

Comparative Study of Mutations in Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Loci of *KRAS* and *BRAF* Genes in Patients Who Underwent Screening Colonoscopy, With and Without Premalignant Intestinal Polyps

MICHAEL GALANOPOULOS¹, IOANNIS S. PAPANIKOLAOU², ELENI ZOGRAFOS³,
NIKOS VIAZIS¹, GEORGE PAPTAEODORIDIS⁴, DIMITRIOS KARAMANOLIS¹,
EVANGELOS MARINOS³, GERASSIMOS J. MANTZARIS¹ and MARIA GAZOULI³

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Evangelismos,

Ophthalmiatreion Athinon and Polyclinic Hospitals, Athens, Greece;

²Hepatogastroenterology Unit, Second Department of Internal Medicine and Research Institute, Attikon University General Hospital, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece;

³Laboratory of Biology, Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece;

⁴Academic Department of Gastroenterology, Laiko General Hospital, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Abstract. Aim: Our aim was to perform a comparison study of the mutation rate of V-Ki-ras2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (*KRAS*), and v-Raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog-B (*BRAF*) genes between blood-based cell-free DNA (cfDNA), and tissue sample biopsies in individuals undergoing screening colonoscopy. Materials and Methods: All specimens were collected from January 2015 to January 2016. A total of 92 blood samples and colonic biopsy specimens were collected from healthy individuals with no polyps undergoing screening colonoscopy (group A, n=35), patients with colorectal cancer (group B, n=27), and patients with neoplastic intestinal polyps (group C, n=30). Peripheral blood was collected from each patient and a focal tissue biopsy was conducted. Results: We only found a limited statistically significant difference ($p=0.046$) in the mutation analysis for codon 12 of the *KRAS* gene when we compared tissue biopsies from patients in group B to those from group C. In the blood samples, only the rate of mutation in codon 12 of

the *KRAS* gene in samples of group B was significantly higher than that in group A ($p=0.013$). Conclusion: Blood cfDNA may be a promising tool in CRC screening as it may discriminate patients with CRC compared to healthy individuals and those with colonic polyps, even though it does not appear useful in predicting the presence of colonic polyps.

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common malignancy in the Western world and therefore is considered a major public health issue (1). Approximately 1.4 million people worldwide were diagnosed with colorectal cancer in 2012, whilst it is estimated that 693,900 people died from the disease in the same year (2). According to data obtained from the World Health Organization mortality database and Eurostat, nearly 173,400 EU citizens will have died of colorectal cancer in 2016 (3). The high mortality rate is due to the fact that CRC usually remains undetected, until the disease progresses up to a point that it becomes difficult to cure (4). The vast majority of colon cancers evolve from precancerous colorectal lesions called adenomas (5). Therefore, early detection and diagnosis could have a significant impact on the reduction of mortality from CRC.

A new non-invasive method of detecting and monitoring CRC has attracted researchers' interest recently: the molecular characterization of circulating free DNA (cfDNA) in blood circulation. Identification of cfDNA was first reported more than half a century ago (6). These extracellular nucleic acids are active products of apoptosis and necrosis of both normal

Correspondence to: Maria Gazouli, Ph.D., Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Laboratory of Biology, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Michalakopoulou 176, 11527 Athens, Greece. Tel/Fax: +30 2107462231, e-mail: mgazouli@med.uoa.gr

Key Words: Colorectal cancer, cell-free DNA, *KRAS*, *BRAF*, single nucleotide polymorphism, biomarkers.

and dead cells; they can also be released *via* complex interactions between tumor and adjacent healthy cells (7, 8). Outside the peripheral circulation (plasma, serum), cfDNA may also be found in other body fluids (9). What is essentially novel is that reports in the recent literature showed that cfDNA has a prognostic value in patients with CRC (10-12). According to these data, cfDNA can be used both as a diagnostic tool and as a prognostic marker, and it can also be used in the detection of tumor-specific mutations in the bloodstream of patients with CRC (13).

It is well known that the adenoma–carcinoma sequence constitutes a basic pathway of CRC carcinogenesis (14). The commonest mutations presenting in CRC tumor cells are of V-Ki-ras2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (*KRAS*). However, the clinical importance of *KRAS* mutation in CRC is debatable; a number of studies reported no association with survival (15, 16), whereas other studies suggested that patients with CRC with *KRAS* mutation have poorer outcome (17-19). *KRAS* and v-Raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog-B (*BRAF*) mutations lead to the constitutive activation of endothelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling through the oncogenic RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway. Due to these mutations, CRC cells develop resistance to monoclonal antibodies to EGFR, as well as to widely used targeted EGFR therapies (20). In order to prevent this potential effect, it is advised that prior to their treatments, all patients eligible for anti-EGFR regimens should be tested for mutations in the *KRAS* gene. Concurrently, *BRAF* V600E point mutations should be identified, since they are found in 9% of cases and may potentially interfere with the targeted therapies (21). *BRAF* is found downstream of *KRAS* and contributes to the activation of the same intracellular pathways. According to several reports, the majority of *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations found are mutually exclusive (22). A mutation in *BRAF* is considered a negative prognostic factor (23). Still, because of conflicting reports, *BRAF* mutational status has not been yet included in standard CRC treatment guidelines as a guide for anti-EGFR treatment (24-27).

