685, 2005.
- 21 Jackisch C, von Minckwitz G, Eidtmann H, Costa SD, Raab G, Blohmer JU, Schutte M, Gerber B, Merkle E, Gademann G, Lampe D, Hilfrich J, Tulusan AH, Caputo A and Kaufmann M: Dose-dense biweekly doxorubicin/docetaxel versus sequential neoadjuvant chemotherapy with doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide/ docetaxel in operable breast cancer: second interim analysis. Clin Breast Cancer 3: 276-280, 2002.
- 22 Bear HD, Anderson S, Smith RE, Geyer CE Jr, Mamounas EP, Fisher B, Brown AM, Robidoux A, Margolese R, Kahlenberg MS, Paik S, Soran A, Wickerham DL and Wolmark N: Sequential preoperative or postoperative docetaxel added to preoperative doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide for operable breast cancer: National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Protocol B-27. J Clin Oncol 24: 2019-2027, 2006.
- 23 Bear H, Anderson S, Brown A, Smith R, Mamounas EP, Fisher B, Margolese R, Theoret H, Soran A, Wickerham DL and Wolmark N: The effect on tumor response of adding sequential preoperative docetaxel to preoperative doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide: Preliminary results from National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Protocol B-27. J Clin Oncol 21: 4165-4174, 2003.
- 24 Sobin LH and Wittekind CH: Breast tumors. *In*: TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours, 6th edition. New York, Wiley-Liss Inc., pp. 131-141, 2002.
- 25 Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, Wanders J, Kaplan RS, Rubinstein L, Verweij J, Van Glabbeke M, van Oosterom AT, Christian MC and Gwyther SG: New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. J Natl Cancer Inst 92: 205-216, 2000.

- 26 Kurosumi M, Akiyama F, Iwase T, Motomura K, Okazaki M and Tsuda H: Histopathological criteria for assessment of therapeutic response in breast cancer. Breast Cancer 1: 1-2, 2001.
- 27 National Cancer Institute. Common Treatment Criterion for Adverse Events Version 3.0. Available from URL: http:// ctep.info.nih.gov/reporting/ctcnew.html [accessed Dec 12, 2003].
- 28 Hirano A, Shimizu T, Imamura H, Watanabe O, Kinoshita J, Okabe T, Kimura K, Kamimura M, Domoto K, Aiba M and Ogawa K: The combination of epirubicin plus docetaxel as neoadjuvant chemotherapy in locally-advanced breast cancer. Anticancer Res 26: 581-584, 2006.
- 29 Adachi I, Watanabe T, Takashima S, Narabayashi M, Horikoshi N, Aoyama H and Taguchi T: A late phase II study of RP56976 (docetaxel) in patients with advanced or recurrent breast cancer. Br J Cancer 73: 210-216, 1996.
- 30 Buzdar AU, Ibrahim NK, Francis D, Booser DJ, Thomas ES, Theriault RL, Pusztai L, Green MC, Arun BK, Giordano SH, Cristofanilli M, Frye DK, Smith TL, Hunt KK, Singletary SE, Sahin AA, Ewer MS, Buchholz TA, Berry D and Hortobagyi GN: Significantly higher pathologic complete remission rate after neoadjuvant therapy with trastuzumab, paclitaxel, and epirubicin chemotherapy: results of a randomized trial in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive operable breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 23: 3676-3685, 2005.

Received June 3, 2008 Revised August 20, 2008 Accepted September 8, 2008