Review

# Comparative Biology of Human and Canine Osteosarcoma

F. MUELLER<sup>1</sup>, B. FUCHS<sup>2</sup> and B. KASER-HOTZ<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Diagnostic Imaging and Radiation Oncology, Vetsuisse Faculty and <sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopedics Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zurich, Switzerland

**Abstract.** Dogs with osteosarcoma provide an important model for the same disease in humans. In this report, the comparative nature of human and canine osteosarcoma including incidence and risk factors, clinical presentation and diagnosis, genetic abnormalities, biologic behaviour and prognostic factors, as well as treatment options are reviewed.

Naturally occurring tumours in dogs provide an important model of human cancer biology and cancer therapeutic strategies (1, 2). Several features make dogs attractive models for human cancer. First, there is greater genetic homology between dogs and humans than between either species and the mouse (3, 4). Second, companion animals live in the same environment as humans and share the similar environmental risk factors. Dogs represent a more outbred population than inbred laboratory animals. Spontaneously developing tumours in dogs share many aspects in tumour biology and behaviour, whereas tumours in experimental laboratory animals are usually induced artificially. Body size and cell kinetics in dogs are comparable to those of humans and sample collection, surgical intervention and imaging is more rapidly applied than in rodent models.

Cancer is one of the main causes of death from disease both in humans and dogs, so a large population of animals with cancer can be included in studies. Furthermore, the incidence of many malignancies in dogs is higher than in humans and the progression is usually faster, which allows rapid accrual of data. The lack of "gold standard" treatment regimens in veterinary cancer patients allows more latitude in prospective clinical trials and makes it easier to investigate novel treatment strategies. Research costs for

Correspondence to: Fabienne Mueller, Section of Diagnostic Imaging and Radiation Oncology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 260, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland. Tel: +41 44 6358448, e-mail: fmueller@vetclinics.unizh.ch

Key Words: Osteosarcoma, comparative biology, dog model, review.

clinical trials using veterinary patients are significantly less than these for human clinical trials.

Until recently, a significant weakness of studying cancer biology in canine cancer models has been the relative lack of species-specific investigational tools, *e.g.*, molecular reagents, monoclonal antibodies, DNA probes and libraries, and canine recombinant products. However, molecular technologies are advancing and efforts to validate reagents and further characterize canine models have been ongoing and show great promise (5).

For each canine cancer model, there are important similarities with and differences from the human disease, and understanding of both is necessary for the appropriate use of dogs as models for human disease. The canine malignancies that offer the best comparative studies include osteosarcoma (6), lymphoma/leukaemia, soft tissue sarcoma, melanoma and mammary tumours (1, 7). The purpose of this report is to review the comparative nature of canine and human osteosarcoma and the current trends in clinical research using dogs as models for human osteosarcoma.

#### **Incidence and Risk Factors**

Osteosarcoma is a high-grade primary bone neoplasm of mesenchymal origin. Worldwide, the incidence of osteosarcoma in humans has been reported to be in the range of 1 to 3 cases per million annually (8). Osteosarcoma can occur as secondary tumour originating at the anatomic site of a pre-existing but otherwise unrelated pathological condition such as Paget disease. Rarely, osteosarcoma can occur extraskeletally, and most often, these patients are older than 30 years of age. The majority of patients diagnosed with osteosarcoma are in their second decade of life. Approximately 70% to 75% of cases occur between the ages 10 and 25 years. There is an overall male predominance in gender predilection, with a male:female ratio of 1.5:1. The lesion is most often found in the metaphysis of long bones. Approximately 50% of the lesions occur around the knee (9). The femur is the single most common anatomic location (45%), followed by the tibia

0250-7005/2007 \$2.00+.40

(15%) and the humerus (10%). The precise etiology of osteosarcoma is unknown. However, there are genetic predispositions, such as the Li-Fraumeni, the Rothmund-Thomson and the Bloom syndromes. Several chemical agents, such as beryllium, and the FBJ-virus were shown to be inducers of osteosarcoma. Irradiation has been shown to induce secondary osteosarcoma and even electrical burn and trauma are thought to be other contributing factors of osteosarcoma pathogenesis (10).

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary bone tumour in dogs, accounting for up to 85% of malignancies originating in the skeleton (11). It is estimated to occur in over 8,000 dogs each year in the United States (6, 12); the actual number is probably higher, since not all cases are confirmed nor registered. Osteosarcoma tends to occur in middle-aged to older dogs, with a median age of 7 years, with some reports showing a bimodal age distribution with a second small peak between 18 and 24 months (13). Approximately 75% of osteosarcoma occur in the appendicular skeleton (14, 15). As in humans, the metaphyseal region of long bones is the most common primary site of osteosarcoma, with front limbs affected twice as often as rear limbs and the distal radius (35%) and proximal humerus (18%) being the two most common locations (16). Osteosarcoma is classically a cancer of large and giant breeds (17). Only 5% of osteosarcoma occur in dogs weighing less than 15 kg, and most of their tumours originate in the axial skeleton (18). Extraskeletal osteosarcoma is a rare neoplasm in older dogs (median age 11 years) that appears to carry a worse prognosis than skeletal osteosarcoma (19-21). The etiology of canine osteosarcoma is generally unknown. Mutagenic effects of ionizing radiation (22-24), multiple minor trauma (e.g., metallic implants) (25-27) and genetic alterations (28-39) are possible risk factors.

## **Clinical Presentation and Diagnosis**

Most human patients diagnosed with osteosarcoma report a brief history of pain and swelling of the involved limb. The pain may be intermittent at first, only later become constant, particularly at night. Due to the age of these patients, pain around the knee is often mistaken as a sports injury and is not recognized until the tumour progresses in size. Hematogenic micrometastasis occur most often in the lungs and develop in approximately half of all patients.

Dogs with appendicular osteosarcoma usually present with acute or chronic onset of lameness and/or swelling of the affected limb. The diagnostic work-up of the osteosarcoma of dogs is the same as for humans. Regional radiographs of the affected area most commonly reveal aggressive, metaphyseal lesions of the long bones. The radiographic appearance of osteosarcoma can range from lytic to blastic and is usually a mixture of both (40). The

gold standard for diagnosis is considered to be biopsy with histopathological evaluation (41), although fine-needle aspiration and cytology of lytic lesions is gradually being used more frequently in veterinary medicine (42). Histologically, osteosarcoma is described as a malignant spindle cell tumour characterized by the production of an osteoid matrix by the tumour cells. In addition, mainly human patients undergo whole body bone scans, CT and MRI to precisely define the local extent of the tumour, as well as CT or, in selected cases, PET-CT of the lung to assess metastatic disease.

#### **Genetic Abnormalities**

Tumour suppressor pathways. Recent data suggest that specific pathways may play an important role in the pathogenesis of osteogenic sarcoma. p53 and Rb alterations are frequent events and thought to contribute to the initiation of the tumour. Further, RECQ helicases, as well as telomere maintenance, are crucial for early progression (10, 43). The P53 protein acts as a major regulator of cell replication and p53 mutations lead to un-regulated replicative capability of the affected cell. MDM2 is an important regulator of p53. In human osteosarcoma, the overall frequency of p53 mutations ranges from 15% to 30% (44). The canine p53 has been localized on chromosome 5 (45), the complete p53 wild-type cDNA has been isolated and sequenced (46, 47) and the full length of the wild-type canine p53 protein has been characterized (47). A strong homology exists, with the conserved domains II, III, IV, and V being identical in humans and dogs (48). Alterations in the p53 gene have been demonstrated in a number of canine tumours, including osteosarcoma. Mutations were found, depending on the study, in 7 of 15 appendicular osteosarcoma (28), 4 of 17 (39), and 8 of 21 (32) primary osteosarcoma screened, as well as in osteosarcoma cell lines (31), so both human and canine osteosarcoma patients have p53 mutations at similar frequencies. However, one of the unique mutations affecting the p53 gene in human osteosarcoma were large deletions or rearrangements. No such gross gene alterations could be identified in canine osteosarcoma, which suggests a different mutagenic effector (32).