Various methods are currently used in the analysis of the *KRAS* mutational status of a patients with CRC. In fact, the method of determining it from tumor sections of each individual patient is presented as one of the first examples of personalized medicine in the field of oncology (28). Detection of *BRAF* mutations is included in the screening of patients without *KRAS* mutations identified, in accordance with standard guidelines (29).

It is important to point out that although the molecular analysis of mutations is usually performed on tumor tissue, there are several concerns that this method does not reflect the biology of the disease at initiation of EGFR treatment (13). This is because it often takes several years from the time of the primary diagnosis of the tumor until the time of

surgery/treatment. Moreover, repeated biopsies are not recommended, since they are deemed unethical and impractical. Therefore, the use of cfDNA for the detection of these tumor-specific genomic alterations may become a promising option for targeted-therapies selection.

The aim of our study was to evaluate the frequency of *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations in cfDNA extracted from the blood of three groups of patients: Group A: healthy individuals undergoing screening colonoscopy and in whom no polyps were found; group B: patients with CRC; and group C: patients with neoplastic intestinal polyps, in comparison to their respective biopsy samples from intestinal tissue.

Materials and Methods

Participants and specimens. All specimens were collected at the Department of Gastroenterology, Evangelismos Hospital, Athens, Greece, from January 2015 to January 2016. A total of 92 blood samples and 92 colon biopsy specimens were collected from healthy individuals undergoing screening colonoscopy with no polyps (group A, n=35), patients with CRC (group B, n=27), and patients with neoplastic intestinal polyps (group C, n=30). Detailed data are listed in Table I. Peripheral blood was collected from each patient and focal tissue biopsies were conducted. All specimens were stored at –80°C for further analysis. Patients with a family history of familial adenomas and polyps or hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) were excluded from this study. Our study was performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Hospital Ethics Committee. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Genotype analysis. DNA from biopsy samples was extracted using a commercial kit (Nucleospin Tissue; Macherey-Nagel, Düren Germany). cfDNA was isolated from plasma samples using High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of DNA was determined in a Nanodrop ND-100 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). The presence of a mutation at codon 12 and codon 13 of *KRAS* was determined by an enriched polymerase chain reaction followed by restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) as previously described (30, 31). In summary, a mismatched upstream primer for codon 12 amplification, and a mismatched downstream primer for codon 13 amplification which introduced a *Bst*NI, and a *Hae*III restriction site in the wild-type allele, respectively, were used. The primers used were as follows: KRAS12F: 5'-ACTGAATATAAACTTGTGGTAGTTGGACCT-3', KRAS12R: 5'-CTGTATCAAAGAATGGTCCTGCACCAGTA-3', and KRAS13F: 5'-GTACTGGTGGAGTATTTGATAGTGATTAA-3', KRAS13R: 5'-GTATCGTCAAGGCACTCTTGCTAGG-3'. The underlined bases represent mismatches. The expected sizes of the PCR products are 162 bp and 159 bp for codon 12 and 13 respectively. *Bst*NI digestion of wild-type codon 12 allele yields two bands of 133 and 29 bp, while the mutant type remains intact (162 bp). *Hae*III digestion of wild-type codon 13 allele yields fragments of 85, 48 and 26 bp, while the mutant allele yields only two fragments of 85 and 74 bp because of an internal *Hae*III site at nucleotide 85. As far as the V600E *BRAF* mutation is concerned,

Table I. Clinical data of the participants.

Group	Number (n)	Gender (n)		Age (years)	
		Male	Female	Range	Mean±SD
Group A (healthy individuals)	35	15	20	38-78	62.5±9.1
Group B (colorectal cancer)	Tubular adenocarcinoma	11	7	4	48-85
	Mucinous adenocarcinoma	3	2	1	41-68
	Papillary adenocarcinoma	1	1	0	44
	Mixed adenocarcinoma	11	6	5	61-74
	Squamous	1	0	1	58
Group C (neoplastic polyps)	Tubular adenoma	13	9	4	47-84
	Villous adenoma	4	2	2	43-69
	Tubulovillous adenoma	9	6	3	44-76
	Serrated adenoma	4	3	1	57-63

Table II. Mutational analysis in biopsy samples. Data are the number of individuals.

