## Errata

Volume 30 (2010), No 6, page 2209:
Affiliations 1 and 2 should read:
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Volume 30 (2010), No 7, page 2884:
Acknowledgements should be inserted before References:
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Volume 30 (2010), No 7, page 2978, right column:
Line 26 should read:
patients with samples drawn at Bu dose numbers 1, 5, 9 and 13 (18).

Volume 30 (2010), No 7, page 2980, Table II, fifth column, line 6:
38.2 should be replaced by 68.2 .

Volume 30 (2010), No 7, page 2980, Table II, seventh column, line 13:
82.3 should be replaced by 52.3 .

Volume 30 (2010), No 7, page 2988:
Tables I and II should be inserted before the Discussion:

Table I. Demographic characteristics of patients according to study.

| Characteristics | Day 8 <br> study <br> $(\mathrm{n}=55)$ | Day 1 <br> study <br> $(\mathrm{n}=55)$ | Total <br> $(\mathrm{n}=110)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Male (\%) |  |  |  |
| Female (\%) | $41(74.5)$ | $32(58.2)$ | $73(66.4)$ |
| Histological type | $14(25.5)$ | $23(41.8)$ | $37(33.6)$ |
| $\quad$ Squamous (\%) | $14(25.5)$ | $7(12.7)$ | $21(19.1)$ |
| $\quad$ Non-squamous (\%) | $41(74.5)$ | $48(87.3)$ | $89(80.9)$ |
| Stage |  |  |  |
| IIIB (\%) | $10(18.2)$ | $14(25.5)$ | $24(21.8)$ |
| IV (\%) | $45(81.8)$ | $41(74.5)$ | $86(78.2)$ |
| Age (years) | $42(76.4)$ | $47(85.5)$ | $89(80.9)$ |
| <70 (\%) | $13(23.6)$ | $8(14.5)$ | $21(19.1)$ |
| $\geq 70$ (\%) |  |  |  |
| Performance status (ECOG) | $30(54.5)$ | $20(36.4)$ | $50(45.5)$ |
| 0 (\%) | $23(41.8)$ | $35(63.6)$ | $58(52.7)$ |
| 1 (\%) | $2(3.6)$ | NA | $2(1.8)$ |
| 2 (\%) |  |  |  |

[^0]Table II. Overall response rates according to histological type in the two studies analysed*.

|  | Overall (\%) | Squamous cell <br> carcinoma (\%) | Non-squamous cell <br> carcinoma (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Day 8 study | $18 / 55(32.7)$ | $8 / 14(57.1)$ | $18 / 41(43.9)$ |
| Day 1 study | $26 / 55(47.3)$ | $2 / 7(28.6)$ | $16 / 48(33.3)$ |
| Total | $44 / 110(40.0)$ | $10 / 21(47.6)$ | $34 / 89(38.2)$ |

[^1]
[^0]:    NA: not applicable; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group scale

[^1]:    *No. of patients who responded/No. of patients treated.