Human osteosarcoma often harbour mutations in the *Rb* pathway, and it is thought that 60% of patients or more have *Rb*-associated abnormalities (49). Osteosarcoma tumourigenesis in humans results if both alleles at the retinoblastoma susceptibility locus (*Rb* gene) are altered. *Rb* gene alterations may be pertinent to the genesis of most human osteosarcoma cases and some other bone and soft tissue tumours (50). Normally, *Rb* protein blocks cell proliferation by controlling the availability and activity of specific members of the E2F family of transcription factors that govern the progression from G1- to the S-phase of the

cell cycle (51). Ultimately, if the pRb-pathway is disrupted, the cell becomes insensitive to antigrowth factors that block the advancement to the G1-phase, which results in uncontrolled cell proliferation. The role of Rb gene abnormalities in the tumourigenesis of canine osteosarcoma might not be as important as in the human disease (32). Instead, canine osteosarcoma cell lines contain mutations that indirectly inactivate the three Rb family members, Rb, p107 and p130, simultaneously (31).

Another important tumour suppressor gene, PTEN, plays an important role in regulating cell proliferation, migration, and survival, as well as tumour cell invasion and tumourdirected angiogenesis (52-56). RECQ helicases are DNA unwinding enzymes that are involved in many basic cellular processes, including DNA replication, transcription, and repair and are believed to play a role in tumour suppression. Mutations in the RECQL4 gene have been identified in approximately two thirds of patients with Rothmund-Thomson syndrome, and the presence of mutations is correlated with the risk of developing osteosarcoma (57). RECQ have not been evaluated in canine osteosarcoma. PTEN is mutated in a wide range of human tumours (58), but this has not been evaluated in osteosarcomas. PTEN was investigated in canine osteosarcoma cell lines (30) and four of five of these cell lines expressed high levels of the phosphorylated form of Akt, which may be an indirect indicator of aberrant PTEN expression.

Oncogene overexpression. Although many proto-oncogenes are overexpressed in osteosarcomas, the exact role of this overepression in the pathogenesis of osteosarcoma is not yet clear. erbB-2 is a proto-oncogene that encodes human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2), which, when activated, induces a cascade of mechanisms resulting in cell transformation and growth (59). The role of Her2 in human is controversial, overexpression of HER-2/erbB2 in human osteosarcoma has been shown by several groups, who suggest a correlation with poor clinical outcome (60-62), whereas other groups have found overexpression to be very rare or absent (63-66). The expression of erbB-2 has been evaluated in seven canine osteosarcoma cell lines and 10 canine osteosarcoma tissue samples (67), and was found to be overexpressed in 86% of the cell lines and 40% of the osteosarcoma tissue samples.

Other oncogenes that have been investigated in human osteosarcoma include c-myc, c-fos, MET, SAS, and GLI. Both c-myc and c-fos have been shown to be overexpressed in human osteosarcoma (68, 69). A study evaluating the proto-oncogenes c-sis, c-myc, N-myc, and cH-ras in 9 canine osteosarcoma and 17 normal canine tissue samples revealed significant amplifications of the c-sis and c-myc genes in tumour tissue (36). This study also showed similar levels of expression of the sis gene product, PDGF- $\beta$ , in human and

in canine osteosarcoma by immunostaining. Another group described an overexpression of the sis oncogene in a canine osteosarcoma cell line, and all the canine osteosarcoma cell lines tested in that study contained PDGF receptors (29). This suggests the possibility of an autocrine growth factor loop participating in the pathogenesis of a subset of canine osteosarcomas.

Insulin-linke growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and IGF binding proteins (IGFBPs) have major regulatory function on the physiology of osteoblasts (70). Osteosarcoma cells have been shown to express IGF-I and IGF-I receptors, proliferate in response to IGF-I and demonstrate an antiapoptotic phenotype in vitro (71, 72). Human preclinical studies suggest a role of IGF-1 in the proliferation of osteosarcoma cells in vivo (73), although so far, studies have failed to demonstrate a correlation between IGF-1 or IGF-1R expression with clinical behaviour of human osteosarcoma (74, 75). Only one study revealed that high IGF expression was correlated with a lower apoptotic rate of osteosarcoma cells following chemotherapy (76). In a preclinical study in pet dogs, the suppression of serum IGF levels using a long-acting analogue of somatostatin (OncoLAR) did not improve chemotherapy related antitumour effects (77). Recently, IGF-1 was shown to play a significant role on osteosarcoma cell growth and invasion in canine and human osteosarcoma cell lines (34).

Another study characterized the role of hepatocyte growth factor (*HGF*) and its receptor *c-Met* in human osteosarcoma cell lines and cells derived from spontaneous canine osteosarcoma (35). Their results indicate that *c-Met* and *HGF-SG* may contribute to the malignant phenotype of human and canine osteosarcoma as well. A study investigating the Met receptor activation in five human osteosarcoma cell lines revealed that *HGF* activates both mitogen and motogen machineries in these osteosarcoma cells (78). A variety of different canine tumour cell lines including osteosarcma cell lines were screened for the expression of Met, *HGF* and *HGFA* in a recent study (79). Overexpression of *Met* was present in all cell lines.

#### Metastasis

Human osteosarcoma most often metastasizes to the lung and bone. Lymph node metastasis is rare. At diagnosis, approximately 80% of patients are believed to have micrometastatic disease, but they are only detectable in 8-15% with current diagnostic tools (80, 81). For these reasons, many patients have undetectable pulmonary micrometastasis at diagnosis. The 5-year survival rate is between 65% and 75% for localized disease, whereas for patients with metastasis at presentation, it remains poor at 20% (82, 83). Despite the advances in surgical and medical management, the mechanisms underlying osteosarcoma progression and metastasis is still to be elucidated.

In dogs, osteosarcoma has very aggressive local effects and metastases are very common. The pulmonary parenchyma is the most common metastatic site (13, 14). In a retrospective study, histologically confirmed regional lymph node metastases were found in 4.4% of 228 dogs with osteosarcoma at the time of limb amputation (84). An increase in the incidence of bone and soft tissue metastasis following chemotherapy has been documented in both humans and in dogs (85-90). Although less than 15% of dogs have radiographically detectable pulmonary metastases at initial evaluation, occult metastatic disease is present in approximately 90% of dogs at presentation (11). The usual cause of death in humans and in dogs following amputation as the sole treatment for osteosarcoma is diffuse pulmonary metastasis (91).