Group	<i>KRAS</i> codon 12		<i>KRAS</i> codon 13		<i>BRAF</i> (V600E)	
	+	-	+	-	+	-
A (healthy individuals)	1	34	0	35	6	29
B (colorectal cancer)	12	15	0	27	8	19
χ^2 (vs. group A)	13.49		-	-	0.74	
p-Value	0.0002		-	-	0.39	
C (neoplastic polyps)	5	25	0	30	8	22
χ^2 (vs. group A)	2.21		-	-	0.39	
p-Value	0.14		-	-	0.53	
χ^2 (vs. group B)	3.99		-	-	0.062	
p-Value	0.046		-	-	0.80	

+: Mutation(s) present; -: no mutation present.

we used two forward primers with variations in their 3' nucleotides in a way that each was specific for the wild-type (V; AGGTG ATTTTGGTCTAGCTACAGT) or the mutated variant (E; AGG TGATTTTGGTCTAGCTACAGA), and one reverse primer (AS; TAGTAACTCAG-CAGCATCTCAGGGC), as previously described (31, 32). Sequence analysis of representative PCR products confirmed the results.

Statistical analysis. Frequency and susceptibilities of mutations were compared using the χ^2 test. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated with the corresponding χ^2 distribution test. The *p*-values obtained were two-tailed and were determined to be significant at *p*<0.05. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was verified by calculating the expected frequencies and was tested separately in patients and in controls using the goodness-of-fit χ^2 test. All the comparisons were performed using GraphPad version 3.00 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

Table III. Mutational analysis in blood samples. Data are the number of individuals.

Group	<i>KRAS</i> codon 12		<i>KRAS</i> codon 13		<i>BRAF</i> (V600E)	
	+	-	+	-	+	-
A (healthy individuals)	2	33	0	35	4	31
B (colorectal cancer)	9	18	0	27	4	23
χ^2 (vs. group A)	6.19		-	-	0.0001	
p-Value	0.013		-	-	0.99	
C (neoplastic polyps)	3	27	-	-	6	24
χ^2 (vs. group A)	0.03		-	-	0.37	
p-Value	0.86		-	-	0.54	
χ^2 (vs. group B)	3.36		-	-	0.03	
p-Value	0.06		-	-	0.87	

+: Mutation(s) present; -: no mutation present.

Results

A total of 92 participants had both biopsies and blood samples obtained for the reliable detection of *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations. The mutation status in biopsies and blood samples is presented in Tables II and III.

The detected mutation rate in codon 12 of the *KRAS* gene in the biopsies of group B (CRC) was significantly higher than that for group C (neoplastic polyps) (*p*=0.046) and group A (healthy individuals) (*p*<0.001) but showed no significant difference between groups A and C. None of the patients or controls was found to carry mutations in codon 13 of the *KRAS* gene. Regarding the *BRAF* V600E mutation, the detection rate did not differ significantly among the groups tested.

In the blood samples, the detection rate of mutation in codon 12 of the *KRAS* gene in group C did not show any

significant differences when compared to the mutation rate in groups A and B. In contrast, the rate of mutation in codon 12 of the *KRAS* gene in the blood samples of group B was significantly higher than that of group A ($p=0.013$). None of the patients or controls were found to carry a mutation in codon 13 of the *KRAS* gene in their blood samples. Regarding the *BRAF* V600E mutation, the detection rate did not differ significantly among the groups tested.

Data derived after the comparison of the mutation status between matched biopsies and blood samples are presented in Table IV. Regarding the overall concordance between the mutation status in the biopsies and in the blood, there was a *KRAS* codon 12 mutation in group A and in one of the biopsy samples from a patient that also demonstrated *KRAS* mutation in the blood sample. There was a single case observed of a healthy individual who had a detectable mutation in the blood, but not in the colon biopsy specimen. For group B, seven patients had *KRAS* mutations in both biopsy and blood samples, five only in biopsies and two only in blood samples. In group C, three of the patients had mutations in both the biopsy and blood samples, while only two patients had mutations solely in blood samples.

Concerning the *BRAF* V600E mutation, six patients in group A were carriers of the mutation; in four others, the mutation was detected in both biopsy and blood samples, whereas in two patients it was present only in biopsy samples. In group B, three patients had the mutation in both biopsy and tissue samples, whereas five patients presented a mutation in the biopsy and one solely in the blood sample. In four patients of group C, the mutation was detected in both biopsy and blood samples, while in four patients, the mutation was found exclusively in biopsies and in one patient only in the blood sample.

Discussion

Nowadays it is well known that DNA mutations of *KRAS* and *BRAF* genes have a great impact on the progression of colorectal adenoma to carcinoma. In particular, over 50% of human CRCs and adenomas harbor *KRAS* mutations (14, 33), arising early in the polyp–adenoma–neoplasia sequence, most commonly in codons 12 and 13 (33, 34). In addition, *BRAF* mutations have been linked to high-grade, right-sided CRC, female gender, older age, and high level microsatellite instability in tumors (35, 36). Furthermore, a crucial role of *KRAS* and *BRAF* genotype was their valuable role in systemic chemotherapy, by predicting the therapeutic efficiency of anti-EGFR therapy. As already stated, the status of *KRAS* is the only established predictive biomarker in clinical practice for assessing the response to EGFR inhibitors used for CRC, such as cetuximab or panitumumab, due to the fact that a mutant *KRAS* gene is associated with resistance to anti-EGFR immunotherapy.