Metastasis-related genes. Gene expression profiling through the use of complementary DNA microarray analysis is beginning to uncover the molecular events that dictate the metastatic potential. These findings may pave the way for future molecularly targeted therapies. In humans, a few such markers have been indentified: ezrin, a membranecytoskeleton linker, a member of the ERM family of proteins (92), Annexin-2, which belongs to a family of diverse proteins characterized by by conserved annexin repeat domains and the ability to bind negatively-charged phospholipids in a calcium-dependent manner (93); CXCR4 or chemokine receptor-4, which belongs to a family of cytokine-like proteins that play a role in cytoskeleton rearrangement, adhesion to endothelial cells, and directional migration (94, 95), and Fas-ligand and its receptor, a member of the tumour necrosis factor receptor family and a transmembrane protein that induces apoptosis in susceptible cells by interacting with its receptor.

Ezrin protein was detected in 83% of primary osteosarcoma in dogs (92). The presence of high ezrin staining in the primary tumour was associated with a significantly shorter median disease-free interval (DFI; 116 d) compared to dogs with low ezrin staining (median DFI 188 d (92)). A similar correlation between ezrin staining and DFI and survival was found in a small set of prospectively collected tissues from pediatric human patients with osteosarcoma, where ezrin was expressed in 92% of the samples. In one study, rapamycin was shown to inhibit ezrin-mediated metastatic behaviour in a murine model of osteoarcoma by blocking the mTOR/S6K1/4E-BP-1 pathway (96). These results suggest that blocking this pathway may be an appropriate target for strategies to reduce tumour cell metastasis.

### **Prognostic Factors**

In humans, the single most important prognostic parameters are the development of metastasis and unresponsiveness to chemotherapy, or both. It has been shown that patients who presented with metastasis at diagnosis have a worse prognosis than those with whom metastasis is diagnosed during or subsequent to completion of chemotherapy (82, 83). Interestingly, this has also been confirmed for pelvic osteosarcoma, an anatomic location which is associated with bad prognosis per se. The main purpose of chemotherapy is to reduce the micrometastatic tumour burden. However, there are patients whose tumour cells are completely unresponsive to these drugs. The reasons for this are currently unknown, however, it has been shown that there is an inbuilt cellular expression profile which determines the fate regarding the chemotherapy response already in the primary tumour (97). Although currently still too expensive to be used on a daily basis, there is great potential in the future to distinguish those patients who will benefit from chemotherapy from others, thereby sparing them from the hazardous treatment. Basically, besides the development of metastasis and unresponsiveness to chemotherapy as the two most important prognostic indicators, all other prognostic parameters described for dogs are also applicable to humans and are only mentioned in the following part of this review.

A number of factors have been identified as prognostic in dogs with osteosarcoma. The most important ones include presence of metastases at the time of diagnosis (11), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) levels (98, 99), histological grade (100) and microvascular density (101). Once metastatic disease is clinically detectable, chemotherapy is usually ineffective at improving survival (102). Pulmonary metastasectomy is a well-described procedure in people (103) that was shown to contribute to significant prolonged survival in a small subset of canine patients (104).

ALP-levels are a negative prognostic factor and dogs with elevated levels have shorter survival times by approximately 50% (170 days *versus* >400 days), even when treated aggressively with surgery and chemotherapy (98, 99).

There are many different histological subclassifications of osteosarcoma based on the type and amount of matrix and the characteristics of the cells. So far, it has not been well established if these subclassifications have any prognostic significance (13, 105). It has been shown that there is a correlation between the metastatic behaviour of canine osteosarcoma and the histological grade, based on microscopic features like cellular pleomorphism, mitotic figures, tumour matrix, tumour cellularity and percentage necrosis (100, 106). Twenty-five percent of tumours that were categorized as grade I or II were associated with a significantly longer disease-free and overall survival than the 75% of tumours graded as III. One study of 52 canine osteosarcoma samples revealed that dogs presenting with detectable metastatic disease had a significantly higher vascular density in their primary tumour than those presenting without (101).

Young dogs appear to have shorter survival times, with biologically more aggressive disease (91). The reason for a poorer prognosis in very young dogs is unknown, but it has also been documented in humans (107-111). It should be noted that although osteosarcoma in people usually affects young adults and skeletally immature children and adolescents, in dogs, osteosarcoma almost always affects skeletally mature individuals. Therefore, although the youngest affected individuals of both species seem to have the poorest prognosis, the biological basis for this effect may be different in each species.

Tumour necrosis after primary chemotherapy is a well recognized prognostic factor in human medicine. Percentage necrosis is used to modify postsurgical chemotherapy protocols. In one study with 200 dogs, tumour necrosis was strongly correlated with local tumour control, but there was no correlation between tumour necrosis and time to metastasis (112). Another study found a significant direct correlation between survival time and percentage necrosis following 2 or 3 doses of doxorubicin (88).

Other factors have been proposed as prognostic indicators for canine osteosarcoma. Large tumour size (13, 113, 114) has been correlated with poor outcome in dogs and has been reported as a negative prognostic factor in human osteosarcoma (115). In dogs, body weight >40 kg (17, 105) and proximal humerus location were associated with a shorter disease-free interval and survival in another study (116). Possible explanations for these findings are that tumours in the proximal humerus might be more advanced before detection and that larger dogs might receive proportionally less chemotherapy than smaller dogs, when treated on a per meter-square body surface area basis. In contrast, the only anatomic site that was associated with an improved outcome was the mandible (117).

Molecular prognostic factors. Although p53 alterations alone were not shown to be a useful marker for disease progression in humans (10), in one study, the coexpression of p53 and pglycoprotein was found to be a strong negative prognostic factor (118). In one study, 167 canine osseous tumours were evaluated for clinicopathological value of immunohistochemical expression of p53 protein (119). The authors found that the prevalence of overall positive p53 staining was higher in osteosarcoma than other bone tumours. There was a direct correlation between p53 index (= p53 staining frequency and intensity) and clinicopathological parameters, for example the histologic grade of osteosarcomas, the mitotic index and degree of tumour necrosis. P53 index showed no association with age, primary or secondary site status, or the presence of metastases. The authors considered the p53 index as a potential prognostic indicator for canine osseous tumours.

In 47 human osteosarcoma patients, Rb gene loss of heterozygosity was correlated with a significantly lower

event-free survival rate (49). In another study, *Rb* gene abnormalities were associated with tumour grade (120). *Rb* gene loss of heterozygosity was considered an early predictive feature for patients with osteosarcoma indicating a potentially unfavourable prognosis.

COX-2 is an inducible enzyme, responsible for the production of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), which has been found to be up-regulated in inflammatory and neoplastic conditions (121, 122). A study in human pediatric sarcomas including osteosarcomas found that 86% of tumours overexpressed COX-2 and there was a trend towards increased COX-2 expression in metasatic osteosarcoma (123) However, Cox-2 expression did not vary with clinicopathological features and was not predictive of prognosis in another study of 99 archived human osteosarcoma samples (124). A recent in vitro study even found decreased proliferation and increased apoptosis in human osteosarcoma cells overexpressing COX-2 (125). COX-2 expression was analysed in 44 canine osteosarcoma (126) and 77.3% of the tissue samples were positive for COX-2 expression, although most of the cases (88%) had poor to moderate COX-2 staining. Dogs with strong COX-2 expression had significantly decreased overall survival compared to dogs with negative or poor to moderate staining (86 days vs. ~ 400 days).

#### **Treatment Options and Outcome**

Standard of care. Standard of care, defined as the treatment that results in the longest median survival times, is a combination of chemotherapy and surgery. In humans, neoadjuvant chemotherapy is followed by surgical resection of the tumour and adjuvant chemotherapy. This treatment strategy leads to a 5-year survival of 60% overall. Seventy-five percent of patients with localized disease are alive after 5 years, whereas the 5-year survival rate for patients with metastatic disease at presentation is only 15 to 20%. In dogs, surgical resection of the primary tumour, followed by 3 to 6 cycles of either a platinum- or doxorubicin-based chemotherapy protocol is considered the standard therapy (88, 89, 116, 127-130). This treatment results in a 50% 1-year survival and a 20% 2-year-survival (11).

Palliative treatment. Due to the established treatment regimen in humans with osteosarcoma, palliative treatment is rarely indicated nowadays. Widespread metastatic disease responds poorly to chemotherapy. Locally uncontrolled tumour growth may lead to chronic pain und poor function of the respective limb, and amputation may be indicated under these circumstances.

Palliation is indicated for dogs with metastatic osteosarcoma or when owners do not want to pursue more aggressive treatment options. Palliative management aims

to control pain and lameness associated with the primary tumour but does not attempt to modify disease progression or improve survival time.

Radiation therapy provides effective symptomatic treatment for local bone pain in humans and dogs. It reduces inflammation and results in tumour cell necrosis, replacement by fibrous tissue, and formation and calcification of woven bone (131). Radiation may be used in humans when there is a surgically inaccessible or uncontrollable lesion such as the pelvis or spine. A number of different radiation protocols were described (132-135). The overall response rates range from 74% to 92% with median duration of response intervals ranging from 73 days to 130 days and median survival ranging from 122 to 313 days.

Analgesia is the cornerstone of palliative management of dogs with osteosarcoma. Initially, NSAIDs may be sufficient to control pain and improve quality of life (136). More potent analgesics (e.g. opiates) and combinations of these drugs are often required for effective pain relief during the course of therapy (136). Analgesics can also be used together with radiotherapy.

Future therapeutic strategies. Despite significant improvements over the last decades using a combination of chemotherapy and surgery, patients with metastatic disease and/or unresponsiveness to chemotherapy continue to have a very poor prognosis. Understanding the mechanisms and interactions of various molecular pathways in patients with osteosarcoma will hopefully help to identify new targets for therapy that may prove more effective or less toxic, especially for the tumours that respond poorly to conventional treatment (137, 138). New treatment strategies under investigation are, for example, molecular targeting of metastasis or chemoresistance markers, investigations in nanomedicine, and immunostimulatory agents that might be of benefit in the treatment of osteosarcoma.

Results from studies in canine patients have provided relevant information for new treatment strategies in human osteosarcoma. A randomized, double-blind study performed in canine spontaneous osteosarcoma showed that muramyl tripeptide (MTP), a macrophage activator, significantly prolonged survival in dogs after amputation (139). Subsequent clinical studies in human patients with metastatic osteosacoma demonstrated a longer time to relapse following metastatectomy after administration of liposomal MTP (140-142).

The safety and toxicity of intravenous gene delivery, using liposome-DNA complexes encoding the canine *IL-2* gene was evaluated in a phase-I study in 20 dogs with metastatic osteosarcoma. The IV-infusions were well tolerated, capable of eliciting substantial immune activation and able to prolong survival times in dogs with advanced lung metastases (143).

#### Conclusion

Osteosarcoma in dogs shows striking similarities in tumour biology and behaviour, including metastatic prospensity, with its human counterpart. Therefore, canine patients offer a unique opportunity for autochthonous tumour studies. Further understanding of important similarities and differences from the human disease are necessary to define limitations and advantages of using domestic dogs as models for human osteosarcoma.

#### References

- 1 Vail DM and MacEwen EG: Spontaneously occurring tumors of companion animals as models for human cancer. Cancer Invest 18: 781-792, 2000.
- 2 Sutter NB and Ostrander EA: Dog star rising: the canine genetic system. Nat Rev Genet 5: 900-910, 2004.
- 3 Kirkness EF, Bafna V, Halpern AL, Levy S, Remington K, Rusch DB, Delcher AL, Pop M, Wang W, Fraser CM and Venter JC: The dog genome: survey sequencing and comparative analysis. Science 301: 1898-1903, 2003.
- 4 Switonski M, Szczerbal I and Nowacka J: The dog genome map and its use in mammalian comparative genomics. J Appl Genet 45: 195-214, 2004.
- 5 Paoloni M DS, Lana S, Withrow S, Meltzer P and Khanna C: Comparative gene expression analysis of canine and human osteosarcoma. At: 25th Annual Conference of the Veterinary Cancer Society, Huntington Beach, California, pp. 54, 2005.
- 6 Withrow SJ, Powers BE, Straw RC and Wilkins RM: Comparative aspects of osteosarcoma. Dog versus man. Clin Orthop Relat Res 270: 159-168, 1991.
- 7 Hahn KA, Bravo L, Adams WH and Frazier DL: Naturally occurring tumors in dogs as comparative models for cancer therapy research. In Vivo 8: 133-143, 1994.
- 8 Goorin AM, Abelson HT and Frei E 3rd: Osteosarcoma: fifteen years later. N Engl J Med 313: 1637-1643, 1985.
- 9 Sim FH, Edmonson JH and Wold LE: Soft-tissue sarcomas. Future perspectives. Clin Orthop Relat Res 289: 106-112, 1993.
- 10 Fuchs B and Pritchard DJ: Etiology of osteosarcoma. Clin Orthop Relat Res 397: 40-52, 2002.
- 11 Dernell WS, Straw RC and Withrow SJ: Tumors of the skeletal system. *In*: Small Animal Clinical Oncology. Withrow SJ, McEwen EG (eds.). Philadelphia, WB Saunders, pp. 378-417, 2001.
- 12 Priester WA and McKay FW: The occurrence of tumors in domestic animals. Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 54: 1-210, 1980.
- 13 Misdorp W and Hart AA: Some prognostic and epidemiologic factors in canine osteosarcoma. J Natl Cancer Inst 62: 537-545, 1979.
- 14 Brodey RS and Riser WH: Canine osteosarcoma. A clinicopathologic study of 194 cases. Clin Orthop Relat Res 62: 54-64, 1969.
- 15 Heyman SJ, Diefenderfer DL, Goldschmidt MH and Newton CD: Canine axial skeletal osteosarcoma. A retrospective study of 116 cases (1986 to 1989). Vet Surg 21: 304-310, 1992.
- 16 Knecht CD and Priester WA: Musculoskeletal tumors in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc 172: 72-74. 1978.
- 17 Ru G, Terracini B and Glickman LT: Host related risk factors for canine osteosarcoma. Vet J 156: 31-39, 1998.