Table IV. Comparison of the presence of mutation between matched biopsy and blood samples. Data are number of cases.

Group		<i>KRAS</i> codon 12 mutation	<i>BRAF</i> (V600E) mutation
A (healthy individuals)	Biopsy	0	2
	Blood	1	0
	Biopsy + blood	1	4
B (colorectal cancer)	Biopsy	5	5
	Blood	2	1
	Biopsy + blood	7	3
C (neoplastic polyps)	Biopsy	2	4
	Blood	0	2
	Biopsy + blood	3	4

According to Amado *et al.*, these monoclonal antibodies induce a response in 17-40% of patients with wild-type *KRAS* CRC tumors compared to 0% of patients with mutated *KRAS* tumors (37). A recent meta-analysis of Qiu *et al.*, who investigated 22 studies, including 2,188 patients treated with anti-EGFR, showed that overall *KRAS* mutational status was associated with non-response and short overall survival (38). As far as the predictive role of *BRAF* mutation in EGFR-inhibiting therapy response is concerned, it is not as established as that for *KRAS* mutation and mutated *BRAF* is not yet an exclusion criterion for this type of therapy (39-41). Nevertheless, Chapman *et al.* demonstrated better survival with *BRAF* inhibiting therapy for melanoma in patients with *BRAF* V600E mutation (42).

In summary genotyping for *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutation status is a gold standard for categorizing CRC for clinical decisions. Unfortunately, tissue sampling of primary tumors is not always available, since it is sometimes difficult to obtain or takes years before metastasis occurs, while the mutation status of primary lesions may change over time (43, 44). To overcome these drawbacks, a non-invasive and cost-effective biomarker could be an ideal method to interpret the status of the entire tumor genome at various points during the course of the disease. Mandel and Metais in 1948 were the first researchers who discovered the existence of cell-free nucleic acids (cfNAs) in the bloodstream, separating the “affected patients from healthy controls” (6). Thus, it was a matter of time for cfNA usage as a noninvasive neoplasia detection method (45-47). The initial report referring to the discovery of “abnormal” cfNAs in CRC individuals took place in the early 1990s when Sidransky *et al.* discovered *KRAS* gene mutation in stools of CRC-affected patients (48). Since DNA mutations were found in tumor cells of ‘liquid biopsies’, it is logical to suppose that these alterations are reflected in cfDNA released from neoplastic tissue into the blood. Furthermore, analysis of cfDNA is a non-invasive

technique that could provide invaluable information for early dissemination of tumor cells with significant clinical and biological implications. Thus it is feasible that the use of cfDNA as a liquid biopsy will contribute to this molecular follow-up investigation that is urgently needed.

We describe here a comparative study of mutations implied in single nucleotide polymorphism loci of *KRAS* and *BRAF* genes in individuals who performed screening colonoscopy. A total of 92 blood samples and 92 colon biopsy specimens were collected from healthy subjects undergoing screening colonoscopy with no polyps, patients with CRC, and patients with neoplastic intestinal polyps. *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutation status data (for codons 12 and 13, and V600E respectively) obtained from the analysis of tumor tissue by routine biopsy methods and of peripheral blood DNA using a PCR-RFLP method specifically designed to analyze cfDNA. According to the literature, similar studies comparing frequency of mutation status detection of these genes in bloodstream and biopsy of intestinal tissues are few in number and included very low patient numbers (49-53).

We only found a statistically significant difference ($p=0.046$) in the mutation analysis for codon 12 of the *KRAS* gene when we compared tissue biopsies from patients in group B (CRC) with group C (neoplastic polyps) and not in the blood-derived cfDNA of the groups compared, although the detection rate in group B was found to be higher than in group C. Moreover, statistical significance ($p\leq 0.013$) was demonstrated when we compared the rate of mutation in codon 12 in the biopsies and in blood cfDNA of group B and group A (healthy individuals), a concordance which is supported by the literature (50, 54). To be more specific, 12 patients had a codon 12 *KRAS* mutation in their primary tumor, and identical mutations were found in the plasma DNA of nine of these patients. Similar findings were presented by Lecomte *et al.* who reported that the detection rate of mutations in the peripheral blood ranged between 9% (3/16) and 100% (5/5) (53). Recently, Yen *et al.* showed in their study, which consisted of 76 patients, a higher detection rate of mutation in blood samples, a rate of ~84.4% (52).

Regarding the mutation in codon 13 of the *KRAS* gene, none of the patients or controls were found to carry this specific mutation. Finally, as far as the V600E *BRAF* mutation is concerned, the detection rate did not differ significantly between the groups tested.

Concerning the comparison of the mutation status between matched biopsies and blood samples, a 26% concordance in the mutation status was demonstrated for the *KRAS* codon 12 mutation of group B and a 10% concordance for that of group C. The respective mutation status concordance for *BRAF* V600E was 13.3% for group C and 11.1% for group B patients.