- 18 Cooley DM and Waters DJ: Skeletal neoplasms of small dogs: a retrospective study and literature review. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 33: 11-23, 1997.
- 19 Patnaik AK: Canine extraskeletal osteosarcoma and chondrosarcoma: a clinicopathologic study of 14 cases. Vet Pathol 27: 46-55, 1990.
- 20 Kuntz CA, Dernell WS, Powers BE and Withrow S: Extraskeletal osteosarcomas in dogs: 14 cases. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 34: 26-30, 1998.
- 21 Langenbach A, Anderson MA, Dambach DM, Sorenmo KU and Shofer FD: Extraskeletal osteosarcomas in dogs: a retrospective study of 169 cases (1986-1996). J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 34: 113-120, 1998.
- 22 Gillette SM, Gillette EL, Powers BE and Withrow SJ: Radiation-induced osteosarcoma in dogs after external beam or intraoperative radiation therapy. Cancer Res 50: 54-57, 1990.
- 23 McEntee MC, Page RL, Theon A, Erb HN and Thrall DE: Malignant tumor formation in dogs previously irradiated for acanthomatous epulis. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 45: 357-361, 2004.
- 24 Schneider U, Lomax A, Hauser B and Kaser-Hotz B: Is the risk for secondary cancers after proton therapy enhanced distal to the Planning Target Volume? A two-case report with possible explanations. Radiat Environ Biophys 45: 39-43, 2006.
- 25 Bennett D, Campbell JR and Brown P: Osteosarcoma associated with healed fractures. J Small Anim Pract 20: 13-18, 1979.
- 26 Stevenson S: Fracture-associated sarcomas. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 21: 859-872, 1991.
- 27 Sinibaldi K, Rosen H, Liu SK and DeAngelis M: Tumors associated with metallic implants in animals. Clin Orthop Relat Res 118: 257-266, 1976.
- 28 Johnson AS, Couto CG and Weghorst CM: Mutation of the p53 tumor suppressor gene in spontaneously occurring osteosarcomas of the dog. Carcinogenesis 19: 213-217, 1998.
- 29 Levine RA: Overexpression of the sis oncogene in a canine osteosarcoma cell line. Vet Pathol 39: 411-412, 2002.
- 30 Levine RA, Forest T and Smith C: Tumor suppressor PTEN is mutated in canine osteosarcoma cell lines and tumors. Vet Pathol 39: 372-378, 2002.
- 31 Levine RA and Fleischli MA: Inactivation of p53 and retinoblastoma family pathways in canine osteosarcoma cell lines. Vet Pathol 37: 54-61, 2000.
- 32 Mendoza S, Konishi T, Dernell WS, Withrow SJ and Miller CW: Status of the p53, Rb and MDM2 genes in canine osteosarcoma. Anticancer Res 18: 4449-4453, 1998.
- 33 Setoguchi A, Sakai T, Okuda M, Minehata K, Yazawa M, Ishizaka T, Watari T, Nishimura R, Sasaki N, Hasegawa A and Tsujimoto H: Aberrations of the *p53* tumor suppressor gene in various tumors in dogs. Am J Vet Res 62: 433-439, 2001.
- 34 MacEwen EG, Pastor J, Kutzke J, Tsan R, Kurzman ID, Thamm DH, Wilson M and Radinsky R: IGF-1 receptor contributes to the malignant phenotype in human and canine osteosarcoma. J Cell Biochem 92: 77-91, 2004.
- 35 MacEwen EG, Kutzke J, Carew J, Pastor J, Schmidt JA, Tsan R, Thamm DH and Radinsky R: c-Met tyrosine kinase receptor expression and function in human and canine osteosarcoma cells. Clin Exp Metastasis 20: 421-430, 2003.
- 36 Kochevar DT, Kochevar J and Garrett L: Low level amplification of *c-sis* and *c-myc* in a spontaneous osteosarcoma model. Cancer Lett *53*: 213-222, 1990.

- 37 Ferracini R, Angelini P, Cagliero E, Linari A, Martano M, Wunder J and Buracco P: MET oncogene aberrant expression in canine osteosarcoma. J Orthop Res 18: 253-256, 2000.
- 38 Kirpensteijn J, Timmermans-Sprang EP, van Garderen E, Rutteman GR, Lantinga-van Leeuwen IS and Mol JA: Growth hormone gene expression in canine normal growth plates and spontaneous osteosarcoma. Mol Cell Endocrinol 197: 179-185, 2002.
- 39 van Leeuwen IS, Cornelisse CJ, Misdorp W, Goedegebuure SA, Kirpensteijn J and Rutteman GR: P53 gene mutations in osteosarcomas in the dog. Cancer Lett 111: 173-178, 1997.
- 40 Wrigley RH: Malignant versus nonmalignant bone disease. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 30: 315-347, vi-vii, 2000.
- 41 Powers BE, LaRue SM, Withrow SJ, Straw RC and Richter SL: Jamshidi needle biopsy for diagnosis of bone lesions in small animals. J Am Vet Med Assoc 193: 205-210, 1988.
- 42 Barger A, Graca R, Bailey K, Messick J, de Lorimier LP, Fan T and Hoffmann W: Use of alkaline phosphatase staining to differentiate canine osteosarcoma from other vimentinpositive tumors. Vet Pathol 42: 161-165, 2005.
- 43 Wang LL: Biology of osteogenic sarcoma. Cancer J 11: 294-305, 2005.
- 44 Gokgoz N, Wunder JS, Mousses S, Eskandarian S, Bell RS and Andrulis IL: Comparison of p53 mutations in patients with localized osteosarcoma and metastatic osteosarcoma. Cancer 92: 2181-2189, 2001.
- 45 Guevara-Fujita ML, Loechel R, Venta PJ, Yuzbasiyan-Gurkan V and Brewer GJ: Chromosomal assignment of seven genes on canine chromosomes by fluorescence in situ hybridization. Mamm Genome 7: 268-270, 1996.
- 46 Chu LL, Rutteman GR, Kong JM, Ghahremani M, Schmeing M, Misdorp W, van Garderen E and Pelletier J: Genomic organization of the canine p53 gene and its mutational status in canine mammary neoplasia. Breast Cancer Res Treat 50: 11-25, 1998.
- 47 Veldhoen N and Milner J: Isolation of canine p53 cDNA and detailed characterization of the full length canine p53 protein. Oncogene 16: 1077-1084, 1998.
- 48 Nasir L, Argyle DJ, McFarlane ST and Reid SW: Nucleotide sequence of a highly conserved region of the canine *p53* tumour suppressor gene. DNA Seq 8: 83-86, 1997.
- 49 Feugeas O, Guriec N, Babin-Boilletot A, Marcellin L, Simon P, Babin S, Thyss A, Hofman P, Terrier P, Kalifa C, Brunat-Mentigny M, Patricot LM and Oberling F: Loss of heterozygosity of the RB gene is a poor prognostic factor in patients with osteosarcoma. J Clin Oncol 14: 467-472, 1996.
- 50 Araki N, Uchida A, Kimura T, Yoshikawa H, Aoki Y, Ueda T, Takai S, Miki T and Ono K: Involvement of the retinoblastoma gene in primary osteosarcomas and other bone and soft-tissue tumors. Clin Orthop Relat Res 270: 271-277, 1991.
- 51 Weinberg RA: The retinoblastoma protein and cell cycle control. Cell 81: 323-330, 1995.
- 52 Ali IU: Gatekeeper for endometrium: the *PTEN* tumor suppressor gene. J Natl Cancer Inst 92: 861-863, 2000.
- 53 Cantley LC and Neel BG: New insights into tumor suppression: PTEN suppresses tumor formation by restraining the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/AKT pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96: 4240-4245, 1999.
- 54 Dahia PL: PTEN, a unique tumor suppressor gene. Endocr Relat Cancer 7: 115-129, 2000.

- 55 Di Cristofano A and Pandolfi PP: The multiple roles of *PTEN* in tumor suppression. Cell *100*: 387-390, 2000.
- 56 Tamura M, Gu J, Tran H and Yamada KM: PTEN gene and integrin signaling in cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 91: 1820-1828, 1999
- 57 Wang LL, Gannavarapu A, Kozinetz CA, Levy ML, Lewis RA, Chintagumpala MM, Ruiz-Maldanado R, Contreras-Ruiz J, Cunniff C, Erickson RP, Lev D, Rogers M, Zackai EH and Plon SE: Association between osteosarcoma and deleterious mutations in the *RECQL4* gene in Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. J Natl Cancer Inst 95: 669-674, 2003.
- 58 Ali IU, Schriml LM and Dean M: Mutational spectra of PTEN/MMAC1 gene: a tumor suppressor with lipid phosphatase activity. J Natl Cancer Inst 91: 1922-1932, 1999.
- 59 Hudziak RM, Schlessinger J and Ullrich A: Increased expression of the putative growth factor receptor p185HER2 causes transformation and tumorigenesis of NIH 3T3 cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 84: 7159-7163, 1987.
- 60 Zhou H, Randall RL, Brothman AR, Maxwell T, Coffin CM and Goldsby RE: Her-2/neu expression in osteosarcoma increases risk of lung metastasis and can be associated with gene amplification. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 25: 27-32, 2003.
- 61 Gorlick R, Huvos AG, Heller G, Aledo A, Beardsley GP, Healey JH and Meyers PA: Expression of HER2/erbB-2 correlates with survival in osteosarcoma. J Clin Oncol 17: 2781-2788, 1999.
- 62 Onda M, Matsuda S, Higaki S, Iijima T, Fukushima J, Yokokura A, Kojima T, Horiuchi H, Kurokawa T and Yamamoto T: ErbB-2 expression is correlated with poor prognosis for patients with osteosarcoma. Cancer 77: 71-78, 1996.
- 63 Anninga JK, van de Vijver MJ, Cleton-Jansen AM, Kristel PM, Taminiau AH, Nooij M, Egeler RM and Hogendoorn PC: Overexpression of the HER-2 oncogene does not play a role in high-grade osteosarcomas. Eur J Cancer 40: 963-970, 2004.
- 64 Tsai JY, Aviv H, Benevenia J, Chang VT, Patterson F, Aisner S and Hameed M: HER-2/neu and p53 in osteosarcoma: an immunohistochemical and fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis. Cancer Invest 22: 16-24, 2004.
- 65 Maitra A, Wanzer D, Weinberg AG and Ashfaq R: Amplification of the *HER-2/neu* oncogene is uncommon in pediatric osteosarcomas. Cancer 92: 677-683, 2001.
- 66 Thomas DG, Giordano TJ, Sanders D, Biermann JS and Baker L: Absence of *HER2/neu* gene expression in osteosarcoma and skeletal Ewing's sarcoma. Clin Cancer Res 8: 788-793, 2002.
- 67 Flint AF, U'Ren L, Legare ME, Withrow SJ, Dernell W and Hanneman WH: Overexpression of the *erbB-2* proto-oncogene in canine osteosarcoma cell lines and tumors. Vet Pathol *41*: 291-296, 2004.
- 68 Ladanyi M, Park CK, Lewis R, Jhanwar SC, Healey JH and Huvos AG: Sporadic amplification of the *MYC* gene in human osteosarcomas. Diagn Mol Pathol 2: 163-167, 1993.
- 69 Wu JX, Carpenter PM, Gresens C, Keh R, Niman H, Morris JW and Mercola D: The proto-oncogene *c-fos* is over-expressed in the majority of human osteosarcomas. Oncogene 5: 989-1000, 1990.
- 70 Canalis E, McCarthy T and Centrella M: Growth factors and the regulation of bone remodeling. J Clin Invest 81: 277-281, 1988

- 71 Kappel CC, Velez-Yanguas MC, Hirschfeld S and Helman LJ: Human osteosarcoma cell lines are dependent on insulin-like growth factor I for *in vitro* growth. Cancer Res 54: 2803-2807, 1994.
- 72 Resnicoff M, Abraham D, Yutanawiboonchai W, Rotman HL, Kajstura J, Rubin R, Zoltick P and Baserga R: The insulin-like growth factor I receptor protects tumor cells from apoptosis in vivo. Cancer Res 55: 2463-2469, 1995.
- 73 Pollak M, Costantino J, Polychronakos C, Blauer SA, Guyda H, Redmond C, Fisher B and Margolese R: Effect of tamoxifen on serum insulinlike growth factor I levels in stage I breast cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 82: 1693-1697, 1990.
- 74 Burrow S, Andrulis IL, Pollak M and Bell RS: Expression of insulin-like growth factor receptor, IGF-1 and IGF-2 in primary and metastatic osteosarcoma. J Surg Oncol 69: 21-27, 1998.
- 75 Rodriguez-Galindo C, Poquette CA, Daw NC, Tan M, Meyer WH and Cleveland JL: Circulating concentrations of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 are not predictive of incidence or clinical behavior of pediatric osteosarcoma. Med Pediatr Oncol 36: 605-611, 2001.
- 76 Rytwinski K, Wnuk A, Wozniak W and Rychlowska M: Relationship between the expression of insulin-like growth factor I receptors and apoptosis after preliminary chemotherapy, in child and adolescent osteosarcoma. Med Wieku Rozwoj 8: 267-273, 2004.
- 77 Khanna C, Prehn J, Hayden D, Cassaday RD, Caylor J, Jacob S, Bose SM, Hong SH, Hewitt SM and Helman LJ: A randomized controlled trial of octreotide pamoate long-acting release and carboplatin *versus* carboplatin alone in dogs with naturally occurring osteosarcoma: evaluation of insulin-like growth factor suppression and chemotherapy. Clin Cancer Res 8: 2406-2412, 2002.
- 78 Coltella N, Manara MC, Cerisano V, Trusolino L, Di Renzo MF, Scotlandi K and Ferracini R: Role of the MET/HGF receptor in proliferation and invasive behavior of osteosarcoma. Faseb J 17: 1162-1164, 2003.
- 79 Liao AT, McMahon M and London CA: Identification of a novel germline MET mutation in dogs. Anim Genet 37: 248-252, 2006.
- 80 Link MP, Goorin AM, Miser AW, Green AA, Pratt CB, Belasco JB, Pritchard J, Malpas JS, Baker AR, Kirkpatrick JA et al: The effect of adjuvant chemotherapy on relapse-free survival in patients with osteosarcoma of the extremity. N Engl J Med 314: 1600-1606, 1986.
- 81 Ward WG and Hosseinian AA: Rehabilitation of patients following resection of extremity soft tissue sarcomas. Cancer Control *I*: 606-612, 1994.
- 82 Bielack SS, Kempf-Bielack B, Delling G, Exner GU, Flege S, Helmke K, Kotz R, Salzer-Kuntschik M, Werner M, Winkelmann W, Zoubek A, Jurgens H and Winkler K: Prognostic factors in high-grade osteosarcoma of the extremities or trunk: an analysis of 1,702 patients treated on neoadjuvant cooperative osteosarcoma study group protocols. J Clin Oncol 20: 776-790, 2002.
- 83 Harris MB, Gieser P, Goorin AM, Ayala A, Shochat SJ, Ferguson WS, Holbrook T and Link MP: Treatment of metastatic osteosarcoma at diagnosis: a Pediatric Oncology Group Study. J Clin Oncol 16: 3641-3648, 1998.