In conclusion, this study evaluated the mutation rates of specific codons of *KRAS* and *BRAF* genes in cfDNA from

blood and in biopsy samples of intestine, respectively. Even though the statistical difference was verified in all compared groups of patients, it was possible to detect genomic alterations, especially mutations in the *KRAS* gene, not only in tissue samples, but also in cfDNA extracted from blood samples of healthy individuals undergoing screening colonoscopy, patients with neoplastic intestinal polyps and patients with CRC. Most importantly, blood cfDNA may be a promising tool in CRC screening as it may discriminate patients with CRC from healthy individuals and those with colonic polyps, even though it does not appear useful in predicting the presence of colonic polyps.

Conflicts of Interest

No conflict of interest to declare.

References

- 1 Ait Ouakrim D, Pizot C, Boniol M, Malvezzi M, Boniol M, Negri E, Bota M, Jenkins MA, Bleiberg H and Autier P: Trends in colorectal cancer mortality in Europe: retrospective analysis of the WHO mortality database. *BMJ* 351: h4970, 2015.
- 2 Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J and Jemal A: Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 65(2): 87-108, 2015.
- 3 Malvezzi M, Carioli G, Bertuccio P, Rosso T, Boffetta P, Levi F, La Vecchia C and Negri E: European cancer mortality predictions for the year 2016 with focus on leukemias. *Ann Oncol* 27: 725-731, 2016.
- 4 American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons. Diseases and conditions. 2014, cited 2016 Feb. Available from: URL: <https://www.fascrs.org/patients/disease-condition>
- 5 Yan ZH, Cui LH, Wang XH, Chao Li and Xing He: Comparative study of mutations in SNP loci of *KRAS*, *hMLH1* and *hMSH2* genes in neoplastic intestinal polyps and colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 20: 18338-18345, 2014.
- 6 Mandel P and Metais P: Les acides nucleiques du plasma sanguin chez l'homme. *C R Seances Soc Biol Fil* 142: 241-243, 1948.
- 7 Jahr S, Hentze H, Englisch S, Hardt D, Fackelmayer FO, Hesch RD and Knippers R: DNA fragments in the blood plasma of cancer patients: quantitation and evidence for their origin from apoptotic and necrotic cells. *Cancer Res* 61: 1659-1665, 2001.
- 8 Stroun M, Lyautey J, Lederrey C, Olson-Sand A and Anker P: About the possible origin and mechanism of circulating DNA: Apoptosis and active DNA release. *Clin Chim Acta* 313: 139-142, 2001.
- 9 Su YH, Wang M, Brenner DE, Norton PA and Block TM: Detection of mutated K-ras DNA in urine, plasma, and serum of patients with colorectal carcinoma or adenomatous polyps. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1137: 197-206, 2008.
- 10 Schwarzenbach H, Stoecklacher J, Pantel K and Goekkurt E: Detection and monitoring of cell-free DNA in blood of patients with colorectal cancer. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1137: 190-619, 2008.
- 11 Spindler KL, Appelt AL, Pallisgaard N, Andersen RF, Brandslund I and Jakobsen A: Cell-free DNA in healthy individuals, noncancerous disease and strong prognostic value in colorectal cancer. *Int J Cancer* 135: 2984-2991, 2014.