- 84 Hillers KR, Dernell WS, Lafferty MH, Withrow SJ and Lana SE: Incidence and prognostic importance of lymph node metastases in dogs with appendicular osteosarcoma: 228 cases (1986-2003). J Am Vet Med Assoc 226: 1364-1367, 2005.
- 85 Giuliano AE, Feig S and Eilber FR: Changing metastatic patterns of osteosarcoma. Cancer 54: 2160-2164, 1984.
- 86 Bacci G, Ruggieri P, Picci P, Briccoli A, Ferraro A, Ferrari S, Tienghi A, Iantorno D and Campanacci M: Changing pattern of relapse in osteosarcoma of the extremities treated with adjuvant and neoadjuvant chemotherapy. J Chemother 7: 230-239, 1995.
- 87 Bacci G, Avella M, Picci P, Briccoli A, Dallari D and Campanacci M: Metastatic patterns in osteosarcoma. Tumori 74: 421-427, 1988.
- 88 Berg J, Weinstein MJ, Springfield DS and Rand WM: Results of surgery and doxorubicin chemotherapy in dogs with osteosarcoma. J Am Vet Med Assoc 206: 1555-1560, 1995.
- 89 Shapiro W, Fossum TW, Kitchell BE, Couto CG and Theilen GH: Use of cisplatin for treatment of appendicular osteosarcoma in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc 192: 507-511, 1988.
- 90 Mauldin GN, Matus RE, Withrow SJ and Patnaik AK: Canine osteosarcoma. Treatment by amputation versus amputation and adjuvant chemotherapy using doxorubicin and cisplatin. J Vet Intern Med 2: 177-180, 1988.
- 91 Spodnick GJ, Berg J, Rand WM, Schelling SH, Couto G, Harvey HJ, Henderson RA, MacEwen G, Mauldin N, McCaw DL et al: Prognosis for dogs with appendicular osteosarcoma treated by amputation alone: 162 cases (1978-1988). J Am Vet Med Assoc 200: 995-999, 1992.
- 92 Khanna C, Wan X, Bose S, Cassaday R, Olomu O, Mendoza A, Yeung C, Gorlick R, Hewitt SM and Helman LJ: The membrane-cytoskeleton linker ezrin is necessary for osteosarcoma metastasis. Nat Med 10: 182-186, 2004.
- 93 Gillette JM, Chan DC and Nielsen-Preiss SM: Annexin 2 expression is reduced in human osteosarcoma metastases. J Cell Biochem 92: 820-832, 2004.
- 94 Laverdiere C, Hoang BH, Yang R, Sowers R, Qin J, Meyers PA, Huvos AG, Healey JH and Gorlick R: Messenger RNA expression levels of CXCR4 correlate with metastatic behavior and outcome in patients with osteosarcoma. Clin Cancer Res 11: 2561-2567, 2005.
- 95 Miura K, Uniyal S, Leabu M, Oravecz T, Chakrabarti S, Morris VL and Chan BM: Chemokine receptor CXCR4-beta1 integrin axis mediates tumorigenesis of osteosarcoma HOS cells. Biochem Cell Biol 83: 36-48, 2005.
- 96 Wan X, Mendoza A, Khanna C and Helman LJ: Rapamycin inhibits ezrin-mediated metastatic behavior in a murine model of osteosarcoma. Cancer Res 65: 2406-2411, 2005.
- 97 Weigelt B, Peterse JL and van't Veer LJ: Breast cancer metastasis: markers and models. Nat Rev Cancer 5: 591-602, 2005.
- 98 Ehrhart N, Dernell WS, Hoffmann WE, Weigel RM, Powers BE and Withrow SJ: Prognostic importance of alkaline phosphatase activity in serum from dogs with appendicular osteosarcoma: 75 cases (1990-1996). J Am Vet Med Assoc 213: 1002-1006, 1998.
- 99 Garzotto CK, Berg J, Hoffmann WE and Rand WM: Prognostic significance of serum alkaline phosphatase activity in canine appendicular osteosarcoma. J Vet Intern Med 14: 587-592, 2000.
- 100 Kirpensteijn J, Kik M, Rutteman GR and Teske E: Prognostic significance of a new histologic grading system for canine osteosarcoma. Vet Pathol *39*: 240-246, 2002.

- 101 Coomber BL, Denton J, Sylvestre A and Kruth S: Blood vessel density in canine osteosarcoma. Can J Vet Res 62: 199-204, 1998.
- 102 Ogilvie GK, Straw RC, Jameson VJ, Walters LM, Lafferty MH, Powers BE and Withrow SJ: Evaluation of single-agent chemotherapy for treatment of clinically evident osteosarcoma metastases in dogs: 45 cases (1987-1991). J Am Vet Med Assoc 202: 304-306, 1993.
- 103 Downey RJ: Surgical treatment of pulmonary metastases. Surg Oncol Clin N Am 8: 341, 1999.
- 104 O'Brien MG, Straw RC, Withrow SJ, Powers BE, Jameson VJ, Lafferty M, Ogilvie GK and LaRue SM: Resection of pulmonary metastases in canine osteosarcoma: 36 cases (1983-1992). Vet Surg 22: 105-109, 1993.
- 105 Hammer AS, Weeren FR, Weisbrode SE and Padgett SL: Prognostic factors in dogs with osteosarcomas of the flat or irregular bones. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 31: 321-326, 1995.
- 106 Kirpensteijn J, Teske E, Kik M, Klenner T and Rutteman GR: Lobaplatin as an adjuvant chemotherapy to surgery in canine appendicular osteosarcoma: a phase II evaluation. Anticancer Res 22: 2765-2770, 2002.
- 107 Taylor WF, Ivins JC, Pritchard DJ, Dahlin DC, Gilchrist GS and Edmonson JH: Trends and variability in survival among patients with osteosarcoma: a 7-year update. Mayo Clin Proc 60: 91-104, 1985.
- 108 Taylor WF, Ivins JC, Dahlin DC, Edmonson JH and Pritchard DJ: Trends and variability in survival from osteosarcoma. Mayo Clin Proc 53: 695-700, 1978.
- 109 Bentzen SM, Poulsen HS, Kaae S, Jensen OM, Johansen H, Mouridsen HT, Daugaard S and Arnoldi C: Prognostic factors in osteosarcomas. A regression analysis. Cancer 62: 194-202, 1988.
- 110 Price CH, Zhuber K, Salzer-Kuntschik M, Salzer M, Willert HG, Immenkamp M, Groh P, Matejovsky Z and Keyl W: Osteosarcoma in children. A study of 125 cases. J Bone Joint Surg Br 57: 341-345, 1975.
- 111 French Bone Tumor Study Group. Age and dose of chemotherapy as major prognostic factors in a trial of adjuvant therapy of osteosarcoma combining two alternating drug combinations and early prophylactic lung irradiation. Cancer 61: 1304-1311, 1988.
- 112 Powers BE, Withrow SJ, Thrall DE, Straw RC, LaRue SM, Page RL and Gillette EL: Percent tumor necrosis as a predictor of treatment response in canine osteosarcoma. Cancer 67: 126-134, 1991.
- 113 Kuntz CA, Asselin TL, Dernell WS, Powers BE, Straw RC and Withrow SJ: Limb salvage surgery for osteosarcoma of the proximal humerus: outcome in 17 dogs. Vet Surg 27: 417-422, 1998.
- 114 Forrest LJ, Dodge RK, Page RL, Heidner GL, McEntee MC, Novotney CA and Thrall DE: Relationship between quantitative tumor scintigraphy and time to metastasis in dogs with osteosarcoma. J Nucl Med 33: 1542-1547, 1992.
- 115 Brostrom LA, Strander H and Nilsonne U: Survival in osteosarcoma in relation to tumor size and location. Clin Orthop Relat Res *167*: 250-254, 1982.
- 116 Bergman PJ, MacEwen EG, Kurzman ID, Henry CJ, Hammer AS, Knapp DW, Hale A, Kruth SA, Klein MK, Klausner J, Norris AM, McCaw D, Straw RC and Withrow SJ: Amputation and carboplatin for treatment of dogs with osteosarcoma: 48 cases (1991 to 1993). J Vet Intern Med 10: 76-81, 1996.