- 12 Spindler KL, Pallisgaard N, Andersen RF, Brandslund I and Jakobsen A: Circulating free DNA as biomarker and source for mutation detection in metastatic colorectal cancer. *PloS one* 10: e0108247, 2015.
- 13 Spindler KL, Pallisgaard N, Appelt AL, Andersen RF, Schou JV, Nielsen D, Pfeiffer P, Yilmaz M, Johansen JS, Hoegdall EV, Jakobsen A and Jensen BV: Clinical utility of *KRAS* status in circulating plasma DNA compared to archival tumour tissue from patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated with anti-epidermal growth factor receptor therapy. *Eur J Cancer* 51: 2678-2685, 2015.
- 14 Fearon ER and Vogelstein B: A genetic model for colorectal tumorigenesis. *Cell* 61: 759-767, 1990.
- 15 Westra JL, Schaapveld M, Hollema H, de Boer JP, Kraak MM, de Jong D, ter Elst A, Mulder NH, Buys CH, Hofstra RM and Plukker JT: Determination of *TP53* mutation is more relevant than microsatellite instability status for the prediction of disease-free survival in adjuvant-treated stage III colon cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol* 23: 5635-5643, 2005.
- 16 Roth AD, Tejpar S, Delorenzi M, Yan P, Fiocca R, Klingbiel D, Dietrich D, Biesmans B, Bodoky G, Barone C, Aranda E, Nordlinger B, Cisar L, Labianca R, Cunningham D, Van Cutsem E and Bosman F: Prognostic role of *KRAS* and *BRAF* in stage II and III resected colon cancer: results of the translational study on the PETACC-3, EORTC 40993, SAKK 60-00 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 28: 466-474, 2010.
- 17 Richman SD, Seymour MT, Chambers P, Elliott F, Daly CL, Meade AM, Taylor G, Barrett JH and Quirke P: *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations in advanced colorectal cancer are associated with poor prognosis but do not preclude benefit from oxaliplatin or irinotecan: results from the MRC FOCUS trial. *J Clin Oncol* 27: 5931-5937, 2009.
- 18 Andreyev HJ, Norman AR, Cunningham D, Oates J, Dix BR, Iacopetta BJ, Young J, Walsh T, Ward R, Hawkins N, Beranek M, Jandik P, Benamouzig R, Jullian E, Laurent-Puig P, Olschwang S, Muller O, Hoffmann I, Rabes HM, Zietz C, Troungos C, Valavanis C, Yuen ST, Ho JW, Croke CT, O'Donoghue DP, Giaretti W, Rapallo A, Russo A, Bazan V, Tanaka M, Omura K, Azuma T, Ohkusa T, Fujimori T, Ono Y, Pauly M, Faber C, Glaesener R, de Goeij AF, Arends JW, Andersen SN, Lövig T, Breivik J, Gaudernack G, Clausen OP, De Angelis PD, Meling GI, Rognum TO, Smith R, Goh HS, Font A, Rosell R, Sun XF, Zhang H, Benhattar J, Losi L, Lee JQ, Wang ST, Clarke PA, Bell S, Quirke P, Bubb VJ, Piris J, Cruickshank NR, Morton D, Fox JC, Al-Mulla F, Lees N, Hall CN, Snary D, Wilkinson K, Dillon D, Costa J, Pricolo VE, Finkelstein SD, Thebo JS, Senagore AJ, Halter SA, Wadler S, Malik S, Krtolica K and Urošević N: Kirsten ras mutations in patients with colorectal cancer: the 'RASCAL II' study. *Br J Cancer* 85: 692-696, 2001.
- 19 Summers M, Smith CG, Maughan TS, Kaplan R, Escott-Price V and Cheadle JP: *BRAF* and *NRAS* locus-specific variants have different outcomes on survival to colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*, 2016. DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-1541
- 20 Misale S, Arena S, Lamba S, Siravegna G, Lallo A, Hobor S, Russo M, Buscarino M, Lazzari L, Sartore-Bianchi A, Bencardino K, Amatu A, Lauricella C, Valtorta E, Siena S, Di Nicolantonio F and Bardelli A: Blockade of EGFR and MEK intercepts heterogeneous mechanisms of acquired resistance to anti-EGFR therapies in colorectal cancer. *Sci Transl Med* 6(224): 224ra26, 2014.
- 21 Wan PT, Garnett MJ, Roe SM, Lee S, Niculescu-Duvaz D, Good VM, Jones CM, Marshall CJ, Springer CJ, Barford D and Marais R: Cancer Genome Project. Mechanism of activation of the RAF-ERK signaling pathway by oncogenic mutations of *B-RAF*. *Cell* 116: 855-867, 2004.
- 22 De Roock W, Claes B, Bernasconi D, De Schutter J, Biesmans B, Fountzilas G, Kalogeras KT, Kotoula V, Papamichael D, Laurent-Puig P, Penault-Llorca F, Rougier P, Vincenzi B, Santini D, Tonini G, Cappuzzo F, Frattini M, Molinari F, Saletti P, De Dosso S, Martini M, Bardelli A, Siena S, Sartore-Bianchi A, Tabernero J, Macarulla T, Di Fiore F, Gangloff AO, Ciardiello F, Pfeiffer P, Qvortrup C, Hansen TP, Van Cutsem E, Piessevaux H, Lambrechts D, Delorenzi M and Tejpar S: Effects of *KRAS*, *BRAF*, *NRAS*, and *PIK3CA* mutations on the efficacy of cetuximab plus chemotherapy in chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer: a retrospective consortium analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 11: 753-762, 2010.
- 23 Corcoran RB, Dias-Santagata D, Bergethon K, Iafrate AJ, Settleman J and Engelman JA: *BRAF* gene amplification can promote acquired resistance to MEK inhibitors in cancer cells harboring the *BRAF* V600E mutation. *Sci Signal* 3(149): ra84, 2010.
- 24 Tol J, Nagtegaal ID and Punt CJ: *BRAF* mutation in metastatic colorectal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 361: 98-99, 2009.
- 25 Laurent-Puig P, Cayre A, Manceau G, Buc E, Bachet JB, Lecomte T, Rougier P, Lievre A, Landi B, Boige V, Ducreux M, Ychou M, Bibeau F, Bouché O, Reid J, Stone S and Penault-Llorca F: Analysis of *PTEN*, *BRAF*, and *EGFR* status in determining benefit from cetuximab therapy in wild-type *KRAS* metastatic colon cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 27: 5924-5930, 2009.
- 26 Yokota T: Are *KRAS/BRAF* mutations potent prognostic and/or predictive biomarkers in colorectal cancers? *Anticancer Agents Med Chem* 12: 163-171, 2012.
- 27 Karapetis CS, Jonker D, Daneshmand M, Hanson JE, O'Callaghan CJ, Marginean C, Zalberg JR, Simes J, Moore MJ, Tebbutt NC, Price TJ, Shapiro JD, Pavlakakis N, Gibbs P, Van Hazel GA, Lee U, Haq R, Virk S, Tu D, Lorimer IA and NCIC Clinical Trials Group and the Australasian Gastro-Intestinal Trials Group: *PIK3CA*, *BRAF*, and *PTEN* status and benefit from cetuximab in the treatment of advanced colorectal cancer—results from NCIC CTG/AGITG CO. 17. *Clin Cancer Res* 20: 744-753, 2014.
- 28 Moorcraft SY, Smyth EC and Cunningham D: The role of personalised medicine in metastatic colorectal cancer: An evolving landscape. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 6: 381-395, 2013.
- 29 NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Cited 2016 Feb. Available from: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/f_guidelines.asp
- 30 Hatzaki A, Razi E, Anagnostopoulou K, Iliadis K, Kodaxis A, Papaioannou D, Labropoulos S, Vasilaki M, Kosmidis P, Saetta A, Mihalatos M and Nasioulas G: A modified mutagenic PCR-RFLP method for K-RAS codon 12 and 13 mutations detection in NSCLC patients. *Mol Cell Probes* 15: 243-247, 2001.
- 31 Goutas N, Vlachodimitropoulos D, Bouka M, Lazaris AC, Nasioulas G and Gazouli M: *BRAF* and *KRAS* mutation in a Greek papillary and medullary thyroid carcinoma cohort. *Anticancer Res* 28: 305-308, 2008.
- 32 Zaravinos A, Bizakis J and Spandidos DA: *RKIP* and *BRAF* aberrations in human nasal polyps and the adjacent turbinate mucosae. *Cancer Lett* 264: 288-298, 2008.