- 117 Straw RC, Powers BE, Klausner J, Henderson RA, Morrison WB, McCaw DL, Harvey HJ, Jacobs RM and Berg RJ: Canine mandibular osteosarcoma: 51 cases (1980-1992). J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 32: 257-262, 1996.
- 118 Park YB, Kim HS, Oh JH and Lee SH: The co-expression of p53 protein and P-glycoprotein is correlated to a poor prognosis in osteosarcoma. Int Orthop 24: 307-310, 2001.
- 119 Loukopoulos P, Thornton JR and Robinson WF: Clinical and pathologic relevance of p53 index in canine osseous tumors. Vet Pathol 40: 237-248, 2003.
- 120 Wadayama B, Toguchida J, Shimizu T, Ishizaki K, Sasaki MS, Kotoura Y and Yamamuro T: Mutation spectrum of the retinoblastoma gene in osteosarcomas. Cancer Res 54: 3042-3048, 1994.
- 121 Williams CS, Mann M and DuBois RN: The role of cyclooxygenases in inflammation, cancer and development. Oncogene 18: 7908-7916, 1999.
- 122 Mohammed SI, Coffman K, Glickman NW, Hayek MG, Waters DJ, Schlittler D, DeNicola DB and Knapp DW: Prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> concentrations in naturally occurring canine cancer. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 64: 1-4, 2001.
- 123 Dickens DS, Kozielski R, Khan J, Forus A and Cripe TP: Cyclooxygenase-2 expression in pediatric sarcomas. Pediatr Dev Pathol 5: 356-364, 2002.
- 124 Dickens DS, Kozielski R, Leavey PJ, Timmons C and Cripe TP: Cyclooxygenase-2 expression does not correlate with outcome in osteosarcoma or rhabdomyosarcoma. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 25: 282-285, 2003.
- 125 Xu Z, Choudhary S, Voznesensky O, Mehrotra M, Woodard M, Hansen M, Herschman H and Pilbeam C: Overexpression of cox-2 in human osteosarcoma cells decreases proliferation and increases apoptosis. Cancer Res 66: 6657-6664, 2006.
- 126 Mullins MN, Lana SE, Dernell WS, Ogilvie GK, Withrow SJ and Ehrhart EJ: Cyclooxygenase-2 expression in canine appendicular osteosarcomas. J Vet Intern Med 18: 859-865, 2004.
- 127 Kraegel SA, Madewell BR, Simonson E and Gregory CR: Osteogenic sarcoma and cisplatin chemotherapy in dogs: 16 cases (1986-1989). J Am Vet Med Assoc 199: 1057-1059, 1991.
- 128 Thompson JP and Fugent MJ: Evaluation of survival times after limb amputation, with and without subsequent administration of cisplatin, for treatment of appendicular osteosarcoma in dogs: 30 cases (1979-1990). J Am Vet Med Assoc 200: 531-533, 1992.
- 129 Berg J, Weinstein MJ, Schelling SH and Rand WM: Treatment of dogs with osteosarcoma by administration of cisplatin after amputation or limb-sparing surgery: 22 cases (1987-1990). J Am Vet Med Assoc 200: 2005-2008, 1992.
- 130 Straw RC, Withrow SJ, Richter SL, Powers BE, Klein MK, Postorino NC, LaRue SM, Ogilvie GK, Vail DM, Morrison WB et al: Amputation and cisplatin for treatment of canine osteosarcoma. J Vet Intern Med 5: 205-210, 1991.
- 131 McEntee MC: Radiation therapy in the management of bone tumors. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 27: 131-138, 1997.

- 132 Green EM, Adams WM and Forrest LJ: Four fraction palliative radiotherapy for osteosarcoma in 24 dogs. J Am Anim Hosp Assoc 38: 445-451, 2002.
- 133 Ramirez O, 3rd, Dodge RK, Page RL, Price GS, Hauck ML, LaDue TA, Nutter F and Thrall DE: Palliative radiotherapy of appendicular osteosarcoma in 95 dogs. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 40: 517-522, 1999.
- 134 Bateman KE, Catton PA, Pennock PW and Kruth SA: 0-7-21 radiation therapy for the palliation of advanced cancer in dogs. J Vet Intern Med 8: 394-399, 1994.
- 135 Heidner GL, Page RL, McEntee MC, Dodge RK and Thrall DE: Treatment of canine appendicular osteosarcoma using cobalt 60 radiation and intraarterial cisplatin. J Vet Intern Med 5: 313-316, 1991.
- 136 Lester P and Gaynor JS: Management of cancer pain. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 30: 951-966, ix, 2000.
- 137 Meyer B EE, Hauke S, Richter A, Winkler S, Rogalla P, Flohr A, Bullerdiek J and Nolte I: Expression Pattern of the HMGB1 Gene in Sarcomas of the Dog. Anticancer Res 24: 707-710, 2004.
- 138 Harisi R DJ, Tímar F, Pogáncy G, Paku S, Tímar J, Kovalszky I, Szendroi M and Jeney A: Antiproliferative and antimigratory effects of doxorubicin in human osteosarcoma cell exposed to etracellular matrix. Anticancer Res 25: 805-814, 2005.
- 139 MacEwen EG, Kurzman ID, Rosenthal RC, Smith BW, Manley PA, Roush JK and Howard PE: Therapy for osteosarcoma in dogs with intravenous injection of liposomeencapsulated muramyl tripeptide. J Natl Cancer Inst 81: 935-938, 1989.
- 140 Kleinerman ES, Jia SF, Griffin J, Seibel NL, Benjamin RS and Jaffe N: Phase II study of liposomal muramyl tripeptide in osteosarcoma: the cytokine cascade and monocyte activation following administration. J Clin Oncol 10: 1310-1316, 1992.
- 141 Asano T and Kleinerman ES: Liposome-encapsulated MTP-PE: a novel biologic agent for cancer therapy. J Immunother 14: 286-292, 1993.
- 142 Kleinerman ES, Gano JB, Johnston DA, Benjamin RS and Jaffe N: Efficacy of liposomal muramyl tripeptide (CGP 19835A) in the treatment of relapsed osteosarcoma. Am J Clin Oncol 18: 93-99, 1995.
- 143 Dow S, Elmslie R, Kurzman I, Macewen G, Pericle F and Liggitt D: Phase I study of liposome-DNA complexes encoding the interleukin-2 gene in dogs with osteosarcoma lung metastases. Hum Gene Ther *16*: 937-946, 2005.

Received September 14, 2006 Revised December 4, 2006 Accepted December 5, 2006