- 33 Hayashi N, Sugai S, Ito I, Nakamori S, Ogawa M and Nakamura Y: Ethnic difference in the pattern of *K-RAS* oncogene mutations in human colorectal cancers. *Hum Mutat* 8: 258-261, 1996.
- 34 Brink M, de Goeij AF, Weijenberg MP, Roemen GM, Lentjes MH, Pachen MM, Smits KM, de Bruïne AP, Goldbohm RA and van den Brandt PA: *K-RAS* oncogene mutations in sporadic colorectal cancer in The Netherlands Cohort Study. *Carcinogenesis* 24: 703-710, 2003.
- 35 De Roock W, Jonker DJ, Di Nicolantonio F, Sartore-Bianchi A, Tu D, Siena S, Lamba S, Arena S, Frattini M, Piessevaux H, Van Cutsem E, O'Callaghan CJ, Khambata-Ford S, Zalcberg JR, Simes J, Karapetis CS, Bardelli A and Tejpar S: Association of *KRAS* p.G13D mutation with outcome in patients with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer treated with cetuximab. *JAMA* 304: 1812-1820, 2010.
- 36 Tol J, Dijkstra JR, Klomp M, Teerenstra S, Dommerholt M, Vink-Börger ME, van Cleef PH, van Krieken JH, Punt CJ and Nagtegaal ID: Markers for EGFR pathway activation as predictor of outcome in metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with or without cetuximab. *Eur J Cancer* 46: 1997-2009, 2010.
- 37 Amado RG, Wolf M, Peeters M, Van Cutsem E, Siena S, Freeman DJ, Juan T, Sikorski R, Suggs S, Radinsky R, Patterson SD and Chang DD: Wild-type *KRAS* is required for panitumumab efficacy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 26: 1626-1634, 2008.
- 38 Qiu LX, Mao C, Zhang J, Zhu XD, Liao RY, Xue K, Li J and Chen Q: Predictive and prognostic value of *KRAS* mutations in metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with cetuximab: a meta-analysis of 22 studies. *Eur J Cancer* 46: 2781-2787, 2010.
- 39 Di Nicolantonio F, Martini M, Molinari F, Sartore-Bianchi A, Arena S, Saletti P, De Dosso S, Mazzucchelli L, Frattini M, Siena S and Bardelli A: Wild-type *BRAF* is required for response to panitumumab or cetuximab in metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 26: 5705-5712, 2008.
- 40 Loupakakis F, Ruzzo A, Cremolini C, Vincenzi B, Salvatore L, Santini D, Masi G, Stasi I, Canestrari E, Rulli E, Floriani I, Bencardino K, Galluccio N, Catalano V, Tonini G, Magnani M, Fontanini G, Basolo F, Falcone A and Graziano F: *KRAS* codon 61, 146 and *BRAF* mutations predict resistance to cetuximab plus irinotecan in *KRAS* codon 12 and 13 wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer. *Br J Cancer* 101: 715-721, 2009.
- 41 Van Cutsem E, Köhne CH, Láng I, Folprecht G, Nowacki MP, Cascinu S, Shchepotin I, Maurel J, Cunningham D, Tejpar S, Schlichting M, Zubel A, Celik I, Rougier P and Ciardiello F: Cetuximab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin as first-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer: updated analysis of overall survival according to tumor *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutation status. *J Clin Oncol* 29: 2011-2019, 2011.
- 42 Chapman PB, Hauschild A, Robert C, Haanen JB, Ascierto P, Larkin J, Dummer R, Garbe C, Testori A, Maio M, Hogg D, Lorigan P, Lebbe C, Jouary T, Schadendorf D, Ribas A, O'Day SJ, Sosman JA, Kirkwood JM, Eggermont AM, Dreno B, Nolop K, Li J, Nelson B, Hou J, Lee RJ, Flaherty KT, McArthur GA; BRIM-3 Study Group: Improved survival with vemurafenib in melanoma with *BRAF* V600E mutation. *N Engl J Med* 364: 2507-2516, 2011.
- 43 Artale S, Sartore-Bianchi A, Veronese SM, Gambi V, Sarnataro CS, Gambacorta M, Lauricella C and Siena S: Mutations of *KRAS* and *BRAF* in primary and matched metastatic sites of colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 26: 4217-4219, 2008.
- 44 Tie J, Lipton L, Desai J, Gibbs P, Jorissen RN, Christie M, Drummond KJ, Thomson BN, Usatoff V, Evans PM, Pick AW, Knight S, Carne PW, Berry R, Polglase A, McMurrick P, Zhao Q, Busam D, Strausberg RL, Domingo E, Tomlinson IP, Midgley R, Kerr D and Sieber OM: *KRAS* mutation is associated with lung metastasis in patients with curatively resected colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 17: 1122-1130, 2011.
- 45 Roperch JP, Incitti R, Forbin S, Bard F, Mansour H, Mesli F, Baumgaertner I, Brunetti F and Sobhani I: Aberrant methylation of *NPY*, *PENK*, and *WIF1* as a promising marker for blood-based diagnosis of colorectal cancer. *BMC Cancer* 13: 566, 2013.
- 46 Müller HM, Oberwalder M, Fiegl H, Morandell M, Goebel G, Zitt M, Mühlthaler M, Öfner D, Margreiter R and Widschwendter M: Methylation changes in faecal DNA: A marker for colorectal cancer screening? *Lancet* 363: 1283-1285, 2004.
- 47 Imperiale TF, Ransohoff DF, Itzkowitz SH, Turnbull BA, Ross ME; Colorectal Cancer Study Group: Fecal DNA *versus* fecal occult blood for colorectal cancer screening in an average-risk population. *N Engl J Med* 351: 26704-26714, 2004.
- 48 Sidransky D, Tokino T, Hamilton SR, Kinzler KW, Levin B, Frost P and Vogelstein B: Identification of *RAS* oncogene mutations in the stool of patients with curable colorectal tumors. *Science* 256: 505302-505305, 1992.
- 49 Spindler KL, Pallisgaard N, Vogelius I and Jakobsen A: Quantitative cell-free DNA, *KRAS*, and *BRAF* mutations in plasma from patients with metastatic colorectal cancer during treatment with cetuximab and irinotecan. *Clin Cancer Res* 18: 1177-1185, 2012.
- 50 Trevisiol C, Di Fabio F, Nascimbeni R, Peloso L, Salbe C, Ferruzzi E, Salerni B and Gion M: Prognostic value of circulating *KRAS2* gene mutations in colorectal cancer with distant metastases. *Int. J. Biol Markers* 21: 223-228, 2006.
- 51 Ryan BM, Lefort F, McManus R, Daly J, Keeling PW, Weir DG and Kelleher D: A prospective study of circulating mutant *KRAS2* in the serum of patients with colorectal neoplasia: strong prognostic indicator in postoperative follow up. *Gut* 52: 101-108, 2003.
- 52 Yen LC, Yeh YS, Chen CW, Wang HM, Tsai HL, Lu CY, Chang YT, Chu KS, Lin SR and Wang JY: Detection of *KRAS* oncogene in peripheral blood as a predictor of the response to cetuximab plus chemotherapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res* 15: 4508-4513, 2009.
- 53 Lecomte T, Ceze N, Dorval E and Laurent-Puig P: Circulating free tumor DNA and colorectal cancer. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 34: 662-681, 2010.
- 54 Anker P, Lefort F, Vasioukhin V, Lyautey J, Lederrey C, Chen XQ, Stroun M, Mulcahy HE and Farthing MJ: *K-RAS* mutations are found in DNA extracted from the plasma of patients with colorectal cancer. *Gastroenterology* 112: 1114-1120, 1997.

Received December 7, 2016

Revised January 17, 2017

Accepted January 19, 2017